

VOL. 18, NO. 1

MARCH 1937

F PUBLIC



PUBLIC ROADS Highway Research

Issued by the UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS

Volume 18, No. 1

March 1937

Page

1

The reports of research published in this magazine are necessarily qualified by the conditions of the tests from which the data are obtained. Whenever it is deemed possible to do so, generalizations are drawn from the results of the tests; and, unless this is done, the conclusions formulated must be considered as specifically pertinent only to described conditions.

In This Issue

The Theory of Soil Consolidation and Testing of Foundation Soils

THE BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS - - - - - - Willard Building, Washington, D. C. REGIONAL HEADQUARTERS - - - - - - Federal Building, Civic Center, San Francisco, Calif.

DISTRICT OFFICES

DISTRICT No. 1. Oregon, Washington, and Montana. Post Office Building, Portland, Oreg.	DISTRICT No. 8. Alabama, Georgia, Florida, Mississippi, and Tennessee. Post Office Building, Montgomery, Ala.
DISTRICT No. 2. California, Arizona, and Nevada. Federal Building, Civic Center, San Francisco, Calif.	DISTRICT No. 9. Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Rhode Island, and Vermont.
DISTRICT No. 3. Colorado, New Mexico, and Wyoming.	505 Post Office Building, Albany, N. Y.
237 Custom House, Nineteenth and Stout Sts., Denver, Colo.	DISTRICT No. 10. Delaware, Maryland, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and District
DISTRICT No. 4. Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wisconsin. 907 Post Office Building, St. Paul, Minn.	Willard Building, Washington, D. C.
DISTRICT No. 5. Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, and Nebraska.	DISTRICT No. 11. Alaska.
Masonic Temple Building, Nineteenth and Douglas Sts., Omaha, Nebr.	DISTRICT No. 12. Idaho and Utah.
DISTRICT No. 6. Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, and Texas.	Federal Building, Ogden, Utah.
DISTRICT No. 7. Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, and Michigan.	DISTRICT No. 14. North Carolina, South Carolina, Virginia, and West Virginia.
South Chicago Post Office Building, Chicago, Ill.	Montgomery Building, Spartanburg, S. C.

Because of the necessarily limited edition of this publication it is impossible to distribute it free to any person or institution other than State and county officials actually engaged in planning or constructing public highways, instructors in highway engineering, and periodicals upon an exchange basis. At the present time additions to the free mailing list can be made only as vacancies occur. Those desiring to obtain PUBLIC ROADS can do so by sending \$1 per year (foreign subscription \$1.50), or 10 cents per single copy, to the Superintendent of Documents, United States Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C.

THE THEORY OF SOIL CONSOLIDATION AND TESTING OF FOUNDATION SOILS

BY THE DIVISION OF TESTS, BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS

Reported by L. A. PALMER, Associate Chemist, and E. S. BARBER, Junior Highway Engineer

CEVERAL DEVICES used in performing the compression test described in this report were constructed by the Bureau of Public Roads in 1926 in accordance with plans furnished by Dr. Charles Terzaghi. Investigation of testing technique and practical utilization of the resulting data have been included in the Bureau's program of research on soils for highway purposes continuously since that time.

As part of this program, the Bureau cooperated with the Massachusetts Institute of Technology in research on soil mechanics from 1926 until the fall of 1932. Dr. Terzaghi was retained by the Bureau as research consultant on the work from the fall of 1926 to the fall of 1929. Dr. Arthur Casagrande was employed by the Bureau as research assistant and was stationed at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology throughout the duration of this cooperative research work.

Other parts of the Bureau's general program were carried on at the Bureau's laboratory at Arlington, Va., and through cooperation with various State highway departments and Federal bureaus.

Special studies have been made at Arlington to determine the magnitude of experimental errors resulting from variables in testing apparatus and procedure, and to determine the agreement between deformations observed in tests with those computed in accordance with the theory of consolidation on which the tests are based.

The compression test and theory of soil consolidation were described by Terzaghi in 1927.1 Two other reports of distinctive value to those concerned with the practical utilization of the compression test data have also been published.²

On the basis of the Bureau's investigations of the test itself, experience in practical use of the test data, and reports just referred to, it is now possible (1) to state definitely that the apparatus and testing procedure described briefly in this report have been found satisfactory, and (2) to present a practical method of estimating that part of the total settlement of soil caused by the loss of water forced vertically out of saturated compressible soil strata in certain soil profiles.

Settlements of soils in profiles differing from those specifically described, or produced by plastic flow, flow of water laterally, or causes not discussed, are beyond the scope of this presentation.

COMPRESSION DEVICE VALUABLE AID IN STUDYING SOIL COMPRESSION

The theory of consolidation of earth materials involves two problems: (1) Determination of the distribution of stresses; and (2) computation of the displacements caused by these stresses. In dealing with many engineering materials and structures the essential

127233 - 37 - - 1

problem is to estimate the stresses, since nearly all structural materials possess, within limits, the property of elasticity. With soils, however, the problem is quite complicated for they have both plastic and elastic properties; the materials are essentially heterogeneous; and water is usually present. If the water is free to flow from the compressed soil most of the settlement results directly from the reduction in voids between solid particles, an occurrence that, in this paper, is considered apart from elastic and plastic deformations.

One of the most useful tools in the study of soil consolidation is the laboratory compression device designed by Dr. Terzaghi. The compression tests indicate within a comparatively short time in the laboratory the consolidation characteristics of soils upon which depend the rates of settlement and total settlements of foundations. Thus, compression tests supplant guesswork and "rule of thumb" methods with reliable information for use in the design of foundations.

Figure 1 illustrates the essential features of the testing device used by the Bureau of Public Roads. An undisturbed sample of soil with natural structure and moisture content, as nearly as can be obtained, is carefully placed between two porous stones, which act as filters during the test. Pressures are then applied, ranging from zero to the maximums that are expected in service. A burette connected with the apparatus as shown in figure 1 affords a means of determining the permeability of the sample at any time during the test.

The sample during test is representative of a stratum of saturated, compressible soil in the earth's crust, sandwiched between two layers of sand or other relatively permeable material.

The total amount of consolidation and the speed at which it takes place are controlled by: (1) The moisture content of the soil; (2) the velocity with which water flows vertically through the pores of the sample to the filters above and below in the laboratory, or through the compressible layer to the sand strata in the field; and (3) the frictional resistance of the soil particles to consolidation.

At the instant of load application, the water in the compressible sample or layer is considered as furnishing all of the resistance to consolidation. Thus, if a suitable pressure gauge were connected with the sample in the laboratory, it would show that, at the instant of loading, the water pressure in the sample is practically equal to the pressure applied to the sample. It was stated during the first conference on soil mechanics and foundation engineering, held at Harvard University in June, 1936, that experiments in Sweden disclosed that the water pressures in compressible understrata were approximately equal to the pressures produced by the weight of superimposed fill materials just at the time construction of the fill was completed.

As the pressure on the soil continues, water escapes from the sample or field stratum, causing the pressure to be gradually transferred to the soil particles or

¹ Principles of Final Soil Classification, PUBLIC ROADS, vol. 8, no. 3, May 1927. ² Report of the Special Committee on Earths and Foundations, Proceedings of the American Society of Civil Engineers, vol. 59, no. 5, May 1933, and discussion by William P. Kimball, Proceedings of the American Society of Civil Engineers, vol. 59, no. 6, August 1933.



FIGURE 1.- DEVICE FOR DETERMINING THE CONSOLIDATION CHARACTERISTICS OF SOILS IN THE LABORATORY.



FIGURE 2.-PERMEABILITY, COMPRESSION, AND CONSOLIDATION CURVES FOR A SAMPLE OF COMPRESSIBLE SOIL.

"soil skeleton." Eventually, equilibrium is reached and further consolidation ceases when the water pressure becomes equal to zero and the soil skeleton provides all of the resistance to consolidation.

The sample in the compression device is subjected to pressure that is increased by increments. Each increment of pressure acts until apparent equilibrium is attained before the next increment is added. Water escapes under pressure, entering the porous stones and flowing from the outlets as indicated in figure 1.

Consolidation characteristics and permeability of the laboratory specimen are recorded and the data are plotted as shown in figure 2. The load-compression curve shows the voids ratios at which the soil sample attained apparent equilibrium under different pressures. The voids ratio is defined as the ratio (by volume) of voids to solids for a given sample of soil.

Apparent equilibrium in the sample is reached in a relatively short time, and for all practical purposes is true equilibrium. Actually, however, the attainment of true equilibrium would require infinite time. For

values of the pressure, p, greater than about one-tenth kilogram per square centimeter, the load-compression curve, as shown, is expressed by the equation,³

$$e = 1.050 - 0.442 \log p$$
 (1)

where e is the voids ratio. The permeability-voids ratio curve (fig. 2, upper) shows the coefficient of permeability of the sample at different stages of compression.

COEFFICIENTS OF PERMEABILITY AND COMPRESSIBILITY DETERMINED

The coefficient of permeability is defined as the velocity of flow when the hydraulic gradient is unity, that is, when the drop in hydrostatic pressure in grams per square centimeter between two points in a soil column equals the distance in centimeters between the points. The usual practice is to record and plot the coefficient of permeability in centimeters per second, as in figure 2. However, for the purpose of simplifying subsequent computations the coefficient of permeability, as used in this report, is expressed in centimeters per minute. The average time-consolidation curve (fig. 2, lower) shows the rate of consolidation under any given pressure. This curve is the average of the individual time-consolidation curves for different load increments. Essential features of the test procedure, the equation for determining the coefficient of permeability, and the use of average time-consolidation curves have been discussed previously.⁴

Both the consolidation characteristics of the soil strata beneath a given footing and the vertical pressures at various depths produced by the superimposed load must be known. In order to solve the many different problems involved in computing earth pressures, one must be familiar with the mathematical theory applicable to all types of problems requiring the calculation of earth stresses and their distribution. The treatment of special cases is then simplified.

The coefficient of permeability, k, is the velocity of flow at a hydraulic gradient of unity. If the thickness of the soil sample, with a voids ratio of e, is d, and if the voids ratio could be reduced to zero, the thickness would become d_0 , that is,

$$d = d_0(1+e)$$
 (2)

The rate at which water would permeate the soil sample if the difference between the two water levels were d_0 , rather than d, is designated as k_0 . It has been shown¹ that the rate of permeation increases as the hydraulic gradient increases so that from this fact and equation (2),

$$\frac{k}{k_0} = \frac{d}{d_0} = 1 + e \dots (3)$$

that is,

$$k_0 = \frac{k}{1+e} \tag{4}$$

¹ Principles of Final Soil Classification, by Dr. Charles Terzaghi, PUBLIC ROADS, vol. 8, no. 3, May 1927. ³ When plotted to a semilogarithmic scale, *e* being plotted to the natural scale, the load-compression curve is generally a straight line for loads greater than 0.1 kilcgram per square centimeter. The general equation for the straight-line portion of the curve is

 $e = B - Z \log p$

where B is the intercept of the straight-line portion of the curve at the 1.0-kilogram-per-square-centimeter ordinate and -Z is the slope of the semilog plot of the curve, or range in value of e for unit change of log p. ⁴ A Method of Predicting Settlement of Fills Placed on Muck Beds, by F. A. Robeson, PUBLIC ROADS, vol. 16, no. 12, February 1936.

It is convenient to take e as the average voids ratio of a soil sample compressed from an initial voids ratio, e_1 , to an equilibrium value e_2 , so that

On substitution of this value for e in equation (4), one obtains

$$k_0 = \frac{2k}{2 + e_1 + e_2}$$
(6)

The coefficient of compressibility, a, is the average decrease in voids ratio per unit increase of pressure. If the initial voids ratio, e_1 , is the condition at equilibrium under a pressure of p_1 grams per square centimeter and e_2 is the corresponding condition at a pressure p_2 grams per square centimeter, then

$$a = \frac{e_1 - e_2}{p_2 - p_1}$$
(7)

The values of both a and k decrease with the voids ratio, but at different rates. However, the change in the value of the ratio, $\frac{k}{a}$, is fairly small. The ratio, $\frac{k_0}{a}$, is called the coefficient of consolidation, c. From equations (6) and (7) there results from substitution for k_0 and a,

$$c = \frac{k_0}{a} = \frac{p_2 - p_1}{e_1 - e_2} \times \frac{2k}{2 + e_1 + e_2}$$
(8)

The utility of this equation may be illustrated by use of the data plotted in the upper curves of figure 2.

From this curve, the pressures, p_1 and p_2 , corresponding to $e_1=1.00$ and $e_2=0.80$, are 1,300 and 3,680 grams per square centimeter, respectively. The average voids ratio is 0.90 and from the permeability curve, the coefficient of permeability corresponding to a voids ratio of 0.90 is 4.6×10^{-8} centimeters per second.

Then
$$c = \frac{3,680 - 1,300}{1.00 - 0.80} \times \frac{9.2 \times 10^{-8}}{2 + 1.00 + 0.80} \times 60 = 0.0173$$

centimeter per minute.

Similar computations can be made, taking different values for e_1 and e_2 and the corresponding pressures, p_1 and p_2 from the curve, finding the coefficient of permeability corresponding to the average voids ratio as illustrated above, and substituting these values in equation (8).⁵ By this procedure, the values of c given in table 1 are computed. These values are plotted against the corresponding voids ratios in figure 3.

 $^{\bullet}$ The pressures for voids ratios of 0.6, 1.2, and 1.4 were computed from the relation, $e{=}1.050{-}0.442\log~p.$



FIGURE 3.—RELATIONS BETWEEN COEFFICIENT OF CONSOLIDA-TION AND VOIDS RATIO.

TABLE 1.—Computed values of the coefficient of consolidation, c

Voids	s ratios	Pressures co to void	prresponding s ratios	Coefficient of permeability, k , corresponding to e_1+e_2	Coefficient of consolida- tion, c
e1	e2	p_1	P2	2	
1.40 1.20 1.00 .80	1.20 1.00 .80 .60	Grams per square centi- meter 161 458 1, 300 3, 680	Grams per square centi- meter 458 1, 300 3, 680 10, 400	Centimeters per second 22. 6×10 ⁻⁸ 10. 2×10 ⁻⁸ 4. 6×10 ⁻⁸ 1. 6×10 ⁻⁸	Centimeters per minute 0.0088 .0123 .0173 .0190

This paper introduces only the most essential steps in some of the mathematical derivations used both for the computation of earth pressures and for computation of formulas applicable to the consolidation of relatively impervious, saturated strata caused by these pressures. For readers more concerned with direct application of the fundamental principles and formulas than with their development, a discussion of the use of the theory is presented in detail in part 1 of the paper. The mathematical derivations are included as part 2 for those interested also in the theoretical development.

March 1937

The manner of applying the test data in practice varies, depending upon the pressure distribution conditions beneath the loaded area, the thickness of the compressible layer, and whether it is free to drain both at top and bottom, as in the compression test, or from only one of the bounding surfaces.

When a load is applied on the top of a compressible soil stratum, conditions may be such that the pressure resulting from the load is the same from top to bottom of the stratum, that is, the pressure distribution is uniform. On the other hand, the conditions may be such that the pressure varies, i. e., increases or decreases as the depth increases. In this paper the pressure change per unit of depth is considered to be either zero or constant. If it is zero, the pressure distribution is uniform; if it is constant, the pressure distribution is either triangular or trapezoidal. The cases of pressure distribution are illustrated in figure 4 and are as follows:

Case 1.—Rectangular pressure distribution. The pressure is uniform from top to bottom of the compressible stratum.

Case 2.—Triangular pressure distribution. The pressure varies from zero at the upper bounding surface of the compressible stratum to a finite value greater than zero at the lower bounding surface.

Case 3.—Triangular pressure distribution. The pressure varies from a finite value greater than zero at the top of the compressible layer to a pressure of zero at the bottom.

Case 4.—Trapezoidal pressure distribution. The pressure increases with increasing depth in the compressible stratum. The pressures at both top and bottom surfaces are finite values, each greater than zero.

Case 5.—Trapezoidal pressure distribution. The pressure diminishes with increasing depth in the compressible stratum. The pressures at both top and bottom surfaces are finite values, each greater than zero.

FORMULAS DEVELOPED FOR VARIOUS TYPES OF LOADED AREAS

The pressure distribution throughout the compressible layer depends on the shape and magnitude of the loaded area at the ground surface and on the load distribution over this area. In the examples cited in this paper the load distribution is taken as uniform over the loaded area.

The five types of loaded areas considered are:

- A.-A loaded area of great length and width.
- B.—A spot load.
- C.—A relatively short and narrow, rectangular loaded area.
- D.—A relatively narrow loaded area of great length.
- E.—A circular loaded area.

The pressure per unit area at any given depth below a loaded area of great extent is taken as equal to the unit pressure on the loaded surface plus the weight of superimposed earth above the point in question. No special formula is required for computations in this simple case.

To compute the pressure below a spot load, use is made of the equation,

$$p_z = \frac{KP}{z^2} \quad \dots \quad (9)$$



FIGURE 4.—FIVE CASES OF PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION IN SOIL STRATA.

where

$$X = \frac{3}{2\pi \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{z^2}\right)^{5/2}} \tag{10}$$

$$P =$$
the spot load; and

 p_z =the vertical pressure per unit area at a vertical distance, z, below a spot load on the surface and at a horizontal distance, r, from the load.

For any distance on the vertical axis below the load, r is zero and $K = \frac{3}{2\pi}$.

Equations (9) and (10) can be used to determine the vertical pressure produced by any load, uniformly distributed over a rectangular area, provided the ratio of the longer side of the rectangle to z, the vertical depth to the point at which p_z is to be computed, is not greater than one-half. This condition is illustrated in figure 5. If, however, this ratio exceeds one-half, then the procedure is to subdivide the rectangle into rectangular elements (all of equal area) such that the ratio of the longer side of a single element to the vertical distance to the point in question is not greater than one-half. If the point at which it is desired to know the vertical pressure is at a very considerable depth below the loaded footing, then the procedure of subdivision may be unnecessary even in the case of large loaded areas. For example, the base of the Washington Monument is 125 feet square. At any point 250 feet beneath the base the ratio is $\frac{125}{250} = \frac{1}{2}$ and hence the entire loaded area may be considered as a point load at this depth.

When subdivision is necessary it is considered that the load on each element is concentrated at its center. To determine the unit pressure on the vertical center axis, the horizontal distance r from the center of each element to the center of the footing is computed and

the ratio, $\frac{T}{r}$, is evaluated. This is substituted in the

expression for K, equation (10), and K is computed for the element of area. Having done this with all of the different elements of area, the K values are added together and the sum is substituted in equation (9), the value for P in this equation being the total load on each individual subdivision of area. This procedure will be further illustrated later.

For a relatively narrow loaded area of great length, take a vertical cross section of the loaded strip. At a point on the vertical center axis, p_z is computed from the equation,



FIGURE 5.—RECTANGULAR AREA LOADED UNIFORMLY. (AREA OF TYPE C, ILLUSTRATING THE PRINCIPLES OF BOTH TYPES B AND C.)

$$p_z = \frac{p}{\pi} (\alpha + \sin \alpha) \tag{11}$$

where $\alpha =$ twice the angle, in radians, whose tangent is half the width of the strip divided by the vertical distance z to the point in question (see fig. 6); and p = the load per unit area of the footing.

To compute the maximum vertical pressure, p_z , at any point below a circular footing and on the center line (see fig. 6), use the equation,

$$p_z = p (1 - \cos^3\beta)$$
(12)
where $p =$ the load per unit area of the footing
and $\beta =$ the angle whose tangent is $\frac{r}{z}$ (see fig. 6).

RATE OF SETTLEMENT COMPUTED BY SIMPLE FORMULAS

If the compressible layer is sandwiched between two permeable sand-gravel layers, one above and one below, water can escape in two directions. If the compressible layer is overlaid with sand and rests on rock, water can escape from but one face of the stratum. There is a double filter in the first case and a single filter in the other. In either case, under a given pressure the thickness of the compressible layer is reduced to the same extent, ultimately. However, the rates of reduction in thickness of the compressible layer will obviously be different in the two cases. Consequently, the settlement during a relatively short time, say in 6 months or a year, will be greater if filtration can occur at both the upper and lower boundaries of the compressible stratum. The filtration effects a diminution in thickness and the earth's surface above the compressible stratum settles.

The total amount of vertical consolidation Q is computed by substitution of the data shown by the load-compression curve in the following expressions:

For soil sample,



for soil stratum,



FIGURE 6.—TYPES OF LOADED AREAS. UPPER, VERTICAL CROSS-SECTION OF A STRIP OF GREAT LENGTH (LOADED AREA OF TYPE D). LOWER, A CIRCULAR LOADED AREA (TYPE E).

- where e_1 =voids ratio of the sample, taken as the average voids ratio in the compressible stratum prior to the application of additional load at the surface;
 - e_2 =voids ratio of the sample taken as the average voids ratio in the compressible stratum upon reaching equilibrium after the application of additional load at the surface;
 - d_1 =thickness of soil sample at apparent equilibrium at voids ratio, e_1 ; and
 - D_1 =the thickness of the soil stratum at voids ratio, e_1 .

The rates at which soil strata settle are computed from test data and field observations by simple formulas.

The theoretical time-settlement relation for soil samples or strata sandwiched between two porous layers and with either rectangular, triangular, or trapezoidal pressure distribution or for strata with rectangular pressure distribution and with a porous layer only at the upper face is given by the expression,

$$q_1 = 1 - \frac{8}{\pi^2} \left(e^{-N} + \frac{1}{9} e^{-9N} + \frac{1}{25} e^{-25N} + \dots \right) - \dots \quad (15)$$

where q_1 =percentage of ultimate consolidation at the end of a definite period of time, t, of load application;

- e = Naperian base.
 - The exponent, N, is related to the thickness of the soil sample, the period of load application, t, and the speed of egress of water from the soil sample or stratum.

Experience has shown that the time-consolidation curves furnished by the compression test on compressible soils (see fig. 2, lower curve) conform in shape with the theoretical curve of equation (15) from q_1 equals zero to q_1 equals 90 percent on the theoretical curve. The experimental values of q_1 attributable to permeability alone, are usually from 60 to 100 percent of the actual ultimate consolidation as obtained in the laboratory with the given loading conditions. Only laboratory values of q_1 within the range in which the experimental curve agrees with the curve showing the theoretical relationship are valid for computations involving the use of equation (15). Beyond the limit of consolidation as effected by the egress of water, further consolidation of most soils is caused by particle rearrangement and does not result from permeability as assumed in the derivation of equation (15).

TIME-CONSOLIDATION RELATIONS GIVEN FOR UNIFORM, TRIANGU-LAR, AND TRAPEZOIDAL PRESSURE DISTRIBUTIONS

Considering a laboratory sample, the equation for the exponent N is

$$N = \frac{\pi^2 ct}{4\left(\frac{d_0}{2}\right)^2}$$

When a definite numerical value is assigned to q_1 , N has a numerical value, designated as N_1 . The expression then becomes

$$t = \frac{N_1 \left(\frac{d_0}{2}\right)^2}{2.47c}$$
(16)

where t = period of load application, in minutes. $d_0 = \text{thickness in centimeters that the laboratory sample would have if it were possible for the voids ratio to equal zero.}$ $d_0 = \text{twice the maximum distance water travels}$

when flowing from a sample of d_0 thickness sandwiched between two filters. c=coefficient of consolidation of compressible

soil, in centimeters per minute.

To express t of equation (16) in years instead of minutes, and d_0 in feet instead of centimeters, the following computations are necessary:

1 centimeter =
$$\frac{1}{2.54 \times 12}$$
 feet

and

$$1 \text{ minute} = \frac{1}{365.24 \times 24 \times 60} \text{ years.}$$

Then

$$t = \frac{N_1 \left(\frac{d_0}{2}\right)^2}{2.47 \ c} \times \frac{(2.54 \times 12)^2}{365.24 \times 24 \times 60} = \frac{N_1 \left(\frac{d_0}{2}\right)^2}{1,400 \ c} \text{ years.}$$

In this equation d_0 is in feet.

For a compressible stratum in the field, sandwiched between two permeable layers of soil, the time required for a given percentage of consolidation to take place is computed from the equation,

$$t = \frac{N_1 \left(\frac{D_0}{2}\right)^2}{1,400 \ c} \text{ years}....(17)$$

where D_0 = the thickness in feet of the stratum at e=0. When a compressible soil stratum rests on rock and is

covered with a porous layer, and when the pressure distribution is uniform, the time-consolidation relation is

$$t = \frac{N_1 D_0^2}{1,400 \ c} \text{ years}$$
(18)

When a soil stratum rests on impermeable rock and is covered with a porous layer, and the pressure distribution is triangular (case 2), the expression becomes

$$t = \frac{N_2 D_0^2}{1,400 c}$$
 years.....(19)

where N_2 is a definite numerical value corresponding to an assigned value for q_2 , the percentage consolidation at the time, t, for this type of pressure distribution and boundary conditions. For values of q_1 and q_2 , the corresponding numerical values of N_1 and N_2 are given in table 2.

For triangular pressure distribution (case 3) with a permeable layer only at the upper bounding surface of the compressible stratum, the time-settlement relation is

$$t = \frac{N_3 D_0^2}{1,400 \ c} \text{ years}$$
(20)

For values of q_3 the corresponding numerical values of N_3 are given in table 2. Here q_3 denotes the percentage of consolidation at any time, t, with this type of pressure distribution (case 3) and with the stated boundary conditions.

The procedures followed in computing the theoretical values of N_1 , N_2 , and N_3 , corresponding to values of q_1 , q_2 , and q_3 will be shown on pages 7 and 8 preceding the illustrative examples.

TABLE 2.—Values of N_1 , N_2 , and N_3 corresponding to values of q_1 , q_2 , and q_3 for cases 1, 2, and 3, respectively

Consolida-	Nun	nerical values	s of—
tion $q_1, q_2,$ or q_3	N_1	N_2	N_3
$\begin{array}{c} Percent \\ 0 \\ 5 \\ 10 \\ 15 \\ 20 \\ 25 \\ 30 \\ 35 \\ 40 \\ 45 \\ 55 \\ 60 \\ 65 \\ 70 \\ 75 \\ 80 \\ 85 \\ 90 \\ 95 \\ 100 \end{array}$	0.00 .005 .02 .04 .08 .12 .17 .24 .31 .39 .59 .71 .84 1.00 1.18 1.40 1.69 2.09 2.80	0.00 .06 .12 .18 .25 .31 .39 .47 .55 .63 .73 .84 .95 .08 1.24 1.68 1.93 2.35 3.02 Infinity	0. 00 002 005 01 02 03 06 09 12 17 24 32 42 54 69 88 1. 08 1. 36 1. 77 2. 54 Unfth Distribution

With trapezoidal pressure distribution, the pressure resulting from the applied load may either increase (case 4) or decrease (case 5) with depth, and has a finite value at both the upper and lower boundaries or surfaces of the compressible stratum. The ratio of the pressure at the upper boundary to that at the lower is designated as u. The value of u is unity for uniform and either zero or infinity for triangular pressure distribution. For trapezoidal pressure distribution, (case 4), u is less than 1 and greater than zero. An interpolation factor, J, is applied in this case in computing the rate of settlement if the compressible stratum is overlaid with sand and rests on rock. When this condition obtains, interpolation is between the two extremes of uniform and triangular pressure distributions. With the compressible stratum bounded below by sand and above by rock, and with a trapezoidal pressure distribution such that u exceeds unity, (case 5) an interpolation factor, J', is used in computing the rate of consolidation.

The expressions for J and J' in terms of u are as follows:

For case 4,
$$J = \frac{\log \left[\frac{2(\pi - 2)u + 4}{\pi(1 + u)}\right]}{\log \frac{4}{2}}$$
 (21)

For case 5,
$$\int J' = \frac{\log\left[\frac{u(\pi-2)+2}{(\pi-2)(u+1)}\right]}{\log\left[\frac{\pi}{2(\pi-2)}\right]}$$
(22)

Values for J and J' corresponding to values assigned to u are listed in table 3.

The time-settlement relations for cases 4 and 5, trapezoidal pressure distributions, are:

Case 4,
$$t = \frac{N_4 D_0^2}{1,400 c}$$
 years.....(23)

whe

re
$$N_4 = N_1 + J(N_2 - N_1)$$
 (2)

and
$$N_5 = N_3 + J'(N_1 - N_3)$$
(26)

TABLE 3.—Values	of u, .	J and	J' used in	computing	g the rates of	f
settlement for the	two ty	pes of	trapezoidal	pressure d	istribution	

Pressure inc depth, u va to 1	reasing with arying from 0	Pressure dim depth, u vi to infinity	inishing with arying from 1
Value of u	Value of J	Value of u	Value of J'
0. 0	1.00	1.0	1.00
. 1	. 84	1.2	. 92
. 2	. 69	1.6	. 80
. 3	. 57	1.9 2.0	.73
. 4 ~	. 46	2.2 2.5	. 67 . 62
. 5	. 36	3.0 3.5	. 55 . 50
. 6	. 27	4.0 4.5	. 45 . 42
.7	. 19	5.0	. 39 . 34
. 8	. 12	7. 0 8. 0	. 30 . 27
. 9	. 06	9.0 10.0	. 25 . 23
1.0	. 00	12.0	. 20
		20. 0 100. 0	. 13 . 02

FAIRLY CLOSE AGREEMENT FOUND BETWEEN THEORETICAL AND TEST VALUES

The time-consolidation curve (fig. 2) represents the average curve for pressures of p=1.079, 2.118, 4.196,and 8.352 kilograms per square centimeter. The timeconsolidation curve for the load p=0.61 kilogram per square centimeter was obviously influenced by experimental error and therefore was not included in computing the values for the average curve.

The divergence of the average curve from the curve given by equation (15) may be determined by means of equation (16) as follows:

For different values of g_1 , say 25, 50, and 75 percent, the values of t are obtained from the curves. The average values of e at the different stages of loading are also obtained from these curves. Then the values of c, corresponding to the average values of e, are read from the curve in figure 3; the value of d_0 is computed; the numerical values are substituted in equation (16); and the values for N_1 may thus be computed, assuming that the relationship in equation (16) obtains.

The thickness of the sample, d, at a voids ratio, e=1.670, was found in the test to be 1.130 centimeters. Therefore the thickness d_0 when e=0 would be 0.423 centimeter.

The values for N_1 thus obtained (see table 4) for equal values of q_1 are averaged and found to be 0.11 for $q_1 = 25$, 0.44 for $q_1 = 50$, and 1.30 for $q_1 = 75$.

The theoretical values of N_1 for the same values of q_1 are shown in the last column of table 4. The agreement between the determined and theoretical values is fairly good. The procedure in computing these theoretical values of N_1 follows:

TABLE 4.—Values for q_1 , e, c, and N_1 obtained from laboratory data

Pressure interval	Consoli- dation, q1	Average voids ratio, e	Values of c correspond- ing to average e	Values of N ₁ com- puted from data ¹	Values of N ₁ com- puted from theory
Kg per sq. cm 0.610-1.079	Percent	1.17 1.13	0.0108	0.10 .49	0.12 .49
1.079-2.118		1.08 1.00 .97 .94 .87	. 0140 . 0152 . 0159 . 0166 . 0177	1.47 .13 .42 1.20 .12	$ 1.18 \\ 12 \\ .49 \\ 1.18 \\ .12 $
2. 118-4. 196	50 75 25	. 84 . 80 74	. 0180 . 0183 . 0188	$ \begin{array}{r} 42 \\ 1.32 \\ 69 \end{array} $. 49 1. 18 . 12
4. 196-8. 352	50 75	. 72	. 0189 . 0191	. 44 1. 22	. 49 1. 18

¹ For $q_1=25$ percent, average N_1 (computed from data in column 5)=0.11. For $q_1=50$ percent, average N_1 (computed from data in column 5)=0.44. For $q_1=75$ per cent, average N_1 (computed from data in column 5)=1.30.

COMPUTATIONS GIVEN FOR VARIOUS TYPES OF PRESSURE DIS-TRIBUTION AND BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

Case 1.—The computations for case 1 cover uniform pressure distribution, irrespective of boundary conditions, and triangular and trapezoidal pressure distribution when the compressible stratum is bounded on both top and bottom by permeable strata. To compute the value of N_1 corresponding to any definite value assigned to q_1 in the expression,

$$q_{1} = 1 - \frac{8}{\pi^{2}} \left(e^{-N} + \frac{1}{9} e^{-9N} + \frac{1}{25} e^{-25N} + \dots \right) \dots \dots (15)$$

one may, as an approximation, neglect all terms of e except the first. Then

$$q_1 = 1 - \frac{8}{\pi^2} e^{-N}$$
(27)

or

$$1 - q_1 = \frac{8}{\pi^2} e^{-N}$$
(28)

For $q_1 = \frac{1}{2}$, equation (28) becomes

and

$$\log_{e} \frac{1}{2} = \log_{e} \frac{8}{\pi^{2}} - N_{12}$$

 $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{8}{\pi^2} e^{-N_1}$

where " \log_{e} " designates the natural logarithm.

$$N_1 = \log_e \frac{8}{\pi^2} - \log_e \frac{1}{2} = 2.3026 \left(\log \frac{8}{\pi^2} - \log \frac{1}{2}\right),$$

where "log" denotes the base 10 system of logarithms. Hence $N_1=0.48$.

The more accurate procedure would be to assign values to N, include several terms of the series, and solve for q_1 . In this manner it is found that for $N_1=0.49$ the value of q_1 is 0.50 or 50 percent. (See table 2.) However, it is seen that by neglecting all terms of e except the first in equation (15), the error, 0.49-0.48 = 0.01, is small.

Case 2.—Triangular pressure distribution with zero pressure at the upper boundary, when the compressible stratum is bounded by an impermeable stratum below and a permeable stratum above. For this condition the theoretical time-settlement relationship is given by the expression,

$$q_2 = 1 - \frac{32}{\pi^3} (e^{-N} - \frac{1}{27} e^{-9N} + \frac{1}{125} e^{-25N} - , + \ldots) \dots (29)$$

By neglecting all terms of e except the first, one may write,

$$1 - q_2 = \frac{32}{\pi^3} e^{-N}$$
(30)

for $q_2 = \frac{1}{2}$, $N_2 = \log_e \frac{32}{\pi^3} - \log_e \frac{1}{2} = 0.72$.

This compares favorably with the value, $N_2=0.73$ for $q_2=50$ percent, table 2, obtained by taking more terms in the series than the first in equation (29).

Case 3.—Triangular pressure distribution with zero pressure at the lower boundary, when the compressible stratum is bounded by an impermeable stratum below and a permeable stratum above. It will be shown in part 2 of this paper that

$$q_3 = 2q_1 - q_2 - \dots - (31)$$

The simplest procedure in computing values of N_3 that correspond to values of q_3 is to take the case, $N_1=N_2$ $=N_3$. For $N_1=N_2=N_3=0.12$, it is seen in table 2 that $q_1=0.25$ and $q_2=0.10$, so that $q_3=2\times0.25-0.10=0.40$, That is for $q_3=40$ percent, $N_3=0.12$. *Case 4.*—Trapezoidal pressure distribution with pres-

Case 4.—Trapezoidal pressure distribution with pressure increasing with depth, when the compressible stratum is bounded by an impermeable stratum below and a permeable stratum above. The value, N_4 , is computed from equation (25), which is $N_4=N_1+$ J (N_2-N_1), applicable for $q_4=q_1=q_2$. J in turn is computed from equation (21) for any given value of u. For example, when u=0.50, J=0.36 (see table 3). For $q_1=q_2=q_4=50$ percent, $N_1=0.49$ and $N_2=0.73$. Then

$$N_4 = 0.49 \pm 0.36(0.73 \pm 0.49) = 0.58$$

When this value is substituted for N_4 in equation (23),

$$t = \frac{N_4 D_0^2}{1,400 \ c}$$
 years,

the time required to produce 50 percent of the ultimate consolidation is easily calculated. The value of c is obtained from laboratory data according to the procedure already indicated and $D_0 = \frac{D_1}{1+e_1}$ as already shown (equation 2). The thickness, D_1 , of the compressible stratum prior to applying a load at the surface is known from the test borings. If $D_1=20$ feet and $e_1=1.5$, then $D_0=\frac{20}{2.5}=8$ feet. And if c=0.01 and $N_4=0.58$, then $t=\frac{0.58\times 64}{14}=2.65$ years. This is the time required for

50 percent of the ultimate consolidation to take place under the given conditions, since a value of $N_4=0.58$ corresponds to 50 percent consolidation.

Case 5.—Trapezoidal pressure distribution with pressure decreasing with depth, when the compressible stratum is bounded by an impermeable stratum below and a permeable stratum above. For $q_1=q_3=q_5$, the value, N_5 is computed from equation (26), which is,

$$N_5 = N_3 + J'(N_1 - N_3),$$

for any given value of u. From table 3, J'=0.71 when u=2. For $q_1=q_3=q_5=50$ percent, $N_1=0.49$ and $N_3=0.24$ from table 2. Then by substitution, $N_5=0.24+0.71(0.49-0.24)=0.42$. Now take the conditions already assumed for case 4, that is, c=0.01, $e_1=1.5$, the average voids ratio in the stratum prior to loading, and D=20 feet. Then

$$t = \frac{N_5 D_0^2}{1,400 c}$$
 years $= \frac{0.42 \times 64}{14}$ or 1.9 years.

DISCUSSION OF CONDITIONS GOVERNING ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLES THAT FOLLOW

In the following examples it is assumed that the material composing the compressible stratum has the consolidation characteristics derived from computations of the data plotted in figures 2 and 3, and that the weight per cubic foot of this material as well as that of the sand above it is 100 pounds. Cases 1 to 5 inclusive are linear types of pressure distribution, that is, the pressure distribution diagrams are composed of straight lines. All of these five types of pressure distribution give the same time-consolidation relation, equation (17), when the compressible stratum is bounded both above and below by drainage courses (boundary condition A). Equation (18) is the timeconsolidation relation when there is but one drainage course (boundary condition B) and the pressure distribution is rectangular (case 1). When there is but one drainage course, the stratum resting on rock and being overlaid with sand, equations (19), (20), (23), and (24)are the time-consolidation relations for cases 2, 3, 4, and 5, respectively, and computations are made with the aid of tables 2 and 3 and the interpolation formulas, making use of J and J', that is, equations (21), (22), (25), and (26).

Cases 1 to 5 inclusive may be defined in terms of u, the ratio of the pressure at the upper surface of the compressible stratum to the pressure at the lower surface, that is: Case 2, triangular pressure distribution, u=0.

Case 3, triangular pressure distribution, u =infinity. Case 4, trapezoidal pressure distribution, u varies from 0 to 1.

Case 5, trapezoidal pressure distribution, u varies from 1 to infinity.

In solving any problem involving case 4, the formulas and principles of cases 1 and 2 are applied. When the pressure distribution is of the type case 5, application is made of the formulas and principles that pertain to cases 1 and 3. Cases 1 and 2 are both limiting conditions of case 4, and cases 1 and 3 are both limiting conditions of case 5. The types of pressure distribution most frequently encountered in foundation problems are cases 1 and 5, more especially 5.

Example 1.—Case 1 pressure distribution; type A loading. Consider a uniform load of 3 tons per square foot over an area of great extent. Immediately beneath the loaded area there is a layer of sand, 10 feet thick. Below the sand is a compressible stratum also 10 feet thick and below this stratum there may be either (A) sand or (B) rock. It is desired to know the total settlement and the time required for 25, 50, and 75 percent of the total settlement to take place.

Use equation (17) for boundary condition Λ and equation (18) for boundary condition B for the timeconsolidation part of the problem. It is assumed that consolidation of the compressible stratum resulting from its own weight and that of the sand above it has reached completion prior to application of the surface load.

Prior to loading, the pressure is 1,000 pounds per square foot at the top and 2,000 pounds per square foot at the bottom of the compressible layer. In figure 2, these pressures correspond to voids ratios of 1.28 and 1.06 respectively. A pressure of 1 kilogram per square centimeter is very nearly 1 ton per square foot so that the units of pressure of figure 2 (load-compression curve) are directly applicable. The average voids ratio prior to loading is then

$$\frac{1.28 + 1.06}{2} = 1.17 = e_1.$$

After loading, the pressure at the top of the stratum is 3.5 tons and at the bottom, 4 tons per square foot. These pressures correspond to the voids ratios (see fig. 2), 0.80 and 0.78, respectively, the average, e_2 being 0.79. The total settlement is computed by equation (14), that is,

$$Q = \frac{e_1 - e_2}{1 + e_1} \times D_1 = \frac{1.17 - 0.79}{1 + 1.17} \times 10 = 1.75$$
 feet.

If there were no voids whatsoever in the material composing the compressible stratum, its thickness would be

$$D_0 = \frac{1}{1+e_1} D_1 = \frac{1}{2.17} \times 10 = 4.61$$
 feet.

The average voids ratio is reduced by the surface loading from $e_1=1.17$ to $e_2=0.79$. Within this interval there is an average e of $\frac{1.17+0.79}{2}=0.98$. From figure 3, a voids ratio of 0.98 corresponds to a value for c, the coefficient of consolidation, equal to 0.0157.

127233-37-2

Now apply equation (17) for boundary condition Λ , the compressible stratum sandwiched between two drainage courses. The times required for 25, 50, and 75 percent of ultimate consolidation (1.75 feet) to take place are, using table 1 for values of N_1 ,

for
$$q_1=25$$
 percent, and $N_1=0.12$, $t=\frac{N_1\left(\frac{D_0}{2}\right)^2}{1,400\ c}$
 $=\frac{0.12\times\left(\frac{4.61}{2}\right)^2}{1,400\times0.0157}=0.03$ year or 11 days,
for $q_1=50$ percent, $N_1=0.49$, $t=\frac{0.49\times\left(\frac{4.61}{2}\right)^2}{1,400\times0.0157}$
 $=0.12$ year=44 days,
for $q_1=75$ percent, $N_1=1.18$, $t=\frac{1.18\times\left(\frac{4.61}{2}\right)^2}{1,400\times0.0157}$

$$=0.285$$
 vear $=104$ days.

For condition B, the stratum covered with sand and resting on rock, the values of N_1 corresponding to values of q_1 are the same as for condition Λ . Solving equation (18) for $q_1=25$ percent, $t=\frac{0.12\times(4.61)^2}{1,400\times0.0157}=0.12$ year=44 days. Similarly, for $q_1=50$ percent, t=0.48year, and for $q_1=75$ percent, t=1.14 years.

Twenty-five percent of 1.75 feet, the ultimate settlement, is 5.2 inches. For condition A, this amount of settlement occurs in 11 days; for condition B, 44 days are required for this amount of settlement.

Example 2.—Case 5 pressure distribution; type C loading. Instead of a loading area of great extent as in example 1, there is a rectangular rigid footing, 10 feet wide and 30 feet long, carrying a uniform load of 3 tons per square foot. This is at the ground surface above the same compressible stratum described in example 1. The average voids ratio, e_1 , of the compressible layer prior to loading is taken as 1.17; its thickness prior to loading is 10 feet; and the weight of the sand at the upper level of the stratum is 1,000 pounds per square foot.

The vertical distance, z, of the footing above the upper boundary of the stratum is 10 feet. The ratio of the length of the footing to z is 3. This ratio is too large to warrant taking the uniform load on the footing as a point load at its center. For purposes of computations it is necessary to consider the footing as subdivided into rectangles such that the ratio of the length of a single rectangle to z does not exceed one-half (see figs. 5 and 7). The footing may be divided into twelve 5-foot squares to meet this requirement.

The total load on the footing is $3 \times 10 \times 30 = 900$ tons. The load on a single square is 75 tons and it is considered that this load is concentrated at the center of the square. The horizontal distance from the center of a square to the center of the 10- by 30-foot foundation is r and this is found from the properties of a right triangle. For example, the center of square number 5 from C, the center of the footing, is the square root of $\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{5}{2}\right)^2$, or $\frac{5}{\sqrt{2}} = r$. The same value for r is obtained



FIGURE 7.-RECTANGULAR LOADED AREA (TYPE C).

for squares 6, 7, and 8. The ratio, $\frac{r}{z}$, appearing in equation (10) is $\frac{5}{10\sqrt{2}}$ or $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}$ for squares 5, 6, 7, and 8. These values and those obtained for the other squares (fig. 7) are given in table 5, together with the value of K obtained by substituting the value for $\frac{r}{z}$ in equation (10),

$$K = \frac{3}{2\pi \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{z^2}\right)^{\frac{5}{2}}}.$$

The three values for K, corresponding to the three groups of load numbers given in the first column of table 5, are added together, their sum being 0.5404. This must be multiplied by 4 to give K for the entire footing. The vertical stress at a point 10 feet below C and on the vertical center axis through C is then calculated from equation (9). That is,

$$p_z = \frac{KP}{z^2} = \frac{75}{100} \times 4 \times 0.5404 = 1.62$$
 tons per square foot.

TABLE 5.—Computations of vertical stresses below a rectangular fooling 10 by 30 feet

Load numbers (see fig. 7)	Distance, r	Ratio, $\frac{r}{z}$	Coefficient K
5, 6, 7, and 8 3, 4, 9, and 10 1, 2, 11, and 12	$ Feet 5 \\ \sqrt{2} \\ \frac{5\sqrt{10}}{2} \\ \frac{5\sqrt{26}}{2} $	$\frac{\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\frac{2}{2}}} = 0.354}{\frac{5}{\frac{10}{4}} = 0.790}$ $\frac{\sqrt{\frac{26}{4}}}{\frac{1}{4}} = 1.274$	0. 3555 . 1420 . 0429
Total			. 5404

By taking z=20 feet and computing in the same manner, it is found that at the lower boundary of the compressible stratum and on the vertical center axis of the footing, p_z is 0.73 ton per square foot. The pressure is a maximum for points along the vertical center axis of the footing and the distribution of this maximum pressure is trapezoidal, case 5. At points removed from the vertical center axis there is also case 5 pres-



FIGURE 8.—DISTRIBUTION OF MAXIMUM PRESSURE UNDER Rectangular Footing. Soil Layer Contained Between Two Permeable Sand Layers.

sure distribution, but the value of p_z at any level is less than the value of p_z for the same level on the vertical center axis. For computations consider only the maximum pressures, which are along the vertical center axis.

The shaded trapezoidal area marked "inactive" in figure 8, denotes the pressure distribution resulting from the weight of earth material alone. The trapezoidal figure marked "active" denotes the distribution of maximum pressure in the compressible stratum that results from the uniform load on the footing. It is again assumed that consolidation of the stratum caused by its own weight and that of the sand is complete at the time the surface load is applied and hence all of this pressure has been transferred from water to soil and therefore cannot act in combination. The applied load producing the active trapezoidal pressure distribution produces further consolidation beyond that already effected by the weight of earth material.

When the surface load is applied, the pressure per square foot at the upper boundary of the compressible layer is 1,000+3,240=4,240 pounds or 2.12 tons. That at the lower boundary is 3,460 or 1.73 tons. From figure 2, these pressures correspond to voids ratios of 0.90 and 0.94, respectively, the average value of e being $0.92=e_2$. Prior to loading, the average value of e, as found in example 1, is 1.17. The ultimate settlement is therefore

$$Q = \frac{1.17 - 0.92}{1 + 1.17} \times 10 = 1.15$$
 feet = 13.8 inches.

The average voids ratio is reduced by the surface loading from 1.17 to 0.92. The average of these is 1.045 and the corresponding value of c from figure 3 is 0.0138.

Example 2 (continued).—Boundary condition Λ ; the stratum is sandwiched between sand layers. For the time-consolidation computations, again apply equation (17) for boundary condition Λ (see fig. 8).

For
$$q_1 = 25$$
 percent, $t = \frac{N_1 \left(\frac{D_0}{2}\right)^2}{1,400 \ c} = \frac{0.12 \times \left(\frac{4.61}{2}\right)^2}{1,400 \times 0.0138} = 0.033$

Similarly, t=0.135 year=49 days for $q_1=50$ percent and 0.325 year=119 days for $q_1=75$ percent.

These values are nearly equal to those computed for boundary condition Λ , example 1, and would be the



FIGURE 9.—DISTRIBUTION OF MAXIMUM PRESSURE UNDER Rectangular Footing. Soil Layer Overlaid by Sand and Resting on Rock.

same were it not for small variations in c as the pressure is varied. For most practical purposes, when boundary condition A obtains, the time-consolidation relation can be considered independent of the amount of pressure and the type of pressure distribution.

Example 2 (continued).—Boundary condition B; the compressible stratum rests on rock and is overlaid with sand. When condition B obtains in this example (see fig. 9), use equations (24) and (26), together with tables 2 and 3. The total settlement, Q, is the same for both boundary conditions, A and B. The value for u (consider only the active pressure, fig. 9) is 2.22. Then J' is obtained from table 3 and is taken as 0.67. From table 2, when $q_1=q_3=q_5=25$ percent, $N_1=0.12$ and $N_3=0.03$, and from equation (26),

$$N_5 = N_3 + J'(N_1 - N_3) = 0.03 + 0.67(0.12 - 0.03) = 0.09.$$

This value for N_5 is substituted in equation (24) and taking c=0.0138, then for $q_5=25$ percent,

$$t = \frac{N_5 D_0^2}{1.400 c} = \frac{0.09 \times (4.61)^2}{1.400 \times 0.0138} = 0.099 \text{ year} = 36 \text{ days}.$$

For $q_1 = q_3 = q_5 = 50$ percent, N_1 (table 2)=0.49 and $N_3 = 0.24$.

Then $N_5 = 0.24 + 0.67 (0.49 - 0.24) = 0.41$ and

$$t = \frac{0.41 \times (4.61)^2}{1,400 \times 0.0138} = 0.451$$
 year = 165 days.

Similarly for $q_5 = 75$ percent,

$$t = \frac{1.08 \times (4.61)^2}{1,400 \times 0.0138} = 1.19$$
 years = 435 days.

Example 3.—Case 4, pressure distribution; type E loading; boundary condition B. It would seem that case 4 pressure distribution is a comparatively rare occurrence. Problems of this type may be encountered, however, when consolidation produced by the weight of earth material alone is incomplete at the time of load application at the ground surface. Figure 10 illustrates such a condition. If it is assumed that consolidation in the compressible stratum 5 feet thick resulting from the weight of sand above is only 50 percent completed, then this weight exerts an active pressure which is zero at the top and 1,000 pounds per square foot at the bottom of the compressible layer. The distribution of active pressure resulting from the weight of sand alone is, therefore, triangular. It is assumed that consolidation of the



FIGURE 10.—DISTRIBUTION OF MAXIMUM PRESSURE UNDER CIRCULAR FOOTING. SOIL LAYER OVERLAID BY SAND AND RESTING ON ROCK.

compressible layer as produced by its own weight is complete at the time of loading.

Referring to figure 10, a load of 3 tons per square foot is applied over a rigid circular footing 10 feet in diameter. It is desired to determine the total settlement and the rate of settlement of the compressible layer which rests on rock and is covered with the sand layer 10 feet thick, 50 percent of the consolidation producible by the weight of sand being completed at the time of load application.

To compute the pressure, p_z , at a point on the vertical centerline 10 feet below the rigid footing, substitute values in equation (12). The cosine of angle β (fig. 10)

is
$$\frac{10}{\sqrt{125}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}$$
 and p equals 3 tons per square foot. Then

$$p_z = p(1 - \cos^3\beta) = 3\left(1 - \frac{\delta}{5\sqrt{5}}\right) = 0.854 \quad \text{ton per square}$$

At a point 15 feet below the footing and on the vertical center axis, $p_z=0.438$ ton per square foot. Therefore, the applied load produces a pressure of 1,707 pounds per square foot at the upper boundary and 876 pounds per square foot at the lower boundary of the compressible layer.

Prior to loading, e at the upper surface of the layer is 1.28, corresponding to a load of 1,000 pounds per square foot, and at the lower boundary it is 1.44, corresponding to a load of 500 pounds per square foot. The average is $1.36=e_1$. The voids ratios (see fig. 2) corresponding to pressures of 1,000+1,707 pounds per square foot at the top and 500+1,876 pounds per square foot at the bottom of the layer are found (fig. 2) to be 0.99 and 1.01, respectively. The average is $1.00=e_2$. The total settlement is, therefore,

$$Q = \left[\frac{1.36 - 1.00}{1 + 1.36}\right] \times 5 = 0.76$$
 foot.

It is important to note that prior to loading, soil at the top of the compressible stratum has reached equilibrium under the weight of sand (1,000 pounds per square foot) and that soil at the bottom of the stratum has not as yet been consolidated by the sand but has been consolidated by the weight of compressible soil, i. e., 500 pounds per square foot. Therefore, the voids ratio at the top of the layer prior to loading corresponds to a pressure of 1,000 and that at the bottom to a pressure of 500 pounds per square foot. After a very long period of time subsequent to loading, the soil at the top of the compressible layer will be in equilibrium with a pressure of 2,707 pounds per square foot and that at the bottom with a

March 1937



FIGURE 11.—DISTRIBUTION OF MAXIMUM PRESSURE UNDER STRIP OF INFINITE LENGTH. SOIL LAYER OVERLAID BY SAND AND RESTING ON ROCK.

pressure of 2,376 pounds per square foot. These pressures correspond to definite voids ratios, found experimentally (see fig. 2), and are used in computing Q.

When load is applied, the active pressure at the top of the layer is 1,707 and that at the bottom is 1,876 pounds per square foot. Hence $u=\frac{1,707}{1,876}=0.91$, a value between 0 and 1 (case 4). Apply equations (23) and (25) and use the values for N_1 and N_2 corresponding to different values for $q_1=q_2$, table 2. Values of J are found from table 4, and for u=0.91, J=0.06. For $q_1=q_2=25$ percent, $N_1=0.12$, $N_2=0.31$, and

$$N_4 = N_1 + J(N_2 - N_1) = 0.12 + 0.06(0.31 - 0.12) = 0.13$$
$$D_0 = \frac{1}{1 + e_1} \times 5 = \frac{1}{2.36} \times 5 = 2.12 \text{ feet.}$$

For
$$e = \frac{e_1 + e_2}{2} = 1.18$$
, $c = 0.0106$ (fig. 3).

Then for
$$q_4=25$$
 percent, $t=\frac{N_4D_0^2}{1,400c}=\frac{0.13\times(2.12)^2}{1,400\times0.0106}=$
0.039 year=14 days.

- Similarly, for $q_4=50$ percent, $t=\frac{0.50\times(2.12)^2}{1,400\times0.0106}=0.15$ year=55 days,
- and for $q_4=75$ percent, $t=\frac{1.19\times(2.12)^2}{1,400\times0.0106}=0.36$ year= 132 days. In 132 days the settlement is 75 percent of 0.76 foot or 6.8 inches.

Example 4.—Case 5 pressure distribution; type D loading; boundary condition B. All other conditions

are the same as in example 3 except that in this case the rigid footing is a loaded strip 10 feet wide and of relatively great length instead of a circular bearing area and consolidation caused by weight of sand is complete prior to loading. Figure 11 shows a vertical cross section. To find p_z at the top of the compressible layer and at a point on the vertical center axis through the cross section, apply equation (11). The angle, α , is expressed in radians and sin $\alpha = 0.80$.

$$p_z = \frac{p}{\pi}(\alpha + \sin \alpha) = \frac{6,000}{3.14}(0.93 + 0.80) = 3,290$$
 pounds per

square foot.

At the lower boundary and on the centerline, $p_z = \frac{6,000}{3.14}(0.64\pm0.60) = 2,375$ pounds per square foot. This is case 5 pressure distribution, and u=1.38. The average voids ratio prior to loading is the average of ecorresponding to 1,000 and of e corresponding to 1,500 pounds per square foot and is $1.21=e_1$. Subsequent to loading the values of e at the top and bottom of the compressible stratum correspond to the equilibrium pressures, 4,290 and 3,875 pounds per square foot, respectively. From figure 2 these values of e are 0.90 and 0.92, the average being $0.91=e_2$. The total settlement is therefore

$$Q = \left[\frac{1.21 - 0.91}{1 + 1.21}\right] \times 5 = 0.68$$
 foot or 8.2 inches.

To compute the rate of consolidation, apply equations (24) and (26) and make use of tables 2 and 3. J'=0.87 for u=1.38 (by interpolation). For $e=\frac{e_1+e_2}{2}=1.06, c=0.0134$.

For
$$q_1 = q_3 = q_5 = 25$$
 percent, $t = \frac{N_5 D_0}{1,400c} = \frac{0.11 \times (2.20)^2}{1,400 \times 0.0134} = 0.03$ year=11 days.

- For $q_5=50$ percent, $t=\frac{0.46\times(2.26)^2}{1,400\times0.0134}=0.125$ year=46 days.
- For $q_5 = 75$ percent, $t = \frac{1.14 \times (2.26)^2}{1,400 \times 0.0134} = 0.310$ year=113 days.
- In 113 days the strip settles 75 percent of 8.2 inches or 6.2 inches.

The preceding examples are intended to illustrate the application of the theory of soil consolidation. Part 2 contains the derivation of equations and the development of the basic theory.

PART 2.-DEVELOPMENT OF THEORY OF SOIL CONSOLIDATION

In 1885, J. Boussinesq⁶ developed in considerable detail and usable form a solution of the general problem of determining the distribution of stresses and strains in a semi-infinite, elastic, isotropic solid, bounded by a plane and loaded by a single concentrated force at a point on that plane. The formulas of Boussinesq have had wide application in the development of the science of soil mechanics.

The distribution of stresses across any plane parallel to the plane surface is shown in figure 12. If a single concentrated vertical load, P, is applied at a point on the surface, then at a radial distance, R, from this point and at a depth, z, below the surface, the stresses at the point (x, y) are:

$$p_R = \frac{3P}{2\pi R^2} \frac{z}{R}$$
, parallel to R (32)

$$p_x = \frac{3P}{2\pi R^2} \frac{zx^2}{R^3}, \text{ parallel to } x \text{ axis} \qquad (33)$$

$$p_y = \frac{3P}{2\pi R^2} \frac{zy^2}{R^3}$$
, parallel to y axis (34)

$$p_z = \frac{3P}{2\pi R^2} \frac{z^3}{R^3}$$
, parallel to z axis. (35)

The derivation of these equations may be found in almost any standard text dealing with the theory of elasticity.7 These equations contain no elastic constants, however, and for this reason it has probably been assumed that the distribution of stresses does not depend upon the type of material. Cummings,⁸ Krynine,⁹ and others have discussed this point at length.

A complete analysis of the stress distribution including planes not parallel to the boundary involves 6 components of stress, 3 shears and 3 normal stresses, and the elastic constants appear in some of these equations. In the theory of consolidation of soils we are concerned mainly with the vertical normal stress, p_z . At a given depth, z, it is seen from equation (35) that p_z is greatest when R is smallest, that is, the maximum values for p_z are along the vertical centerline of the loaded area

(x=y=0, fig. 12). The value of p_z at any point is easily computed, knowing that $R^2 = r^2 + z^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$. If a combination of point loads is given, we may obtain the stresses at a point resulting from each load considered separately, then add these and obtain the total pressure at the point produced by the combination of loads. Gilboy 10 has shown that by dividing a uniformly loaded area into rectangular elements so that the longer side of any element is less than half the distance from the center of the area to the point, the computed value for p_z at the point will not deviate from the correct value by more than 6 percent. The accuracy is greater with further subdivision of the rectangular elements.



FIGURE 12.-DISTRIBUTION OF STRESSES ACROSS ANY PLANE PARALLEL TO THE PLANE SURFACE.

FORMULAS DEVELOPED FOR DETERMINING PRESSURE AT POINT BELOW LOAD

With reference to figure 12, if the expression for $3z^{3}P$ $p_z = \frac{3z}{2\pi R^5}$ is integrated in the y direction from $y = -\infty$ to $y = +\infty$ and the resulting "line load" is multiplied by dx, we obtain the total load on a strip infinitely long and of width, dx, produced by the point load, P, at the boundary. In this integration, x and zare constant. Thus:

$$p_{z} = \frac{3Pz^{3}}{2\pi R^{5}} = \frac{3Pz^{3}}{2\pi (x^{2} + y^{2} + z^{2})^{5/2}}$$
$$\int p_{z} = dx \frac{3Pz^{3}}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{x^{2} + y^{2} + z^{2}}{x^{2} + z^{2}} \right]^{-5/2} (x^{2} + z^{2})^{-5/2} dy$$

This is easily integrated if we put $\frac{y}{(x^2+z^2)^{1/2}} = \tan \theta$ (see fig. 12). Then

$$\int p_z = (dx) \frac{3Pz^3}{\pi (x^2 + z^2)^2} \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\pi/2} \cos^3\theta d\theta,$$

or

$$\int p_{z} = \frac{3Pz^{3}}{\pi (x^{2} + z^{2})^{2}} \left[\sin \theta - \frac{\sin^{3}\theta}{3} \right]_{0}^{\pi/2} dx = \frac{2Pz^{3}}{\pi (x^{2} + z^{2})^{2}} dx_{-1} (36)$$

If this expression is again integrated in the x direction, taking twice the value obtained between the limits, x=0 and $x=\infty$ (fig. 12), the result is equal to P, the applied force. Obviously, on any plane of infinite extent and at a finite distance below and parallel to the loading surface, the total distributed load is equal to the applied load at the surface. The mathematical result is in agreement with this self-evident fact.

Application des Potentiels a l'Etude de l'Equilibre et du Movement des Solides Elastiques. Paris, 1885.
 ⁷ For example, see chapter 11 of Theory of Elasticity, by S. Timoshenko.
 ⁸ Distribution of Stressee under a Foundation, by A. E. Cummings, Proceedings, American Society of Civil Engineers, vol. 61, no. 6, August 1935.
 ⁹ Discussion of Cummings' paper by Clement C. Williams, D. P. Krynine, and L. C. Wilcoxen, Proceedings, American Society of Civil Engineers, vol. 68, no. 8, pt. I, October 1935.
 ¹⁹ Earths and Foundations. Progress Report of Special Committee, Proceedings, American Society of Civil Engineers, vol. 59, no. 5, May 1933.





In equation (36), if P is taken as p, the vertical pressure per unit area, and if p is considered as concentrated at the center of a unit area, then the total vertical pressure on the strip at a distance below the surface is equal to $\frac{2pz^3}{\pi(x^2+z^2)^2}dx$. This is the total pressure, $\int p_z$, on a strip below the surface produced by a unit load on the surface. Conversely, the total load on an infinitely long strip at the surface and parallel to the y axis, produced by applying the unit load, p, over the length of this strip will cause a vertical pressure $\frac{2pz^3}{\pi(x^2+z^2)^2}dx$, at a point below the surface. Briefly, a point load at the surface produces a total load, $\frac{2pz^3}{\pi(x^2+z^2)^2}dx$ on a strip below the surface and conversely, a strip load of unit load per unit area on the surface produces a pressure, $\frac{2pz^3}{\pi(x^2+z^2)^2}dx$ at a point below the surface, action and reaction being equal. It will be noted that the integrations of the Boussinesq formulas are with reference to areas or points below the loaded area at the surface. At the surface, z=0 and there is nothing to integrate that has reference to the fundamental equation (35). Figure 13 is a vertical cross section of a uniformly loaded area (p per unit of area) of infinite length and of width, 2b. The load on a strip of infinitesimal width, dx, produces a pressure, p_z , at a point T equal to $\frac{2pz^3}{\pi(x^2+z^2)^2}dx$. If this expression is integrated between

 $\pi(x^2+z^2)^{2dx}$. If this expression is integrated between limits, there is obtained the value for p_z at T resulting from the total load over the whole area, infinite in length and of width, 2b. The integration is more easily performed if we let $\frac{x}{z} = \cot \theta$ (fig. 13). Then at the point T,

$$p_{z} = \frac{2p}{\pi z} \int_{x-zb}^{x} \left(1 + \frac{x^{2}}{z^{2}}\right)^{-2} dx = -\frac{2p}{\pi} \int_{\alpha_{1}}^{\alpha_{2}} (1 + \cot^{2}\theta)^{-2} \csc^{2}\theta d\theta$$
$$= \frac{p}{\pi} [\alpha - \sin \alpha \cos(\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2})] \qquad (37)$$

If the point, T, is on the vertical centerline through the vertical cross section (fig. 13), then $\alpha_2 = 180^\circ - \alpha_1$ and equation (37) reduces to

$$p_z = \frac{p}{\pi} (\alpha + \sin \alpha) \qquad (38)$$

For uniform pressure distribution (p per unit area) over a circular bearing area of radius r (see fig. 14) the



pressure at a point below the loaded area and on the vertical centerline is,

$$p_{z} = \int_{0}^{r_{1}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{3p}{2\pi} \frac{z^{3}}{(z^{2} + r^{2})^{\frac{5}{2}}} r dr d\theta = 3pz^{3} \int_{0}^{r_{1}} \frac{r dr}{(r^{2} + z^{2})^{\frac{5}{2}}}$$

Integrating and simplifying,

$$p_{z} = p \left[1 - \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{r_{1}^{2}}{z^{2}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right] \text{ and since } \frac{r_{1}}{z} = \tan \beta$$

$$p_{z} = p (1 - \cos^{3} \beta) \dots (39)$$

MECHANICS OF SOIL CONSOLIDATION OUTLINED

Consider the flow or egress of water from a wet, compressible, soil stratum subjected to vertical loading. The soil is relatively impervious and is "sandwiched" between two permeable sand-gravel layers, L, L, figure 15. The movement of water saturating the stratum is in both the upward and downward directions, parallel to the vertical axis, ZZ, and directed toward the two permeable layers, L, L.

At any finite time, t, after load application, that part of the total pressure carried by the water, p_w , is considered as the "hydrodynamic excess." ¹¹ The remainder of the load is carried by the solid material, the "soil skeleton." At the outset of loading (t=0) all of the pressure is carried by water if the compressible layer is saturated. At any subsequent time, the hydrodynamic excess, p_w , is zero at the surfaces of contact of the soil stratum and the permeable layers, but elsewhere it is a finite quantity, varying with the depth, z. The upper and lower faces, AB and CD of the elementary prism, ABCD, are each of unit area. The thickness of the elementary prism is Δz (fig. 15).

We may then define the following expressions:

p=the total pressure per unit cross-sectional area. It includes the pressure on the soil skeleton and the pressure, p_w , carried by water alone.

 p_w = the pressure per unit cross-sectional area that is carried by water alone.

 $p-p_w$ the pressure per unit cross-sectional area that is carried only by the soil particles, i. e., by the soil skeleton.

a= the rate of change of the voids ratio with respect to $(p-p_w)$ and is called the coefficient of compressibility. $k_0=$ the reduced coefficient of permeability; see equa-

 $\frac{dp_w}{dz}$ = the rate of change of the hydraulic pressure, p_w , with respect to the depth, z. It is the hydraulic pressure gradient.

 $\frac{d^2 p_w}{dz^2}$ = the rate of change of $\frac{dp_w}{dz}$ with respect to z and

¹¹ Terzaghi, K., Erdbaumechanik. Franz Deuticke, Vienna, 1925.



FIGURE 15.—ELEMENTARY PRISM OF COMPRESSIBLE MATERIAL BETWEEN TWO PERMEABLE LAYERS. F INDICATES DIRECTION OF FLOW.

is taken as constant through the very small vertical distance, Δz .

 $\Delta z \frac{d^2 p_w}{dz^2}$ = the total change of $\frac{d p_w}{dz}$ within the inter-

val, Δz . $k_0 \frac{d^2 p_w}{dz^2} \Delta z =$ the rate at which the elementary prism

quantity of water flowing from the upper face, AB, in unit time and the quantity flowing into the prism through the face, CD, in unit time. It is therefore the rate of volume reduction of the elementary prism.

 $\frac{dp_w}{dt} = -\frac{d(p-p_w)}{dt} = \text{the rate of change of } p-p_w \text{ with respect to time.}$

 $a\frac{dp_w}{dt}$ = the rate of change in voids ratio with respect to time.

 $a\frac{dp_w}{dt}\Delta z$ = the rate of change in volume of the ele-

mentary prism with respect to time. The expressions $\frac{dp_w}{dz}$, $\frac{d^2p_w}{dz^2}$, and $\frac{dp_w}{dt}$ are considered as the partial derivatives. The faces, AB and CD, of the elementary prism are both of unit horizontal crosssectional area and are parallel to each other.

The amount of water lost by the elementary prism in unit time is $k_0 \frac{d^2 p_w}{dz^2} \Delta z$, which is the difference between the amount of water flowing out from the face AB and that flowing into the prism through the face CD. But the rate of loss of water (which is the rate of reduction in volume) by the elementary prism is also equal to $a\frac{dp_w}{dt}\Delta z$. Then $k_0\frac{d^2p_w}{dz^2}\Delta z = a\frac{dp_w}{dt}\Delta z$. Divide

both sides of this expression by a and write c for $\frac{k_0}{a}$. Then, since Δz cancels,

$$c\frac{d^2p_w}{dz^2} = \frac{dp_w}{dt} \tag{40}$$

This is analogous to the development of the equation for the flow of heat. A more complete derivation of equation (40) has been published.¹²

TIME-CONSOLIDATION RELATIONSHIPS FOR UNIFORM PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION DERIVED

A solution of equation (40) is

$$p_w = e^{-c\kappa^2 t} (A \cos Kz + B \sin Kz) \dots \quad (41)$$

where A, B, and K are constants.







FIGURE 17.---A. PRESSURE CONDITIONS IN COMPRESSIBLE LAYER. AT THE INSTANT LOAD IS APPLIED (l=0), $p_w=p$ at All Levels. B, Pressure Conditions in Compressible Layer Subsequent to Load Application. When t>0, $p_w=0$ at z=0 and at z=2 l_{oj} $\langle p_w < p$ at All Levels.

In figure 16, the reduced thickness (the thickness the compressible stratum would have were there no voids between the particles) of the soil layer is $2l_0$ where l_0 is the maximum distance that water travels if the layer has a thickness, d_0 . That is, $d_0=2l_0$. Water saturates the soil. Apply load. At the very instant that load is applied, as noted before, the water in the soil takes all the pressure (see fig. 17-A). That is, at t=0, the total pressure, p, is equal to p_w at any depth, z (see fig. 17-A). At any other time after loading, p_w is less than the total pressure, some of which has been transferred to the soil skeleton. The condition, subsequent to applying load, is represented by figure 17-B. At any level, z, a part of the load, p_w , designated as the "hydrodynamic excess," is carried by water. The load carried by the soil skeleton at depth, z, is the total pressure minus p_w .

At any time subsequent to t=0, p_w is equal to 0 when z=0. The water escapes instantaneously at z=0.

The same condition prevails at the lower boundary, that is at $z=2l_0$, $p_w=0$ if t is greater than 0. At t=0, p_w is a function of z.

For present purposes, assume that the total pressure, p, (that on solid and that on water taken together) is uniform and constant throughout the depth, z.

We now consider equation (41) under the following boundary conditions.

$$\rho_w = (A\cos Kz + B\sin Kz)e^{-\epsilon \kappa^2 t} \qquad (41)$$

Condition 1: $p_w = 0$ when z = 0 and t > 0.

The sine of 0 is 0 and sin Kz=0.

Therefore, since $p_w=0$ and $B \sin Kz=0$, it follows that A cos Kz must also =0. But cos 0=1 and A cos 0=A. Then A must equal zero. So condition 1 reduces equation (41) to

$$p_w = (B\sin Kz) e^{-\epsilon \kappa^2 t} \tag{42}$$

¹² Mathematical Theory of the Process of Consolidation of Mud Deposits, by Alberto Ortenblad. Journal of Mathematics and Physics, vol. 9, no. 2.



FIGURE 18.--FRACTIONS OF LOAD CARRIED BY SOIL SKELETON AND BY WATER.

Condition 2: When $z=2l_0$ and $p_w=0$, then sin Kz= sin $2Kl_0=0$.

Hence Kz must be an integral multiple of π .

 $z=2l_0$ and $Kz=2Kl_0$ for condition 2, and sin Kz=0when $Kz=2Kl_0=\pi, 2\pi, 3\pi \dots n\pi$, where *n* is any integer from 1 to infinity.

integer from 1 to infinity. Since $2Kl_0 = n\pi$, $K = \frac{\pi}{2l_0} = \frac{2\pi}{2l_0} = \frac{3\pi}{2l_0} = \frac{n\pi}{2l_0}$

The expression, $e^{-e_{K}2_{l}}$, becomes $e^{-\frac{n^{2}\pi^{2}cl}{4l_{0}^{2}}}$. Substitute for K the successive values, $\frac{\pi}{2l_{0}}, \frac{2\pi}{2\overline{l}_{0}}, \frac{n\pi}{2\overline{l}_{0}}$, etc. in equation (42), add and obtain:

$$p_{w} = a_{1} e^{-\frac{\pi^{2}ct}{4l_{0}^{2}}} \sin \frac{\pi z}{2l_{0}} + a_{2} e^{-\frac{4\pi^{2}ct}{4l_{0}^{2}}} \sin \frac{2\pi z}{2l_{0}} + \cdots + a_{n} e^{-\frac{n^{2}\pi^{2}ct}{4l_{0}^{2}}} \sin \frac{n\pi z}{2l_{0}} - \cdots$$
(43)

When t=0, equation (43) becomes:

$$p_{w} = p = a_{1} \sin \frac{\pi z}{2l_{0}} + a_{2} \sin \frac{2\pi z}{2l_{0}} + a_{3} \sin \frac{3\pi z}{2l_{0}} + \cdots + a_{n} \sin \frac{n\pi z}{2l_{0}} - \cdots$$
(44)

This is true for all values of z between 0 and $2l_0$. To find a_1 , multiply through by $\sin \frac{\pi z}{2l_0}$ and integrate all terms between the limits, 0 and $2l_0$. Then, as usually happens in the case of a Fourier series, all terms on the right, except the first, vanish, and since $p_w = a$ constant = p when t=0,

then
$$p \int_{0}^{2l_0} \sin \frac{\pi z}{2l_0} dz = a_1 \int_{0}^{2l_0} \sin \frac{2\pi z}{2l_0} dz_{-----}$$
(45)
 $a_1 = \frac{4p}{\pi}, a_2 = 0, a_3 = \frac{4p}{3\pi}, a_n = \frac{4p}{n\pi},$

where n is odd.

Substituting the values of $a_1, a_2, a_3, \cdots a_n$ in equation (43), there results

$$p_{w} = \frac{4p}{\pi} e^{-\frac{\pi^{2}cl}{4l_{0}^{2}}} \sin \frac{\pi z}{2l_{0}} + \frac{4p}{3\pi} e^{-\frac{9\pi^{2}cl}{4l_{0}^{2}}} \sin \frac{3\pi z}{2l_{0}} + \cdots + \frac{4p}{(2n+1)\pi} e^{-\frac{(2n+1)^{2}\pi^{2}cl}{4l_{0}^{2}}} \sin \frac{(2n+1)\pi z}{2l_{0}} - \cdots$$
(46)

Where n is 0, 1, 2, 3, etc.

Multiply equation (46) through by dz and integrate between the limits, z=0 and $z=2l_0$. We have:

$$\int_{0}^{2l_{0}} p_{w}dz = \frac{4p}{\pi} e^{-\frac{\pi^{2}cl}{4l_{0}^{2}}} \int_{0}^{2l_{0}} \sin\frac{\pi z}{2l_{0}} dz + \frac{4p}{3\pi} e^{-\frac{9\pi^{2}cl}{4l_{0}^{2}}} \int_{0}^{2l_{0}} \sin\frac{3\pi z}{2l_{0}} dz$$

$$+ \dots + \frac{4p}{(2n+1)\pi} e^{-\frac{(2n+1)^{2}\pi^{2}cl}{4l_{0}^{2}}} \int_{0}^{2l_{0}} \sin\frac{(2n+1)\pi z}{2l_{0}} dz - \dots (47)$$
or $\int_{0}^{2l_{0}} p_{w}dz = -\frac{8l_{0}p}{\pi^{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi^{2}cl}{4l_{0}^{2}}} \left[\cos\frac{\pi z}{2l_{0}}\right]_{0}^{2l_{0}}$

$$- \frac{8pl_{0}}{9\pi^{2}} e^{-\frac{9\pi^{2}ct}{4l_{0}^{2}}} \left[\cos\frac{3\pi z}{2l_{0}}\right]_{0}^{2l_{0}} \dots$$

$$- \frac{8pl_{0}}{(2n+1)^{2}\pi^{2}} e^{-\frac{(2n+1)^{2}\pi^{2}ct}{4l_{0}^{2}}} \left[\cos\frac{(2n+1)\pi z}{2l_{0}}\right]_{0}^{2l_{0}}$$

$$= \frac{16pl_{0}}{\pi^{2}} \sum_{x=0}^{n=\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)^{2}} e^{-\frac{(2n+1)^{2}\pi^{2}ct}{4l_{0}^{2}}} \left[\cos\frac{(2n+1)\pi z}{2l_{0}}\right]_{0}^{2l_{0}}$$

This is the total p_w at any time greater than zero.

The total p_v at $t = 0 = \int_0^{2l_0} p \, dz = p[z]_0^{2l_0} = 2l_0 p$ since $p_v = p$ when t = 0.

$$\int_{0}^{2t_{0}} p_{w} dz = \text{total } p_{w} \text{ at any subsequent time, } t.$$

 $1 - \frac{\int_{0}^{2l_0} p_w dz}{2l_0 p} = q_1$, the percentage of consolidation at time, t.

That is

$$q_{1} = 1 - \frac{\frac{16pl_{0}}{\pi^{2}} \left(e^{-N} + \frac{e^{-9N}}{9} + \frac{e^{-25N}}{25} + \frac{e^{-49N}}{49} + \cdots \right)}{2pl_{0}}$$
or $q_{1} = 1 - 8/\pi^{2} \left(e^{-N} + \frac{e^{-9N}}{9} + \frac{e^{-25N}}{25} + \frac{e^{-49N}}{49} + \cdots \right) - \dots (48)$
where $N = \frac{\pi^{2}ct}{4l_{0}^{2}}$

Figure 18 illustrates diagrammatically the load fractions carried by the clay skeleton and the water. In figure 19, X = load fraction carried by water, and Y = load fraction carried by soil.

The total $p_w = X = \int_0^{2t_0} p_w dz$ and $X + Y = \int_0^{2t_0} p dz$ = the total pressure.

$$q_{1} = 1 - \frac{\int_{0}^{2t_{0}} p_{t_{0}} dz}{\int_{0}^{2t_{0}} p dz} = \frac{Y}{X+Y} \text{ when } t = t_{1} > 0$$

$$q_{1} = 1 - 8/\pi^{2} \left(e^{-\frac{\pi^{2}ct}{4l_{0}^{2}}} + 9e^{-\frac{9\pi^{2}ct}{4l_{0}^{2}}} + \cdots + \frac{1}{(2n+1)^{2}} e^{-\frac{(2n+1)^{2}\pi^{2}ct}{4l_{0}^{2}}} \right)$$



FIGURE 19.-TRIANGULAR PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION. THE LOWER TRIANGLE IS THE MIRROR IMAGE OF THE UPPER ONE.

DERIVATION OF TIME-CONSOLIDATION RELATIONSHIP FOR TRI-ANGULAR PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION, PRESSURE INCREASING WITH DEPTH

For a triangular pressure distribution (see fig. 19) the two boundary conditions,

Condition 1:
$$p_w = 0$$
 at $z = 0$
and condition 2: $\frac{dp_w}{dz} = 0$ at $z = 0$

must satisfy equation (41),

$$p_w = \mathrm{e}^{-\kappa^2_{cl}} (A \, \cos Kz + B \sin Kz).$$

From condition 1, this equation reduces to equation (42) as before and

$$p_w = \mathrm{e}^{-\kappa^2 ct} \left(B \sin Kz \right)_{-----} (42)$$

For the second condition, at $z = l_0$,

$$\frac{dp_w}{dz} = e^{-\kappa^2 ct} BK \cos Kz = 0 = e^{-\kappa^2 ct} BK \cos Kl_0.$$

But

 $\cos K l_0 = 0$ when $K l_0 = \frac{m\pi}{2}$

where m is any odd integer. That is,

$$K = \frac{\pi}{2l_0}, \frac{3\pi}{2l_0}, \frac{5\pi}{2l_0}, \cdots, \frac{m\pi}{2l_0}.$$

Substitute these values of K successively in (42) and add the results together; we thus obtain

$$p_{w} = a_{1} e^{-\frac{\pi^{2}cl}{4l_{0}^{2}}} \sin \frac{\pi z}{2l_{0}} + a_{2} e^{-\frac{9\pi^{2}cl}{4l_{0}^{2}}} \sin \frac{3\pi z}{2l_{0}} + \cdots$$
to infinity_____(49)

At t = 0, equation (49) becomes

$$p_w = a_1 \sin \frac{\pi z}{2l_0} + a_2 \sin \frac{3\pi z}{2l_0} + \dots + a_m \sin \frac{m\pi z}{2l_0}$$
 (50)

where m is 1, 3, 5, etc., i. e., any odd, positive integer. The coefficient, a_m , is evaluated in the usual manner. At t=0, $p_w=f(z)=\frac{p_1z}{l_0}$ between the limits z=0 and $z=l_0$. At t=0, between the limits $z=l_0$ and $z=2l_0$, $p_w = f_1(z) = 2p_1 - \frac{p_1 z}{L}$



SAND, PERMEABLE -



Then

6

$$u_{m} = \frac{1}{l_{0}} \left[\int_{0}^{l_{0}} \frac{p_{1}z}{l_{0}} \sin \frac{m\pi z}{2l_{0}} dz + \int_{l_{0}}^{2l_{0}} \left(2p_{1} - \frac{p_{1}z}{l_{0}} \right) \sin \frac{m\pi z}{2l_{0}} dz \right]$$

Where m is any odd, positive integer. Integrating, $a_m = \frac{8p_1}{m^2 \pi^2}$ for m = 1.5, 9, 13, 17, etc.,

and

$$a_m = -\frac{8p_1}{m^2\pi^2}$$
 for $m = 3, 7, 11, 15, 19,$ etc.

By substitution equation (49) becomes

Then
$$\int_{0}^{t_{0}} p_{w} dz = \frac{8p_{1}}{\pi^{2}} \sum_{m=1}^{m=-\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}}{m^{2}} e^{-\frac{m\pi\pi\tau}{4l_{0}^{2}}} \int_{0}^{t_{0}} \sin\frac{m\pi z}{2l_{0}} dz$$
$$= \frac{16p_{1}l_{0}}{\pi^{3}} e^{-\frac{\pi^{2}ct}{4l_{0}^{2}}} - \frac{16p_{1}l_{0}}{27\pi^{3}} e^{-\frac{9\pi^{2}ct}{4l_{0}^{2}}} \pm \dots \frac{16p_{1}l_{0}^{2}}{(2n+1)^{3}\pi^{3}} e^{-\frac{(2n+1)^{2}\pi^{2}ct}{4l_{0}^{2}}}$$

At
$$t=0, f(z) = \frac{p_1 z}{l_0} \text{ and } \int_0^{l_0} f(z) dz = \frac{p_1 l_0}{2}$$

 $q_2 = 1 - \frac{\int_0^{l_0} p_w dz}{\int_0^{l_0} f(z) dz}$
 $= 1 - \frac{32}{\pi^3} \left(e^{-\frac{\pi^2 ct}{4 l_0^2}} - \frac{1}{27} e^{-\frac{9\pi^2 ct}{4 l_0^2}} + \frac{1}{125} e^{-\frac{25\pi^2 ct}{4 l_0^2}} - , + \dots \right)$

or writing
$$N = \frac{\pi^2 c t}{4 l_0^2}$$

 π^{3}

Where n = 0, 1, 2, 3, etc.

$$q_2 = 1 - \frac{32}{\pi^3} \left(e^{-N} - \frac{1}{27} e^{-9N} + \frac{1}{125} e^{-25N} - , + ... \right) = (52)$$

FORMULAS APPLICABLE TO OTHER TYPES OF PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION DEVELOPED

General relations.-Figure 20 illustrates five different types of pressure distribution. In this figure the conditions illustrated are for a compressible stratum overlaid with sand and resting on impervious rock.

The percentages of consolidation for the five types of pressure distribution shown in figure 21 are expressed as follows:



Vol. 18, No. 1

in this comparatively simple case for, if q_1 , the percentage consolidation, is plotted against the time, the curves for the different pressure distributions will theoretically coincide for the same soil. The ultimate amount of consolidation may vary with the type of pressure distribution but the percentage of the ultimate consolidation attained at the end of a definite period of time is theoretically independent of the pressure or its manner of distribution if the relatively impervious stratum is confined between two relatively permeable layers, and the rate at which q_1 increases depends solely on the permeability, compressibility, and thickness of the clay layer.

The expressions for cases 4 and 5, trapezoidal pressure distributions, are combinations of equations (48) and (52) and are obtained by integration according to the procedure followed in deriving equation (52). The expressions are, case 4,

$$q_4 = \frac{pq_1 + \frac{p_1}{2}q_2}{p + \frac{p_1}{2}}$$
(53)

and case 5,

$$q_5 = \frac{pq_1 - \frac{p_1}{2}q_2}{p - \frac{p_1}{2}}$$
(54)

Case 3, triangular pressure distribution, u being infinite, is a special case of equation (54). For case 3, $p=p_1$ and hence from equation (54),

 $q_3 = 2q_1 - q_2 - \dots - (55)$

When the expressions on the right of equations (48) and (52) are substituted for q_1 and q_2 , equation (55) is written

$$q_{3} = 2 - \frac{16}{\pi^{2}} \left[e^{-N} + \frac{1}{9} e^{-9N} + \frac{1}{25} e^{-25N} + \dots \right]$$
$$-1 + \frac{32}{\pi^{3}} \left[e^{-N} - \frac{1}{27} e^{-9N} + \frac{1}{125} e^{-25N} - , + \dots \right]. (56)$$

Formulas for trapezoidal pressure distributions.—With reference to figure 20, both q_4 and q_5 approach q_1 as a limit as p_1 approaches the limit zero. This is also evident from equations (53) and (54). Note also that

 $p + \frac{p_1}{2}$ is the area of the trapezoid, case 4, when $l_0 = 1$ and p_0

 $p - \frac{p_1}{2}$ is the area of the trapezoid, case 5, for $l_0 = 1$.

For the condition, $q_1=q_2=q_4$, the numerical value, N_4 , will be between that for N_1 and N_2 , that is,

$$N_4 = N_1 + J(N_2 - N_1).$$

This is equation (25), Part 1. Then

$$(1-q_1) = (1-q_2) = (1-q_4)$$
 and $\log_e (1-q_1)$

 $=\log_{e} (1-q_{2}) = \log_{e} (1-q_{4}) = \log_{e} R.$

Neglecting all terms in e except the first in equations (48), (52), and (53),

$$\log_e (1-q_1) = \log_e \frac{8}{\pi^2} - N_1 = \log_e R_{-----}(57)$$

Figure 21.—Values of q and N for Different Values of u

Case 1.—Uniform or rectangular pressure distribution.

$$q_1 = 1 - \frac{8}{\pi^2} \left(e^{-N} + \frac{1}{9} e^{-9N} + \frac{1}{25} e^{-25N} + \ldots \right)$$

Case 2.—Triangular pressure distribution, pressure = 0 at upper surface.

$$q_2 = 1 - \frac{32}{\pi^3} \left(e^{-N} - \frac{1}{27} e^{-9N} + \frac{1}{125} e^{-25N} - , + \ldots \right)$$

Case 3.—Triangular pressure distribution, pressure = 0 at lower surface.

$$q_3 = \frac{p\left(q_1 - \frac{q_2}{2}\right)}{\frac{p}{2}} = 2q_1 - q_2$$

Case 4.—Trapezoidal pressure distribution, pressure increasing with depth.

$$q_4 = \frac{pq_1 + q_2\frac{p_1}{2}}{p + \frac{p_1}{2}}$$

Case 5.—Trapezoidal pressure distribution, pressure decreasing with depth.

$$q_{5} = \frac{pq_{1} - \frac{p_{1}}{2}q_{2}}{p - \frac{p_{1}}{2}} = \frac{(p - p_{1})q_{1} + \frac{p_{1}}{2}q_{3}}{p - \frac{p_{1}}{2}}$$

If the compressible layer is in contact with permeable sand layers above and below, then equation (48) holds for all of the five types of pressure distribution shown in figure 20 and interpolation formulas are not needed

$$\log_{e} (1-q_{2}) = \log_{e} \frac{32}{\pi^{3}} - N_{2} = \log_{e} R \dots (58)$$

$$\log_{e} (1-q_{4}) = \log_{e} \left[\frac{\frac{8}{\pi^{2}}p + \frac{16}{\pi^{3}}p_{1}}{p + \frac{p_{1}}{2}} \right] - N_{4} = \log_{e} R_{--}(59)$$

where \log_{e} denotes the natural logarithm. Equations (57), (58), and (59) are easily solved for N_1 , N_2 , and N_4 and the values are substituted in the expression,

$$J = \frac{N_4 - N_1}{N_2 - N_1}$$
(60)

which is equation (25) solved for J. Thus

$$J = \frac{\log\left[\frac{2p\pi + 4p_1}{\pi(2p + p_1)}\right]}{\log\frac{4}{\pi}}$$
(61)

Since for case 4, $u = \frac{p}{p+p_1}$ or $p = \frac{p_1 u}{1-u}$, then by substi-

tution in equation (61) one obtains

$$J = \frac{\log\left[\frac{2(\pi-2)u+4}{\pi(1+u)}\right]}{\log\frac{4}{\pi}}$$
(62)

which is equation (21), Part 1.

For the condition, $q_1 = q_3 = q_5$, one may write

$$J' = \frac{N_5 - N_3}{N_1 - N_3}$$
(63)

Equation (63) is equation (26), Part 1, solved for J'. Furthermore, $1-q_1=1-q_3=1-2q_1+q_2$, from equation (55), $=1-q_5$. By dropping all terms in e except the first,

$$\log_{e}(1-q_{1}) = \log_{e} \frac{8}{\pi^{2}} - N_{1} = \log_{e} R$$
 as before...(57)

and

$$\log_{e} (1-q_{3}) = \log_{e} (1-2q_{1}+q_{2}) - N_{3}$$
$$= \log_{e} \left[\frac{16}{\pi^{2}} - \frac{32}{\pi^{3}} \right] - N_{3} = \log_{e} R_{-------} (64)$$

In equation (54), $2q_1-q_3$ may be substituted for q_2 to obtain

$$q_{5} = \frac{(p - p_{1})q_{1} + \frac{p_{1}}{2}q_{3}}{p - \frac{p_{1}}{2}}$$
(65)

Then

$$\log_{e} (1-q_{5}) = \log_{e} \left[\frac{(p-p_{1})\frac{8}{\pi^{2}} + p_{1} \left(\frac{8}{\pi^{2}} - \frac{16}{\pi^{3}}\right)}{p - \frac{p_{1}}{2}} \right] - N_{5} \quad (66)$$

After substituting the values obtained by solving equations (57), (64), and (66) for N₁, N₃, and N₅ in equation (63) and substituting $\frac{p_1u}{u-1}$ for p, the expression obtained for J' is

$$J' = \frac{\log\left[\frac{u(\pi-2)+2}{(\pi-2)(u+1)}\right]}{\log\left[\frac{\pi}{2(\pi-2)}\right]}$$
(67)

This is equation (22) of Part 1.

The expression for J was derived by Gilboy.¹³ The expression for J' was given by Kimball.¹⁴ Neither Gilboy nor Kimball indicated the derivations and both have given negative signs for equations (62) and (67). It would of course be impossible to obtain table 3 of Part 1 of this paper with negative values for J and J'. Since only the first terms in e were used in their derivations, the expressions for J and J' are of course only approximate.

Figure 21 shows curves obtained by plotting values for N on log scale as abscissae against corresponding values for q plotted as ordinates. The different curves are for 8 particular values assigned to u, these being $u=0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 3, 10, \text{ and } \infty$. For intermediate values of u, values of q and N may be found by interpolation.

CHARACTERISTICS OF THEORETICAL TIME-CONSOLIDATION CURVE DISCUSSED

Equation (48) is one of a smooth curve having several important characteristics, one of which is illustrated in figure 22-A and 22-B. Figure 22-A is the curve obtained by taking t=N, that is, $\frac{\pi^2 c}{4l_0^2}$ as unity in equation (48). Let q_1 be the percentage consolidation at the end of a definite time interval, t, and t_1 the time required to produce half this percentage of consolidation, i.e., $\frac{1}{2}$ q_1 . For $q_1=80$ percent, figure 22-A, t=1.4minutes and the time, t_1 , required to effect $\frac{1}{2}$ of 80 percent consolidation is seen to be 0.3 minute from the time consolidation curve, figure 22-A. The ratios, $\frac{t}{t_1}$ are plotted against q_1 in figure 22-B. Within the range $q_1=0$ to $q_1=70$ percent, the ratio, $\frac{t}{t_1}$ is fairly constant and is approximately equal to 4. This indicates that within this range the time-consolidation curve is approximately a parabola. For values of q_1 greater than 70 percent, the ratios, $\frac{t}{t_1}$, increase. An ordinate value of $q_1=90$ percent on the theoretical curve, figure 22-A, corresponds to a value of $\frac{t}{t_1}$ =5.27, figure 22-B. The value, t=2.1 minutes, corresponds to the value, $\frac{t}{t_1}$ =5.27.

Since the relation between q_1 and N_1 is fixed, the ratio, $\frac{t}{t_1}=5.27$ for $q_1=90$ percent is invariant for all values assigned to $\frac{\pi^2 c}{4 l_0^2}$.

As a rule the time-compression curves for relatively impermeable soils have very nearly the same shape as a theoretical curve up to a point which, on the theoretical curve, has an ordinate of 90 percent. Beyond this point the two curves diverge appreciably. Since an

 ¹³ See equation (31) in "Earths and Foundations," Proceedings, American Society of Civil Engineers, vol. 59, no. 5, May 1933.
 ¹⁴ Proceedings, American Society of Civil Engineers, vol. 59, no. 6, August 1933.



Figure 22.—Relations Between Percentage of Consolidation and Time, When t=N.

ordinate of 90 percent on the theoretical curve corresponds to a definite value of $\frac{t}{t_1}$ =5.27, it is easy to compute the coefficient of consolidation of a soil by adapting the actual curve to the theoretical one according to the following procedure:

1. Plot the laboratory time-consolidation curve, corresponding to figure 22-A.

2. From the time-consolidation curve plot the $\frac{t}{t_1}$ ratios corresponding to definite percentages of consolidation. 3. From the $\frac{t}{t_1}$, q_1 curve find the value of q_1 corresponding to $\frac{t}{t_1}$ =5.27.

4. From the time-consolidation curve find the value of t corresponding to the value of q_1 for $\frac{t}{t_1}$ =5.27. Call this particular value t_2 .

5. Substitute the value for t_2 thus found in the expression, $\frac{\pi^2 c}{4l_0^2} t_2 = 2.1$, and solve for c,

In testing with the Terzaghi compression device, the sample is confined between two permeable layers and hence $l_0 = \frac{1}{2} d_0$. In this case,

This is another method of computing c. [See equation (8).]

		STAT	US OF FF	DERA	L-AID H	IGHWAY	PROJE	ICTS			
			Ł	AS OF FEI	BRUARY 28.	,1937					
			COMPLETED		UNDE	R CONSTRUCTION		APPROVE	D FOR CONSTRUCTIO	z	BALANCE OF
STATE	APPORTIONMENT	Estimated Total Cost	Federal Aid	Miles	Estimated Total Cost	Federal Aid	Miles	Estimated Total Cost	Federal Aid	Miles	ABLE FOR NEW PROJECTS
Alabama Arizona Arkansas	\$ 7.872.980 5.394.661 6.463.681	\$ 51,600 1,930,893	\$ 25,800 1,508,964	9.0	\$911,981 1,449,258 1,414,14	\$ 455,990 1,087,452	36.9 51.8 66.4	\$ 801,590 288,184	# 400,780 204,801	127.8	\$ 6,990,410 2,593,444
California Colorado Connecticut	14,366,891 6,911,198 2,388,339	5,742,065 3,800,010 777,897	3,295,486 2,030,922	148.5 150.4	8,617,998 2,999,222 705,928	4,920,707 1,667,481 350,556	219.9 103.6	2,197,988 935,170	1,128,852	115.8 119.11	5,021,846 2,689,278
Delaware Florida Georgia	1.843.750 5.020.323 9.569.722	454,124 832,414 1.145.317	223,048 410,828 535,720	21.9	1,901,255 2,552,647	214.830 950.623 1.276.308	12.9 61.8 133.0	257,325 1409,870 791,880	128,658 204,935 395,940	7.0 7.1	1,277,214 3,453,937 7,361,754
Idaho Illinois Indiana	4,635,991 15,564,720 9,333,269	2,698,759 8,317,693 5,402,340	1,570,730 4,151,826 2,690,678	256.6 134.5 179.1	4,575,896 2,488,350	518,151 2,253,474 1,243,387	56.1 122.6 65.5	151.776 4.302.725 3.116.865	2,128,210 2,128,210 1,557,746	39.9	2,091,240 7,031,210 3,841,458
Iowa Kansas Kentucky	9.757.950 10,005,211 6.961.271	6, 893, 383 2, 996,064 2, 255, 639	3,333,805 1,496,945 1,102,787	466.7 608.6 150.7	3,456,619 5,220,236 990,365	1,673,515 2,583,663 495,182	125.5 401.6 28.0	2,900,820 3,839,032 1,465,770	1,250,014 1,919,500 732,884	201.3 41.7	3,500,616 4,005,103 4,630,418
Louisiana Maine Maryland	5,387,420 3,299,867 3,094,808	1,918,621	925,151 958,513	58.6	810,147 838,968 1.049.363	405,072 419,484 524,646	28.0	1,212,899 731,560	546,542 365,780 224,305	30.7	3,510,655 1,556,090 2,345,857
Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota	5,255,300 11,562,296 10,344,485	333,935 9,222,039 8,178,850	166,968 4,564,957 3.844,155	332.3	4,322,180 4,938,669 2.314,658	2,161,090 2,468,431 1.157,329	20.3 123.7	3,133,800 3,1419,220	243,545 1,566,275 707,097	83.9 46.4	2,683,697 2,962,633 1,635,904
Mississippi Missouri Montana	6,635,344 11,479,090 7,744,061	4,101,546	2,043,658	1448.9 392.7	913,040 7,309,623	456,820 3,642,893 1.367,686	55.9 257.2 163.7	3,389,490 2,980,011	1,693,940 1,486,262	161.1	4, 484, 584 4, 306, 276 3, 858, 784
Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire	7,809,353 4,821,864 1,843,750	2,737,150 1,571,360 857,796	1.365,932	170.2 272.2 24.8	2,905,687 567,128 192,933	1,458,426 487,083 96,014	288.6	988.954	494,458 8,300	139.3	4,490,537 2,970,945 1,325,391
New Jersey New Mexico New York	5,054,295 6,030,708 18,565,567	2,466,551 3,361,190 8,078,571	1,233,124 2,065,128 3,978,430	34.7 273.2 167.7	2,257,052 1,873,692 15,289,384	1,1051,231 1,139,563 7,461,092	24.9 122.2 243.3	19,989 603,983 2.572.400	367,752	28.8	2, 159, 945 2, 458, 265 6, 302, 245
North Carolina North Dakota Ohio	8,877,837 5,914,683 13,771,548	2,488,072 2,479,799	1.242.749	313.0	3,506,143 384,820 5,896,649	1,746,172 204,581 2.824,381	245.2 .4	2,139,840	954.770	72.8	4,934,147 5,710,102 8,247,647
Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania	8,880,547 6,182,079 16,129,804	2,671,532 2,915,109 6,656,250	1,754,451	92.1	2,178,347 2,788,716 7,676,677	1,142,458 1,661,715 3,830,511	83.4	1,407,578 1,058,055 4,117,693	729.977 622.443 1.985.452	43.9	5,619,661 2,143,821 6,993,026
Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota	1,843,750 5,103,525 6,162,747	213,888 261,882 1.384,652	106,944 106,400 777,493	3.4 27.9 188.6	4,365,561 127.860	339,168 1,749,520 80,869	5.6 269.7 36.5	173,727 983,739 772,488	86,864 372,702 423,492	2.1 92.2 57.4	1,310,774 2,874,903 4,880,893
Tennessee Texas Utah	7,949,380 23,506,431 4,274,740	2,260,730 12,178,430 2,099,593	1,128,235 6,072,908 1,471,004	98.8 685.4 138.1	609,776 7,632,063 1.022,986	304,883 3.772.158 738.448	18.3 #53.7	1,421,148 5,033,235 265,120	2,484,394 2,484,394	53•3 319•9 23•3	5,805,683 11,176,971 1.875,338
Vermont Virginia Washington	1,843,750 6,887,569 5,907,615	1,319,301 2,753,546 3,882,942	655,718 1,373,932 2.037,427	62.9 109.8 149.9	801,645 2,147,636 2,020.071	373,910 1,073,815 1,060,771	23.1 108.0 84.7	284,759 1,716,452 517,744	142,300 858,226 270,660	1.8.7	671,822 3,581,596 2,538,757
West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming	4,107,201 9,197,557 4,722,322	863,018 3,881,767 2,814,018	431,498 1,862,662 1,732,346	160.6 354.0	734,456 4,067,710 1,468,308	367,216 1,979,584 898.316	17.7 129.7 152.6	721.065 658.658 379.966	360,532 328,275 234,004	17.1 18.4	2.947.955 5.027.037 1.857.156
Hawaii Puerto Rico	1,843,750	1 1	1.1	11	522,275	258,192	10.0	402,460	200,415	8.4	1, 385, 143
TOTALS	368,750,000	143,024,208	74.582.723	7.835.2	135,236,033	69,808,919	4.994.5	• 67,669,218	34.247.175	2.507.7	190,111,183

21

	AS PROVII	C DED BY SECT	URRENT JON 204 OF 7	STATUS THE NATIO	OF UNITH NAL INDUS' AS	ED ST/ FRIAL R	ATES PUI	3LJC WOI ACT (1934 FU	KKS ROA JNDS) AND	D CON BY THE	STRUCTI 8 ACT OF JU	ON INE 18, 1934	(1935 FU	NDS)	
	APPORTIC	DNMENTS		COMPLET	ED			UNDER CONSTE	NUCTION		APPROVED	FOR CONSTRUC	NOIL	BALANCE OF FUI FOR NEW 1	VDS AVAILABLE PROJECTS
STATE	Sec. 204 of the Act of June 16, 1933 (1934 Fund)	Act of June 18, 1934 (1935 Fund)	Total Cost	1934 Public Works Funds	1935 Public Works Funds	Milcage	Estimated Total Cost	1934 Public Works Funds	1935 Public Works Funds	Mileage	1934 Public Works Funds	1935 Public Works Funds	Mileage	1934 Public Works Funds	1935 Public Works Funds
Alabama Arizona Arkansas	\$ 8,370,133 5,211,960 6,748,335	\$ 4,259,842 2,641,935 3,428,049	\$15,652,881 8,984,153 10,964,131	\$ 8,301,392 5,203,675 6,627,424	\$ 3,833,815 2,605,035 3,367,291	771.1 543.2 619.9	* 164,711 24,073 136,731	\$ 52,665 80,459	\$112.046 24.073 55.922	5.8	\$ 31,389	\$ 285,822 4,200	1.5	\$16,076 8,285 9,063	\$ 28,155 \$2,827 \$2,827 \$36
California Colorado Connecticut	15,607,354 6,874,530 2,865,740	7.932.206 3.486,006 1.454.868	30,621,595 11,255,999 4,514,512	15,583,782 6,874,530 2,758,269	7,769,112 3,459,071 1,312,770	758.7 633.7 74.0	115,605 6,362 59,618	59,618	115,553					23,572 47,854	47,542 20,575 142,098
Delaware Florida Georgia	1,819,088 5.231,834 10,091,185	923,395 2,661,343 5,113,491	2.783.078 8.924.696 13.294.342	1,818,804 5,175,534 9,269,813	917,472 2,358,763 3,256,698	130.0 306.4 754.2	318.779	408,690	294,464 734,922	3.5 73.4	56,300	143,474	•.3 7.8	280,731	5,923 8,116 978,396
Idaho Illinois Indiana	4,486,249 17,570,770 10,037,843	2,277,486 8,921,401 5,088,963	7,108,644 26,364,669 15,546,336	4,477,339 17,311,651 9,895,839	2,185,517 7,968,903 4,837,453	501.4 715.6 482.7	54,194 1,234,717 227,844	222,449 129,083	52,034 885,007 98,761	.1 13.2 2.4	17,560	1,886 7,100 58,728	7+5	8,910 19,110 12,921	38,049 60,391 94,021
Iowa Kansas Kentucky	10,055,660 10,089,604 7,517,359	5,118,361 5,117,675 3,818,311	15,586,846 15,427,787 12,173,096	10,055,660 10,089,600 7,504,603	4,921,761 5,010,191 3,711,004	1,222.3	209,734 115,038 82,002		196,600 78,627 82,002	5.0 13.1 2.8	10,217	20,885	۰.	2,539	7,972
Louisiana Maine Maryland	5,828,591 3,369,917 3,564,527	2,963,932 1,711,586 1,810,058	9,147,543 5,248,447 5,659,409	5,763,651 3,346,497 3,475,617	2,715,610 1,672,204	259.5 193.5 152.2	215,480 43,100 68,343	15,000	215,459 26,540 68,343	11.5		285,453	7.3	64,940 8,420 88,910	32,863 12,841 352,377
Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota	6,597,100 12,736,227 10,656,569	3,350,474 6,452,568 5,425,551	10,399,577 20,694,553 16,211,829	6,552,734 12,736,227 10,514,422	3,094,340 6,306,320 4,850,678	115.5 768.1 1.641.9	96,788 372,262	58,110	96,788 268,494	7.2		91,944 40,000 34,800	- 7.9	444.367 844.037	164,190 9,460 271,578
Mississippi Missouri Montana	6.978.675 12.180.306 7.439.748	3,540,227 6,173,740 3,769,734	12.753.162 18.200.704 11.764.936	6.784.988 12.105.337 7.425.476	2,991,841 4,989,769 3,649,311	723.8 1,441.2 1,058.4	651.325 1.130.984 56.546	142,960	491,245 1,127,050 56,546	21.4 6.4	3,960	20,523	L.	46.767 74.969 14.272	36,618 56,920 63,877
Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire	7,828,961 4,545,917 1,909,839	3,964,364 2,302,356 969,462	12,841,101	7,812,918 4,545,917 1,904,951	3.617.217 2.268.591 949.520	1,018,4 758,8 78,3	251,824 25,004 4,169		251,824 25,004 4,169	27.5		68,900	5.9	16,043 4,888	26,423 7,111 15,773
New Jersey New Mexico New York	6, 346, 039 5, 792, 935 22, 330, 101	3,220,879 2,941,700 11,327,921	9,096,652 8,784,010 39,434,063	6,045,652 5,731,884 21,782,046	2,452,166 2,813,448 10,475,519	85.9 743.9 821.3	1,218,088 107,130 1,233,880	120,518 518,810	663,430 107,130 631,049	10.3 5.9 3.9	88,486 17,267 23,246	9,212 2,709 169,100	• •	91.384 43.784 5.999	96,071 18,412 52,253
North Carolina North Dakota	9,522,293 5,804,448 15,484,592	4,840,941 2,938,967 7,865,012	14,965,034 8,588,055 24,755,327	9.210.800 5.586.084 15.379.719	4.527.830 2.228.278 7.519.924	1.345.8 2.126.9 792.1	553,051 226,881 275,374	291,910 106,183 40,500	261,141 120,698 205,957	21.5 16.3 4.0	15.703 58.056	182,906 87,583	28.0 4.2	19,582 96,478 6,318	51,971 407,085 51,548
Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania	9,216,798 6,106,896 18,891,004	4,685,180 3,097,814 9,590,788	14.647.853 9.946.206 28.963.929	9.214.657 6.048.081 18.536.691	4.330.553 2.935.541 8.906.792	805.5 468.0	272,992 64,223 395,207	53,214	272,992 64,223 321,211	1.5 3.4	55,000 168,454	26,981 82,737	3.7	2,141 3,815 132,644	81,635 71,070 280,048
Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota	1,998,708 5,459,165 6,011,479	1.014.572 2.770.954 3.047.643	3,150,270 7,985,664 9,266,230	1,998,708 5,211,885 5,834,013	1,012,094 2,453,069 2,855,879	89.1 618.5 1.571.6	359,440 228,517	161.980 77.600	197,260	9.4 29.5	42,400 5,400	56,356 8,671	1.7	42,900 94,466	2,478 64,269 32,176
Tennessee Texas Utah	8,492,619 24,244,024 4,194,708	4.302.991 12.291.253 2.132.691	13,667,901 38,002,703 7,408,593	8,490,706 24,189,662 4,193,208	4,135,256 11,892,458 2,132,691	492.7 2.780.0 590.9	124,314	14.797	113,164	3.6		16,727 188,895	5.9 8.0	1,913 39,565 1,500	7,845
Vermont Virginia Washington	1,867,573 7,416,757 6,115,867	948,007 3,765,387 3,106,412	3,166,359 11,597,217 9,399,029	1,867,452 7,294,582 6,112,042	940.847 3.382.605 3.026.509	141.0 614.5 302.7	292,292 46,596	82,461	204,199 46,596	30.5	9,045	85,002 14,823	5.2	30,668 3,825	7,160 93,582 18,484
West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming	4,474,234 9,724,881 4,501,327	2,280,335 4,941,837 2,287,712	6,472,133 15,436,536 6,889,086	4.342.391 9.720.705 4.451.922	1.791.107 4.884.968 2.228.182	212.9 619.7 1.037.7	375,487 12,330 5,781	54,892	297,549 12,330	9.4 .3	4,176 11,818	66,425 2,720 21,300	-7	76.951 31.806	125,254 41,819 38,230
District of Columbia Hawaii	1,918,469	973,842 949,778	2,887,584 2,680,982	1,918,469	968.979 264.953	22.3	650,838		646,859	4.7		4,863 14,000		13.250	23,966
TOTALS	394,000,000	200,000,000	629,380,737	388,935,821	183,883,791 3	5,056,0	13,391,146	2,697,679	9.793.735	359.8	750.429	2,151,842	110.8	1,616,071	4,170,632