

A JOURNAL OF HIGHWAY RESEARCH



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS



VOL. 16, NO. 11

 ∇

JANUARY 1936



ON U S 50 IN WEST VIRGINIA

PUBLIC ROADS *** A Journal of Highway Research

Issued by the

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS

Volume 16, No. 11

January 1936

The reports of research published in this magazine are necessarily qualified by the conditions of the tests from which the data are obtained. Whenever it is deemed possible to do so, generalizations are drawn from the results of the tests; and, unless this is done, the conclusions formulated must be considered as specifically pertinent only to described conditions.

In This Issue						Page
Digest of Report on Connecticut Traffic Survey						225 238
Automatic Pumping Units for Underpasses						

THE BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS -Willard Building, Washington, D. C. REGIONAL HEADQUARTERS - - - Mark Sheldon Building, San Francisco, Calif.

DISTRICT OFFICES

-	TOTAL COT	** *	0	WW.F 1 *	1 3 4
D	ISTRICT	No. L.	Oregon.	Washington.	and Montana.

Post Office Building, Portland, Oreg.

DISTRICT No. 2. California, Arizona, and Nevada.

Mark Sheldon Building, 461 Market St., San Francisco, Calif.

DISTRICT No. 3. Colorado, New Mexico, and Wyoming.

237 Custom House, Nineteenth and Stout Sts., Denver, Colo.

DISTRICT No. 4. Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wisconsin.

907 Post Office Building, St. Paul, Minn.

DISTRICT No. 5. Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, and Nebraska.

Saunders-Kennedy Building, Omaha, Nebr.

DISTRICT No. 6. Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, and Texas.

Room 502, United States Courthouse, Fort Worth, Tex.

DISTRICT No. 7. Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, and Michigan.

South Chicago Post Office Building, Chicago, Ill.

DISTRICT No. 8. Alabama, Georgia, Florida, Mississippi, and Tennessee. Post Office Building, Montgomery, Ala.

DISTRICT No. 9. Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Rhode Island, and Vermont.

505 Post Office Building, Albany, N. Y. DISTRICT No. 10. Delaware, Maryland, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and District

Willard Building, Washington, D. C.

DISTRICT No. 11. Alaska.

Room 419, Federal and Territorial Building, Juneau, Alaska.

DISTRICT No. 12. Idaho and Utah.

Federal Building, Ogden, Utah.

DISTRICT No. 14. North Carolina, South Carolina, Virginia, and West Virginia.

Montgomery Building, Spartanburg, S. C.

Because of the necessarily limited edition of this publication it is impossible to distribute it free to any person or institutions other than State and county officials actually engaged in planning or constructing public highways, instructors in highway engineering, and periodicals upon an exchange basis. At the present time additions to the free mailing list can be made only as vacancies occur. Those desiring to obtain Public Roads can do so by sending \$1 per year (foreign subscription \$1.50), or 10 cents per single copy, to the Superintendent of Documents, United States Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C.

DIGEST OF REPORT ON CONNECTICUT TRAFFIC SURVEY

Reported by L. E. PEABODY, Senior Highway Economist, Division of Highway Transport, Bureau of Public Roads

THE Connecticut traffic survey was conducted under a cooperative agreement between the Bureau of Public Roads and the State Highway Department of Connecticut.¹ The survey was begun October 6, 1933, and was completed September 30, 1934.

CONNECTICUT IS DENSELY POPULATED AND HAS HEAVY TRAFFIC ON ITS HIGHWAYS

Connecticut's population increased 16.4 percent between the censuses of 1920 and 1930. The State is one of four in which all counties had population increases during these years. In 1930 its population density was 333.4 persons per square mile, or more than eight times the population density in the United States as a whole

The number of motor vehicles registered in Connecticut increased steadily until 1932, the first year to show a decline. This was followed by a smaller decrease in 1933, and in 1934 the losses in registration in the 2 previous years were more than made up when nearly 358,000 motor vehicles were registered, the greatest number in the history of the State.

Gasoline consumption in Connecticut has followed an upward trend except for the year 1932 when there was a decrease of 2.2 million gallons, or 1 percent. In 1934 the consumption was almost 249 million gallons, an

increase of 239 percent since 1922.

Traffic on Connecticut highways is composed of many foreign vehicles owing to the geographical position of the State. Surrounded by the heavily populated States of New York, Massachusetts, and Rhode Island, it is in a position where traffic arteries must be provided for many vehicles originating at and destined to points beyond its borders, and must provide as well for the free movement of its own vehicles. Near the southwestern extremity of Connecticut is New York City, with a population of approximately 7 million people; to the north is Massachusetts with a population in excess of 4 million, of which nearly 1 million are concentrated in the Boston area; and to the east is Rhode Island, with a population of approximately 700,000.

There are 11,943 miles of highway in Connecticut, exclusive of city streets. The State highway system covers a total of 2,206 miles, of which 1,019 miles are also on the Federal-aid system. All trunk-line and State-aid roads, which compose the State highway system, are under the direct jurisdiction of the State highway department; for the former the State pays the entire cost of construction, while for the latter the State pays a part of the construction cost. All other rural roads

are under the jurisdiction of the towns.

SELECTION AND OPERATION OF SURVEY STATIONS DESCRIBED

The traffic survey covered a major portion of the trunk-line system and a number of State-aid roads. Survey stations were situated only on State or United States routes, but, where intersecting town roads or city streets could be covered along with the principal

¹ The full report prepared by the Bureau has been submitted to the Connecticut highway department and will not be published or distributed by the Bureau of Public Roads.

THE Connecticut traffic survey was conducted under route, traffic data for such were included in the final a cooperative agreement between the Bureau of tabulations.

Recording stations were located at 156 strategic traffic control points throughout the State where traffic density counts were obtained on the average more than once a month. The locations of these stations are shown in figure 1. The positions of all survey stations were selected in advance of field operations by the State highway department in cooperation with a representative of the Bureau of Public Roads. Each station location was assigned a number by which it may be identified in this report.

Vehicles were recorded at all stations as either Connecticut or foreign and as passenger cars, trucks, and busses. Traffic counts were made at each station for a continuous 8-hour period on a staggered-hour plan alternating from 6 a. m. to 2 p. m. and from 2 p. m. to 10 p. m. in accordance with a schedule covering a full year's work. Sufficient counts were made from 10 p. m. to 6 a. m. to adjust all records to a 24-hour day, and the data are presented on a 24-hour basis.

The data obtained are presented in the flow diagrams, figures 2, 3, 4, and 5. Figure 2 shows the average daily density of all vehicular traffic by the inner stippled band and the average maximum traffic over the same section by the outer band. At intermediate points between or beyond stations approximate density data for each class of traffic may be obtained by scaling the particular band and converting to the number of vehicles by scale on the figure. For routes having light traffic densities it was impracticable to construct flow bands, therefore, their values are represented by line symbols.


Figure 3 illustrates the average density of truck traffic on the same sections of highway; figure 4 shows the average density of bus traffic; and figure 5 shows the average density of foreign vehicular traffic. For simplicity only major cities and intersections are shown, and where a route has both a State and a United States number only the United States marking is given. Table 1 shows the distribution of the traffic between foreign and State vehicles and according to classes of vehicles.

Table 1.—Classification of traffic by type of vehicle and place of registration

	Passen- ger cars	Trucks	Busses 1	Total
Connecticut vehicles Foreign vehicles All vehicles	Percent 84. 3 89. 7 85. 5	Percent 13. 9 10. 2 13. 1	Percent 1.8 . 1 1.4	Percent 100 100 100
Connecticut vehicles	76. 3 23. 7	82. 3 17. 7	99.4	77. 4 22. 6
Total	100.0	100, 0	100.0	100. (

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ All busses making regular trips in State were required to carry Connecticut tags and were recorded as Connecticut vehicles.

An overwhelming proportion of bus traffic was of Connecticut registration (99.4 percent). This results



from a legislative act that requires all busses making regular trips through the State to carry Connecticut registration tags. The few busses observed without Connecticut tags were principally chartered busses for special events such as football games, seashore outings, etc.

U S 1 CARRIES HEAVIEST TRAFFIC

As shown in figure 2, traffic was heavily concentrated on the trunk-line highways, especially on routes such as US1 from east to west, and US5 and US5A from north to south. The section of US1 between New Haven and the New York State line, a portion of the old Boston Post Road, carries traffic from a number of routes converging at New Haven and serves the important cities of Bridgeport, Norwalk, and Stamford.

Figure 6 shows the principal through routes in the State classified according to the average daily density of all local vehicular traffic on each section. These values are based on counts made at survey stations situated along the routes and are grouped in convenient categories. Symbols used for each class are shown in the figure.

Table 2 contains data on the average daily traffic on

principal highways throughout the State.

Traffic on through routes traversing 1

Traffic on through routes traversing heavily populated areas exhibited interesting characteristics, especially with regard to the influence of local and through travel and the use of bypass highways. Figure 7 shows

traffic densities as ordinates and the locations along US1 of large cities and intersections as abscissas. The black area represents traffic flow on US1 and the stippled area represents traffic on the bypass road US1A.

The greatest volume of traffic recorded on this route occurred on the section immediately west from New Haven to the intersection with State route 158. This section had a daily average flow of 20,929 vehicles throughout the year. Not only was traffic on this section the heaviest for U S 1 but also for all roads throughout the State.

In figure 7 three general features of traffic flow along the route are evident: (1) Traffic west of New Haven is considerably in excess of that east of the city; (2) bypass roads in the vicinity of Bridgeport functioned for the purpose intended, and the sum of the traffic volumes on the bypass and the old road approximated the average route flow; and (3) traffic densities increased near large cities because of local traffic.

AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC COMPARED WITH AVERAGE MAXIMUM TRAFFIC

The maximum traffic densities are developed from data obtained on the particular dates of operation of each station and are a measure of the average maximum traffic. The term "average maximum traffic" has specific reference to the normal peak load existing during the summer periods of heavy week-end travel and

MASSACHUSETTS




FIGURE 2.—TRAFFIC FLOW BANDS FOR AVERAGE DAILY AND AVERAGE MAXIMUM MOTOR-VEHICLE TRAFFIC. CITY BOUNDARIES ARE DISTORTED TO ALLOW FOR WIDTHS OF TRAFFIC FLOW BANDS.

Table 2.—Average daily traffic on selected highways

	Description of highway	Avera	ge daily tr	affic, all ve	hicles	Average daily	Average
Route	Termini and principal points along section	Passen- ger cars	Trucks	Busses	Total	traffic, foreign vehicles	traffic, local vehicle
S 1	New York State line, New Haven	12,735	1, 903	265	14, 903	5, 609	9, 2
S 5	New Haven, Meriden, Hartford, Massachusetts State line	5, 921	947	154	7,022	1, 841	5, 1
onn, 9	Hartford, Middletown	5, 238	691	85	6, 014	381	5, 6
S 5A	Hartford, Windsor, Massachusetts State line	4, 920	890	138	5, 948	1,806	4, 1
81	New Haven, New London, Rhode Island State line.	4, 193	663	74	4, 930	1, 433	3, 4
onn. 65 and 8	Bridgeport, Waterbury, Torrington New London, Norwich	3, 306 3, 324	656 499	40	4,002	368	3, 6
onn. 32	New Haven, Farmington	3, 300	499	. 55	3, 878 3, 791	370 488	3, 8
onn. 12	Norwich, Putnam, Massachusetts State line	2, 774	526	52	3, 352	605	2,
onn. 15	New Haven, Middletown, Junction Conn. 2 and Conn. 15	2, 680	403	47	3, 130	828	2,
onn. 83, 74, and 15	South Manchester, Rockville, Stafford Springs, Massachusetts State line near Mashapaug.	2, 590	319	92	3, 001	1, 098	1, 9
S 6	New York State line, Danbury, Hartford	2, 527	274	18	2, 819	809	2,
onn, 9	Middletown, Saybrook New York State line, Canaan, Winsted, Hartford Hartford, Willimantic, Danielson, Rhode Island State line	2, 136	304	23	2, 463	152	2,
nn. 199 and 101	New York State line, Canaan, Winsted, Hartford	2,074	276	23	2, 373	503	1,
S 6	Hartford, Willimantic, Danielson, Rhode Island State line	1,739	303	41	2,083	629	1,
nn. 2	Junction Conn. 2 and Conn. 15, Colchester, Norwich, Rhode Island State line	1, 527	237	17	1, 781	251	1,
nn. 12	New London, Norwich	1, 462	254	31	1, 747	189	1,
onn. 2 and 15	Hartford, Junction Conn. 2 and Conn. 15 (connecting link for routes Conn. 2 and Conn. 15 to Hartford).	4, 837	721	106	5, 664	709	4,




FIGURE 3.—TRAFFIC FLOW BANDS FOR AVERAGE DAILY TRUCK TRAFFIC.

maximum count of the year. Sunday or Monday of the Labor Day period, and Independence Day when occurring on a Saturday or Monday, are known to have maximum peaks of traffic for most highways; however, a county fair or local celebration might produce the maximum. The average maximum traffic throughout the State occurred on a Sunday in August.

At traffic survey station 2, located at the junction of US 7 and Connecticut 35, the peak volume occurred on Sunday, October 8, during the progress of the Danbury County Fair. On this day there was recorded on US7, north from the station toward Danbury, a total of 7,180 vehicles from 2 p. m. to 10 p. m., when normally a traffic density not to exceed 1,800 vehicles would be the expected volume for this period and season.

The highway engineer is concerned with the average maximum traffic on any section of highway for which he must make improvements within the limits of available funds.

It is not economical to design surface widths and intersections for a free flow of traffic during those extreme peaks that occur once or twice a year. At such times some sacrifice must be made in freedom of flow to accommodate the increased volume.

For those highways covered by the survey, as a group, the average maximum traffic was 162 percent

should not be confused with the precise peak or actual necticut 61, a minor route of very low traffic density, a maximum variation of 500 percent was recorded. In general, the ratio of average maximum traffic to average daily traffic varied inversely with the volume of the average daily traffic. Highways of relatively low traffic volume had a high ratio of average maximum to average daily traffic, and, conversely highways with heavy traffic volumes generally did not exhibit a high ratio. In Connecticut, 50 sections of highways had a

ratio in excess of 200 percent.

Along U S 1 from the New York State line to New Haven, the average maximum traffic was 23,361 vehicles per day and the average daily traffic was 14,903 vehicles. The net difference in the two values represents an increase of approximately 8,500 vehicles per day, although the ratio of increase is but 57 percent. Similarly, on U S 5 north from New Haven via Hartford to the Massachusetts State line near Thompsonville, the average maximum traffic density for the route averaged 10,619 vehicles per day. Comparing this with the average daily traffic density of 7,022 vehicles for this route, the net increase was 3,597 vehicles, or 51 percent. Although the ratios of increase were relatively low, the increments were large.

It is interesting to compare the results obtained in this survey with results of the 1923 traffic survey in Connecticut made by the Bureau in cooperation with of the average daily traffic. At one location, on Con- the State highway department. At that time route




FIGURE 4.—TRAFFIC FLOW BANDS FOR AVERAGE DAILY BUS TRAFFIC.

U S 1 between the New York State line and New Haven carried an average maximum traffic of 8,000 vehicles per day, while 11 years later the same highway was subjected to an average maximum traffic of 23,361 vehicles, an increase of 192 percent. On U S 5 the 1923 average maximum traffic was approximately 5,000 vehicles per day, while in 1934 the average maximum traffic was 10,619 vehicles, an increase of 112 percent. These are cases of unusual traffic growth upon appreciable lengths of highways that originally carried heavy traffic and now carry still greater volumes; the traffic volume more than doubled in a decade.

Foreign vehicles constituted approximately 33 percent of the total traffic on Connecticut highways, and it is interesting to observe their effect on the average maximum traffic. Table 3 shows the average daily and average maximum traffic density values for all vehicles and for foreign vehicles on U S 1, U S 5, and U S 5A between the points indicated. By taking the difference between average maximum traffic and average daily traffic and separating this difference into local and foreign classes, foreign vehicles are found to make up 49.3 percent of the increase. In other words, one-third of the vehicles, by registration, were responsible for one-half of the peak load traffic increase. On these selected routes the ratio of average maximum to average daily traffic for Connecticut vehicles amounted to 146 percent and for foreign vehicles 189 percent.

SEASONAL VARIATIONS OF TRAFFIC FOUND TO BE LARGE

A well-established characteristic of motor-vehicle traffic is that the flow is not regular. Variations are due to a number of causes. The most conspicuous variations are for the season of the year, day of the week, and hour of the day. In the absence of known values for seasonal and daily variations, most Statewide surveys are continued for a full-year period in order that each major influence may be considered in determining the final traffic-density figures. Unusually inclement weather and special events are of short duration and the data are readily adjusted to take them into account.

Although traffic is quite variable, the variations repeat themselves in close order from year to year and under similar conditions, so that the limits of changes may be established to a fair degree of accuracy for an

extended period.

Table 4 shows the monthly variations in vehicular traffic throughout Connecticut expressed as percentages of the average monthly traffic. These data are based on the average daily traffic during the month irrespective of the number of days contained in the month. Variations throughout the year are illustrated in figure 8, which shows graphically the fluctuations of local, foreign, and total vehicular traffic.

Traffic volume was greatest in August with 141 percent of that of the average month, and least in February




Figure 5.—Traffic Flow Bands for Average Daily Traffic of Foreign Vehicles.

Table 3.—Comparisons of average maximum traffic with average daily traffic on selected routes. Figures are summations of counts at all stations on sections listed

Stations located between—		Conr	necticut ve	hicles	Fo	reign vehic	eles		All vehicle	S
		A verage maximum traffic	Average daily traffic	Increase	Average maximum traffic	Average daily traffic	Increase	Average maximum traffic	Average daily traffic	Increase
New York State line and New Haven. New Haven and Rhode Island State line. New Haven and Massachusetts State line. Hartford and Massachusetts State line.	1 1 5 5A	206, 949 144, 712 45, 113 39, 555	148, 716 94, 427 31, 089 24, 856	58, 233 50, 285 14, 024 14, 699	166, 834 80, 015 18, 601 18, 421	89, 739 38, 681 11, 043 10, 834	77, 095 41, 334 7, 558 7, 587	373, 783 224, 727 63, 714 57, 976	238, 455 133, 108 42, 132 35, 690	135, 328 91, 619 21, 582 22, 286
Total-		436, 329	299, 088	137, 241	283, 871	150, 297	133, 574	720, 200	449, 385	270, 815
Percentage of total increase				50. 7			49. 3			100.0

with 49 percent of that of the average month. The approximate periods of average monthly traffic for the State as a whole occurred from the middle of April to the middle of May, and again from the middle of October to the middle of November.

Foreign traffic fluctuated much more widely than did local traffic from a low of 33 percent in February to a high of 172 percent in July. The range for Connecticut vehicles was from 54 percent in February to 134 percent in August. There will be noted an unusual circumstance in that foreign vehicles reached their maximum during the month of July, while Connecticut vehicles were at their maximum during August.

$\begin{array}{c} {\rm DAILY\ VARIATIONS\ IN\ TRAFFIC\ FOLLOW\ WELL-ESTABLISHED} \\ {\rm TRENDS} \end{array}$

Variations in traffic density by the days of the week are shown in table 5 and figure 9, all items being expressed as percentages of the average week-day traffic for each type of vehicle. Week days are from Monday to Friday, inclusive.

The general characteristics of daily variations are well known and change but slightly in different sections of the country. Sunday is the day of greatest travel, with Saturday next. On the remaining five days of the week the traffic is rather uniform. On Sunday the total traffic is 137 percent of the average week-day

Table 4.—Monthly variations in traffic density expressed as percentages of average monthly traffic

	Pa	issenger ca	rs		Trucks			Busses		All vehicles			
Month	Connect-	Foreign	Total	Connect- icut	Foreign	°Cotal	Connect- icut	Foreign	Total	Connect- icut	Foreign	Total	
January	80 97	Percent 47 28 63 77 108 127 179 170 150 120 83 48	Percent 63 45 76 92 115 124 135 146 126 121 91 66	Percent 87 67 89 97 114 109 107 116 109 118 105 82	Percent 81 68 100 82 132 102 119 103 142 130 89 52	Percent 866 67 92 94 118 108 109 113 116 120 191 76	Percent 99 74 102 95 109 99 110 104 122 96 103 87	Percent 42 105 63 63 63 127 84 63 105 168 190 127	Percent 98 74 102 95 109 99 110 104 121 97 103 88	Percent 72 54 82 97 117 120 118 134 116 120 96 74	Percent 51 33 67 78 110 125 172 163 149 121 83 48	Percent 66 49 78 92 115 121 132 141 125 120 93 68	




FIGURE 7.—VARIATIONS IN AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC ALONG US 1 AND US 1A IN CONNECTICUT.

traffic; local vehicles are 127 percent of the average week-day traffic; and foreign vehicles are 170 percent of average week-day traffic.

Vehicles registered in States other than Connecticut used the State highways to the extent of 22.6 percent of all recorded traffic. They were much in evidence in all parts of the State, nearly one out of every four vehicles bearing the license of an adjacent or distant




FIGURE 8.—MONTHLY VARIATIONS IN LOCAL, FOREIGN, AND TOTAL TRAFFIC.




FIGURE 9.—Daily Variations in Local, Foreign, and Total Traffic. Week-Day Traffic Is Traffic Monday to Friday, Inclusive.

State, principally the neighboring States of New York, Massachusetts, and Rhode Island. On the principal through routes U S 1 and U S 5 with their alternates U S 1A and U S 5A, foreign vehicles contributed 33.4 percent of the total vehicle flow, or one in every three vehicles.

DETAILED DATA OBTAINED FOR TRUCK AND BUS TRAFFIC

Detailed information relating to the characteristics and movement of bus and truck traffic was obtained at 26 selected stations on the principal highways of the State. At least one station was located upon each of the major highways and on more heavily traveled arteries there were several stations. Data were collected on the situs of ownership of the vehicle; its classification as owner operated, contract hauler, or common carrier; the gross load of the vehicle and contents; contact with railroad service; classification of the

Table 5. — Daily variations in traffic density expressed as percentages of average week-day traffic 1

		assenger ca		Trucks				Busses		All vehicles			
	Connect-	Foreign	Total	Connect-	Foreign	Total	('onnect- icut	Foreign	Total	Connect- icut	Foreign	Total	
Monday Tuesday Wednesday Thursday Friday Saturday Sunday	Percent 95 95 100 101 109 127 148	Percent 100 88 93 95 124 151 190	Percent 96 93 99 99 113 133 158	Percent 99 100 95 102 104 90 32	Percent 92 101 97 105 105 66 48	Percent 98 100 96 102 104 85 35	Percent 103 96 98 99 104 102 87		Percent 103 96 98 99 104 102 87	Percent 96 96 99 101 108 120 127	Percent 99 90 94 96 121 139 170	Percent 97 94 98 100 111 125 137	

¹ Week days are Monday to Friday, inclusive.

trip as inter-city, from country to country, or between city and country; and the trip origin and destination. The information was obtained throughout 8-hour periods of operation upon the schedule previously described, and a representative sample of truck and bus traffic was obtained at each station.

It should be understood that the figures presented in this section of the report relate solely to the sample of truck and bus traffic and not to the actual number of individual vehicles of a certain type. For example, if the same truck made 10 trips a day past a recording station, it was counted 10 times in this sample. Because of the possibility of almost unlimited variations in this respect, no attempt should be made to determine from these figures the actual number of separate vehicles of a particular kind or class in the State. It is not the purpose of this analysis to furnish that information. It aims, rather, to present a general cross section of truck and bus traffic as it moved over the highways of the State. Each statement regarding the proportion of a certain class of vehicles in any breakdown refers exclusively to the particular sample of highway traffic under consideration. Therefore, figures or percentages presented in one analysis should not be applied to another without a clear understanding of the nature of both. It will be observed that the size of the sample presented in the different tables varies slightly, owing to the failure of the recorders to obtain all items of information for every vehicle.

TRUCK AND BUS CONTACTS WITH RAILROAD SERVICES DISCUSSED

Table 6 lists the locations where truck and bus data were obtained and shows that but six trucks per thousand contacted railroad stations at any time during the trip. All of the locations at which data were obtained were located outside city limits upon main highways, and the above statement therefore applies only to truck traffic in suburban and interurban areas. A similar examination within cities would undoubtedly show a much higher proportion of trucks contacting railroad services. A great majority of the trucks making railroad contact were operated as common carriers.

About 25 percent of the busses called at railroad stations one or more times per trip, and in certain parts of the State the proportion was much greater. For instance, at the station on US8 north of Waterville, 67 percent of all busses were found to have stopped at railroad stations at some time during the trip. At other locations as few as six busses per thousand were found to have contacted railroad services.

DATA ON SITUS OF OWNERSHIP AND TRIP CLASSIFICATION OF TRUCKS PRESENTED

The classification of trucks according to situs of ownership—in cities of 2,500 population or over, in

villages having fewer than 2,500 inhabitants, or on farms—is tabulated by stations in table 7. According to this classification, 84 percent of all trucks were city owned, and the remainder were divided about equally between village and farm ownership. The proportion of city-owned trucks was smallest in eastern and north-western Connecticut. At all but one traffic station city-owned trucks were found to be more than 60 percent of the total truck traffic, and at many stations the number of trucks owned by city dwellers exceeded 90 percent of the total count at the station.

Information obtained from truckers with regard to the origin and destination of truck traffic showed that 94 percent of the trucks operating upon Connecticut highways had either one or both terminals within a city, and that 6 percent were traveling between points in the country. For the purposes of trip classification, cities are localities with 2,500 or more population and the remaining areas are considered country. Of the 94 percent of trucks where one or both terminals were within a city, 65 percent were intercity trips, 15 percent were from city to country, and 14 percent were from country to city.

TRUCKS CLASSIFIED BY TYPE OF OPERATION

Of the total sample of truck traffic throughout the State, about three-fourths were owner-operated (serving owners), the other one-fourth was engaged in commercial trucking as contract haulers and common carriers. Contract haulers make special trips when and where desired, at rates agreed upon by the contracting parties; common carriers follow established routes between fixed points, operate on a regular schedule, and charge standard published rates.

The distribution of trucks among these 3 classes of operation is presented in table 8. The 1 common factor was the preponderance of owner-operated trucks, 64 percent or more of the trucks recorded at each station being used in the business of owners. Although it is difficult to find an indication of general trends in these figures for individual stations, variations within certain ranges for groups of stations are significant. On the 3 most heavily traveled routes, US1 west of New Haven, US 5, and US 5A—the percentages of trucks operated by owners varied between a low of 63.8 and a high of 76.9 percent. For all stations not on these 3 routes, the range of percentages is between 69.9 and 89.5 percent, or 6 and 13 points higher than the corresponding figures for the former group. From this comparison, it is clear that owner-operated trucks more frequently follow the less-traveled routes; or, conversely, that commercial trucks (contract haulers and common carriers) are found more often on the heavily traveled highways.

Table 6.—Sample of truck and bus traffic on Connecticut highways and contacts with railroad service

	Tru	icks	Bu	sses		Railroad	l contact		
Location of station					Tru	icks	Bu	sses	Trucks and busses.
	Number	Percent- age of total	Number	Percent- age of total	Number	Percentage of total trucks	Number	Percent- age of total busses	total sample
U S 1, southwest of Greenwich. U S 1, at Darien U S 1, east of Westport. U S 1, east of Stratford. U S 1, west of New Haven. U S 1, at Branford. U S 1, at Madison. U S 1, at Saybrook. U S 1, at Saybrook. U S 1, at Paweatuck U S 5, as Berlin. U S 5, as Berlin. U S 5, north of Thompsonville. U S 5, north of Thompsonville. U S 5A, south of State line. U S 7, northeast of Ridgefield. U S 6, west of Danbury. U S 6, at Southbury. U S 6, at Naugatuck. Conn. 8, at Naugatuck. Conn. 8, at Naugatuck. Conn. 8, north of Walerville. Conn. 8, south of Torrington. Conn. 15 and U S 5, south of Montowese. Conn. 15, north of Northford. Conn. 15, conn. 2, at Glastonbury. Conn. 9, at Higganum. Conn. 12 and Conn. 14 north of Plainfield.	6, 841 7, 480 9, 723 8, 097 4, 165 3, 069 1, 993 4, 798 4, 798 2, 461 2, 181 1, 555 1, 676 1, 098 2, 464 3, 277 2, 091 1, 590 1, 590 1, 590 1, 590 3, 479 1, 728 1, 783	89. 3 88. 9 90. 0 86. 2 87. 8 91. 7 89. 0 92. 4 90. 0 87. 9 83. 0 69. 7 85. 7 85. 7 85. 7 93. 0 94. 8 92. 1 92. 8 93. 7 85. 8 91. 7 85. 7	935 828 829 1, 559 1, 122 378 379 163 536 340 720 1, 006 364 211 115 83 136 119 119 162 194 181 1496 117 314	10. 7 11. 1 10. 0 13. 8 12. 2 8. 3 11. 0 7. 0 10. 0 12. 1 17. 0 30. 3 14. 3 11. 9 8. 5 7. 0 5. 2 2 3. 5 7. 9 7. 2 6. 3 10. 6 6. 3 10. 6 7. 6 7. 6 7. 6 7. 6 7. 6 7. 6 7. 6 7	24 25 25 30 26 33 33 18 22 25 23 1 18 6 12 19 12 10 21 9 22 10 21 42 42	0.3 .4 .3 .3 .3 .3 .8 .7 .8 .5 .1 .0 .0 .8 .4 .7 .8 .5 .5 .1 .0 .4 .7 .8 .8 .8 .8 .3 .1 .6 .2 .4	170 150 155 459 271 105 104 38 86 73 392 265 392 130 102 1 2 52 120 92 61 52 3 3 1 1 2	18. 2 17. 5 18. 7 29. 4 24. 2 27. 8 27. 4 23. 3 16. 0 21. 5 36. 8 39. 0 35. 7 48. 3 38. 2 67. 0 56. 8 31. 4 2. 4 31. 4 3	8, 753 7, 699 8, 309 9, 219 4, 543 3, 448 2, 801 4, 238 3, 322 2, 545 1, 766 1, 831 1, 181 2, 600 3, 396 2, 270 2, 259 3, 084 1, 771 1, 841 1, 181 1, 181 1, 181 2, 181 1,
Conn. 12 and Conn. 101, at Putnam. Total at all truck and bus stations	93, 169	93. 0	226 11, 762	7.0	538	1.8	2, 899	24.6	3, 207 104, 931

¹ Less than 0.1 percent.

Table 7.—Situs of ownership of trucks using Connecticut highways

	City ov	vnership	Village o	wnership	Farm ov	vnership	
Location of station	Number	Percentage of total	Number	Percent- age of total	Number	Percentage of total	Total number of trucks
U S 1, southwest of Greenwich U S 1, at Darien U S 1, east of Westport. U S 1, east of Stratford U S 1, west of New Haven U S 1, at Branford U S 1, at Branford U S 1, at Radison. U S 1, at Saybrook. U S 1, at Pawcatuck U S 5, at Berlin U S 5, north of Thompsonville. U S 5, at Berlin U S 5, north of State line U S 7, northeast of Ridgefield U S 6, west of Danbury U S 6, at Southbury. U S 6, at Southbury. U S 6, at Southbury. U S 6, at Plainville. Conn. 8, at Naugatuck. Conn. 8, north of Waterville Conn. 8, south of Forrington Conn. 15 and U S 5, south of Montowese Conn. 15, north of Northford. Conn. 15, at Glastonbury. Conn. 9 at Higganum Conn. 12 and Conn. 14 north of Plainfield. Conn. 12 and Conn. 101, at Putnam	6, 373 6, 954 8, 588 7, 093 3, 345 1, 380 3, 335 1, 536 3, 282 2, 089 1, 981 1, 051 1, 225 640 2, 168 3, 039 1, 836 1, 775 1, 921 1, 921 1, 921 1, 921 1, 921 1, 921 1, 931 1, 1031 1, 1	94. 1 93. 6 93. 3 88. 9 88. 5 80. 6 61. 9 70. 6 93. 4 90. 6 91. 0 67. 7 73. 8 58. 5 88. 3 85. 3 85. 0 67. 2 69. 3 81. 8 64. 7 74. 0 83. 5	246 172 202 643 335 250 900 479 830 557 87 75 65 294 201 274 201 274 98 91 262 199 195 390 315	3. 2 2 2. 5 2. 7 6. 6 6. 0 29. 5 5 24. 5 3. 2 2. 5 3. 2 2. 5 3. 2 2. 5 3. 2 2. 5 3. 2 2. 5 3. 2 2. 5 5 7 2. 5 5 7 22. 7 2. 5 5 7 22. 8 4. 4 9. 2 2 12. 6 6. 5 7 22. 8 6. 5	212 262 300 432 590 557 263 95 599 360 145 142 131 208 234 181 192 123 186 222 676 286 430 214 440 298	2. 7 3. 9 4. 0 4. 5 7. 3 13. 4 8. 6 4. 9 12. 6 14. 7 4. 1 16. 2 6. 0 13. 4 14. 1 16. 5 7. 8 8. 8 9 10. 6 23. 6 18. 1 12. 5 25 26 27 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28	
Total at all truck and bus stations	77, 650	83. 8	7, 524	8. 1	7, 483	8. 1	92, 657

TRIPS OF ONE-THIRD OF TRUCKS FOUND TO EXTEND OUTSIDE OF STATE

An analysis of the origin and destination of 93,913 trucks is presented in table 9 and in figure 10. A little less than two-thirds of these trucks operated only within the State. About 25 percent of the trucks had only one terminal in Connecticut, and less than 10 percent were traveling between points in other States. The latter group constitutes what is ordinarily called "through traffic." In a similar analysis of New Jersey traffic one truck out of three had either one or both terminals outside the State.

In the majority of cases owner-operated trucks had both origin and destination in Connecticut, while contract-hauler and common-carrier trucks operated to a much greater extent in interstate traffic. Trucks of each class of operation making interstate trips had both terminals outside Connecticut less often than one terminal within and one outside the State, but a relatively greater percentage of commercial trucks had both terminals outside the State. Comparatively few owner-operated trucks passed through Connecticut en route between other States, but about one commercial truck out of five was classed as through traffic.

Table 8.—Truck traffic on Connecticut highways by class of operation

	Owner	operator	Contrac	t hauler	Commo	n carrier	Total
Location of station	Number	Percent- age of total	Number	Percent- age of total	Number	Percent- age of total	number of trucks
U S 1, southwest of Greenwich U S 1, at Darien. U S 1, east of Westport U S 1, east of Stratford U S 1, west of New Haven U S 1, at Branford. U S 1, at Branford. U S 1, at Madison. U S 1, at Saybrook. U S 1, at Saybrook. U S 1, at Pawcatuck. U S 5, at Berlin. U S 5, north of Thompsonville. U S 5A, south of State line U S 5A, south of State line U S 7, northeast of Ridgefield. U S 6, west of Danbury U S 6, at Southbury U S 6, at Palanville. Conn. 8, at Naugatuck Conn. 8, north of Waterville Conn. 8, south of Torrington. Conn. 15 and U S 5, south of Montowese. Conn. 15, north of Northford. Conn. 15, conth of Northford. Conn. 15, conn. 2, at Glastonbury Conn. 12 and Conn. 101 at Putnam Total at all truck and bus stations.	4, 726 5, 296 7, 181 7, 691 3, 353 2, 300 1, 388 3, 930 2, 434 1, 769 1, 302 1, 494 2, 197 2, 374 1, 619 1, 725 2, 323 2, 164 2, 981 1, 535 1, 289	68. 0 69. 3 71. 1 74. 2 70. 9 80. 7 75. 2 69. 9 82. 3 76. 9 63. 8 83. 7 89. 3 86. 3 86. 2 82. 3 86. 3 86. 3 86. 7 72. 6 82. 3 86. 7 72. 6 87. 8 88. 7 72. 6 88. 7 72. 6 88. 7 73. 8 86. 3 86. 3 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	1, 578 1, 265 1, 505 1, 815 1, 702 564 515 426 611 405 767 409 465 197 148 108 170 548 299 236 419 337 349 129 348 398 15, 713	20. 2 18. 5 20. 2 18. 7 21. 2 13. 6 6 16. 8 21. 5 12. 8 16. 6 21. 9 17. 8 8. 8 9. 9 9. 6. 9 16. 7 14. 4 11. 2 14. 6 21. 2 14. 6 21. 2 14. 6 21. 2 14. 6 21. 2 14. 6 21. 6 14. 8 15. 7 16. 8 16. 8 16. 8 16. 8 17. 8 18. 8 19. 9 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10.	922 832 651 684 683 237 243 171 235 143 307 123 322 56 31 43 87 350 163 1169 87 123 62 137 149 160	11. 8 12. 2 8. 7 7. 1 7. 9 5. 7 8. 0 8. 6 4. 9 5. 8 8. 8 8. 8 8. 8 3. 6 10. 7 7. 7 8. 0 3. 6 6. 5 5. 3 14. 8 3. 6 6. 5 7. 7 7. 8 6. 5 7. 7 7. 8 7. 8 7. 8 7. 8 7. 8 7. 8 7. 8	7, 803 6, 823 7, 452 9, 680 8, 026 4, 154 3, 058 1, 985 4, 776 2, 448 3, 508 2, 301 2, 177 1, 555 1, 673 1, 095 2, 454 3, 272 2, 081 2, 097 2, 881 1, 774 2, 974

Table 9.—Truck traffic on Connecticut highways making State and interstate trips, by class of operation

		oj opera				
	Owner	perator	Contract hauler			
Origin and destination	Number	Percent	Number	Percent		
Both in Connecticut I in Connecticut Both outside Connecticut	16, 683	72. 4 23. 5 4. 1	5, 908 6, 261 3, 743	37. 1 39. 4 23. 5		
Total	70, 906	100. 0	15, 912	100. 0		
	Commo	n carrier	All el	lasses		
Origin and destination	Number	Percent	Number	Percent		
Both in Connecticut 1 in Connecticut Both outside Connecticut	2, 939	40. 0 41. 4 18. 6	60, 051 25, 883 7, 979	63, 9 27, 6 8, 5		
Total	7.095	100.0	93, 913	100.0		

The topography of the New England States is such that Connecticut forms a natural and comparatively level thoroughfare for traffic between the eastern seaboard and central valley districts, New York City, and other points to the south and west. The Berkshire Mountains parallel the western boundary of Massachusetts along its entire length, and the Green Mountains of Vermont erect an effective barrier to east and west traffic except at a few points. Accordingly, a considerable part of truck traffic on Connecticut highways was going to or from other New England States and eastern Canada. The flow of truck traffic is clearly shown in table 10 and figure 11.

Owner-operated trucks from New York were going principally to points in Connecticut and other New England States, with a very small percentage passing through Connecticut en route from the New York City metropolitan area to other parts of New York State. In contrast with owner-operated trucks, a smaller proportion of contract-hauler trucks from each of the origins indicated in the table was destined for points in Connecticut.




FIGURE 10.—TRUCK TRAFFIC CLASSIFIED BY KIND OF TRIP AND CLASS OF OPERATION.

The proportion of common-carrier trucks originating in New England and bound for New York State was approximately the same as that of contract-hauler trucks, but a greater percentage of common carriers had their destination in Connecticut, and fewer were running to more distant States. Common-carrier trucks from New York also showed a greater proportion stopping in Connecticut and relatively fewer continuing through the State to other parts of New England.

That part of truck traffic on Connecticut highways having one or both terminals within the State may be considered as of primary importance to the State itself. A comparison of the dispersion of truck traffic originating or terminating in Connecticut is presented in table 11. The relative importance of each geographic area as the origin and destination of truck traffic is shown, for each of the three classes of operation, in figure 12. More than 80 percent of this traffic remained within the boundaries of Connecticut, and by far the greater part of the remainder was between Connecticut and other New England States, including a very small amount of traffic with Canada and New York State, principally the New York City metropolitan area. New Jersey claimed a little less than 1 percent of this traffic, and only occasionally were trucks found making trips between Connecticut and Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, Virginia, and the District of Columbia. Trucks to or from more distant States were rarely found. The close parallel between the two series of figures in table 11 again serves to

Total.

Table 10.—Truck traffic classified by type of operation and by origin and destination

	Origin of truck traffic															
Class of operation and destination	New Er and C		Conne	ecticut	New	York	New J	lersey	Pennsy	Ivania	Dela Mary Virgin Distr Colu	land, ia, and ict of	Other	States	То	tal
	Num- ber	Per- cent	Num- ber	Per- cent	Num- ber	Per- cent	Num- ber	Per- cent	Num- ber	Per- cent	Num- ber	Per- cent	Num- ber	Per- cent	Num- ber	Per- cent
OWNER OPERATOR																
New England Land Canada Connecticut New York New Jersey Pennsylvania	3, 225 786 174 69	2, 2 71, 2 17, 4 3, 9 1, 5	2, 991 51, 307 4, 745 320 45	5. 0 86. 3 8. 0 . 5 . 1	1, 186 4, 857 40 2	19. 5 79. 8 . 7 (2)	189 313 2	37. 5 62. 1 . 4	67 44 1	59. 8 39. 3 . 9	77 29 2	71. 3 26. 9 1. 8	42 39 1	50, 6 47, 0 1, 2	4, 653 59, 814 5, 577 496 114	6. 6 84. 3 7. 9 . 7 . 2
Delaware, Maryland, Virginia, and District of Columbia. Other States	142 33	3. <u>1</u>	58 17	. 1	1	(2)							1 i	1, 2	201 51	(2) . 3
Total	4, 530	100. 0	59, 483	100.0	6, 086	100, 0	504	100. 0	112	100, 0	108	100. 0	83	100.0	70, 906	100, 0
CONTRACT HAULER																
New England Land Canada Connecticut New York New Jersey Pennsylvania	60 1, 066 1, 243 287 107	2. 1 37. 2 43. 4 10. 0 3. 7	1, 048 5, 908 1, 560 254 75	11. 8 66. 4 17. 5 2. 9	1, 414 1, 817 13 1	43. 5 55. 9 . 4 (2)	233 269 4	46, 0 53, 2 . 8	121 59 2	66, 5 32, 4 1, 1	75 25 1	74. 3 24. 7 1. 0	70 32 6	64. 8 29. 6 5. 6	3, 021 9, 176 2, 829 542 182	19, 0 57, 7 17, 8 3, 4 1, 1
Delaware, Maryland, Virginia, and District of Columbia Other States	67 34	2. 4 1. 2	31 25	. 3	3 2	. 1									101 61	. 6 . 4
Total	2, 864	100. 0	8, 901	100.0	3, 250	100.0	506	100, 0	182	100.0	101	100. 0	108	100.0	15, 912	100, 0
COMMON CARRIER New England 1 and Canada Connecticut New York New Jersey Permystyrenis	20 461 431 51 8	2. 1 47. 0 43. 9 5. 2 . 8	473 2, 836 685 40 18	11. 7 69. 9 16. 9 1. 0	701 1, 171 2 1	37. 4 62. 4 . 1	73 65	52. 9 47. 1	11 15 1	40. 7 55. 6 3. 7	3 2	60. 0	7 6	53. 8 46. 2	1, 288 4, 556 1, 119 92 27	18, 2 64, 2 15, 8 1, 3
Pennsylvania Delaware, Maryland, Virginia, and District of Columbia Other States	8 2	.8	2	. 1											10 3	.1
Total	981	100. 0	4, 055	100, 0	1, 876	100.0	138	100.0	27	100.0	5	100.0	13	100.0	7, 095	100. 0
ALL CLASSES																
New England ¹ and Canada Connecticut New York New Jersey Pennsylvania	181 4, 752 2, 460 512 184	2, 2 56, 7 29, 4 6, 1 2, 2	4, 512 60, 051 6, 990 614 138	6. 2 82. 9 9. 7 . 8 . 2	3, 301 7, 845 55 4	29. 4 70. 0 . 5	495 647 6	43. 1 56. 4 . 5	199 118 4	62. 0 36. 8 1. 2	155 56 3	72. 4 26. 2 1. 4	119 77 7	58. 3 37. 8 3. 4	8, 962 73, 546 9, 525 1, 130 323	9. 6 78. 3 10. 2 1. 2 . 3
Delaware, Maryland, Virginia, and District of Columbia Other States	217 69	2. 8	91 43	.1	4 2	1,1 (7 = 7)							1	. 5	312 115	. 3

^{100. 0 72, 439} 1 Except Connecticut.

100. 0 | 11, 212 | 100. 0

1, 148

100.0

321

² Less than 0.1 percent.

100.0

emphasize the point that traffic between given localities tends to be the same in both directions. The subdivision of this truck traffic according to class of operation is presented in figure 11.

8, 375

The distribution of through truck traffic according to destination is shown in table 12. The relative importance of each area as both origin and destination of trucks passing through Connecticut is shown in figure 13. Figures 12 and 13 show clearly that trucks engaged in both classes of commercial hauling are found much more frequently in through traffic than in traffic that has its origin or destination in Connecticut.

Trucks having both terminals within Connecticut constituted 63.9 percent of all trucks whose origins and destinations were recorded. Approximately onethird of this intrastate truck traffic was between 15 cities in various localities. Details of this analysis are presented in table 13, and also in figure 14. Owneroperated trucks were more frequently operating between other points than these 15 cities, though many may have had either their origin or destination in one or another of them. Only 28 percent of the entire

made their trips between cities enumerated above. Contract haulers were about equally divided in their operation between pairs of these cities and between other points, and only 1 out of 3 common carriers had either or both terminals outside these cities.

204 | 100.0 | 93,913

100.0

Analysis of intercity truck traffic originating in principal Connecticut cities is possible where truck counts were taken at points on the principal routes between them. Ordinarily, the bulk of truck traffic was between neighboring cities, although larger but more distant cities sometimes drew a considerable amount of traffic. Local traffic with nearby places consisted chiefly of owner-operated trucks, a large proportion of these undoubtedly being of the retail delivery class. Traffic to more distant points frequently showed a greater proportion of contract-hauler and common-carrier trucks.

GROSS WEIGHTS OF TRUCKS MEASURED

Scales were available at 11 stations at which the combined weights of the vehicles and loads were obtained. number of owner-operated trucks in intrastate traffic. This weight is hereafter referred to as gross weight.



FIGURE 11.—RELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF EACH AREA AS THE ORIGIN AND DESTINATION OF ALL TRUCK TRAFFIC, BY CLASS OF OPERATION. AREAS OF CIRCLES ARE PROPORTIONAL TO PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF ALL TRUCKS.

Table 11.—Origin or destination of truck traffic that originates or terminates in Connecticut

Origin or destination	Trucks he gin in Cor		Trucks having destination in Connecticut		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
New England and Canada	60, 051 6, 990 614 138	6. 2 82. 9 9. 7 . 8 . 2	4, 752 60, 051 7, 845 647 118	6. 3 81. 7 10. 7 . 9 . 2	
All other States	72, 439	100. 0	77, 546	100.0	

Table 12.—Destination of through truck traffic by class of operation

Destination	Owner operated		Contract hauler		Common carrier		All classes	
Destination	Num- ber	Per- cent	Num- ber	Per- cent	Num- ber	Per- cent	Num- ber	Per- cent
New England and Canada New York New Jersey Pennsylvania Delaware, Maryland, Vir- ginia, and District of	1, 662 832 176 69	57. 0 28. 5 6. 0 2. 4	1, 973 1, 269 288 107	52. 7 33. 9 7. 7 2. 9	815 434 52 9	61. 7 32. 9 3. 9 . 7	4, 450 2, 535 516 185	55. 8 31. 8 6. 4 2. 3
Columbia Other States	143 34	4. 9 1. 2	70 36	1. 9	8 2	.6	221 72	2.8
Total	2, 916	100. 0	3, 743	100. 0	1, 320	100. 0	7, 979	100.0

Except Connecticut



FIGURE 12.—RELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF EACH AREA AS THE ORIGIN AND DESTINATION OF TRUCKS HAVING ONE OR BOTH TERMINALS IN CONNECTICUT, BY CLASS OF OPERATION. AREAS OF CIRCLES ARE PROPORTIONAL TO PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF ALL TRUCKS.

The locations of these stations, the number of trucks weighed, and the average gross weights at each are shown in table 14.

The weights varied from about 1,000 pounds to as high as 80,000 pounds in the case of a few special vehicles. Light delivery vehicles were classed as trucks, and tractor-semitrailer combinations were weighed as a single unit. The highest average gross weight at any station was 12,100 pounds on US 5A, south of the Massachusetts State line, and the lowest on Connecticut 12, at Putnam. Generally, high average gross weights were found at stations on US1, US5 and 5A, the routes on which the greatest traffic density was recorded. The average gross weight of owner-operated trucks was 7,600 pounds; that of contract-hauler trucks, 15,200 pounds; and that of common-carrier trucks, 17,000 pounds; while 9,600 pounds was the average gross weight of all classes of trucks combined. A great majority of owner-operated trucks were in the lower weight groups; only about 20 percent of these weighed 10,500 pounds or over. Among both contract-hauler and common-carrier trucks, however, about two-thirds weighed 10,500 pounds or more.

Trucks on U S 5A south of the State line had the heaviest gross weights and the proportion of contract haulers and common carriers was largest. At Putnam, where the percentage of owner-operated trucks was high, the average gross weight was less than at any other station. In general, average gross weight was greatest where commercial hauling was a high



FIGURE 13.—RELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF EACH AREA AS THE ORIGIN AND DESTINATION OF TRUCKS PASSING THROUGH CONNECTICUT, BY CLASS OF OPERATION. AREAS OF CIRCLES ARE PROPORTIONAL TO PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF THROUGH TRUCKS.

proportion of the total truck traffic and lowest at points where commercial hauling was low in proportion to total truck traffic. Table 15 shows the distribution of the various classes of trucks according to gross weights.

Eighty-two trucks weighed more than 43,500 pounds. Twelve of these were found on US 1, southwest of Greenwich; 29 on US 1, east of Stratford; and 18 on U S 5 at Berlin. Thus, about seven-tenths of these trucks were in operation on routes between New York City and New Haven, or between New Haven and Hartford. Several of the heaviest trucks were also found on US 5 north of Thompsonville, on US 5A south of the State line, on US 1 at Saybrook, and on Connecticut 8 at Naugatuck. Three-eighths of these trucks were owner-operated, the other five-eighths being commercial haulers. More than half of these heavy trucks were en route to or from New York City. Three-fourths were engaged in interstate traffic, one-fourth passing through the State, and one-half having one terminal in Connecticut and one outside. In almost every instance these trucks were owned by city residents and were operating between cities.

CHARACTERISTICS OF BUS TRAFFIC DISCUSSED

The distribution of busses according to the principal classifications indicates that more than 96 percent of all busses on Connecticut highways were owned by city residents; about 3 percent were owned by residents of villages or towns of less than 2,500 population; and less than 1 percent were owned by residents of farms. About 82 percent of all busses were traveling between

Table 13.—Destination of intercity truck traffic on Connecticut highways by class of operation

Destination, city and county	Owner operator		Contract hauler		Common		All classes	
	Num- ber	Per- cent	Num- ber	Per- cent	Num- ber	Per- cent	Num- ber	Per- cent
Torrington, Litchfield, Bristol, Hartford Hartford, Hartford New Britzin, Hartford Bridgeport, Fairfield Danbury, Fairfield Greenwich, Fairfield Stamford, Fairfield Meriden, New Haven New Haven, New Haven Waterbury, New Haven Widdletown, Middlesex New London, New London, New London, New London	3, 598 228 198 941 1, 286 444	3. 6 3. 0 6. 7 25. 0 1. 6 1. 4 6. 5 8. 9 3. 1 23. 8 5. 7 2. 6 3. 5 9	98 37 296 51 732 27 28 91 124 48 747 215 99 163 34	3. 5 1. 3 10. 6 1. 8 26. 2 1. 0 1. 0 3. 3 4. 5 26. 8 7. 7 3. 6 5. 8 1. 2	74 24 196 28 426 21 22 60 130 16 471 157 39 138 36	4. 0 1. 3 10. 7 1. 5 23. 2 1. 1 1. 2 3. 3 7. 1 . 9 25. 6 8. 5 2. 1 7. 5 2. 0	688 491 1, 456 607 4, 756 276 276 2, 540 508 4, 639 1, 190 520 812 203	3. 6 2. 6 2. 6 3. 2 25. 0 1. 4 1. 3 5. 7 8. 1 2. 7 24. 4 6. 3 2. 7 4. 3 1. 1
Total, 15 cities	14, 398	100. 0	2, 790	100. 0	1, 838	100.0	19, 026	100.0
Percentage of intra- state truck traffic		28, 1		47. 2		64. 8		31.7

¹ The base figures for these percentages are found in table 10.

Table 14.—Number and average gross weight of trucks passing designated points on Connecticut highways

Location of station	Trucks weighed	A verage gross weight
U S 1, southwest of Greenwich U S 1, east of Stratford U S 1, at Saybrook U S 7, northeast of Ridgefield. U S 5, at Berlin U S 5, north of Thompsonville U S 5A, south of State line U S 5A, south of State line U S 6, at Plainville Conn. 8, at Naugatuck Conn. 12 and Conn. 14, north of Plainfield Conn. 12 and Conn. 101, at Putnam.	Number 3, 516 4, 350 1, 970 1, 450 3, 513 1, 884 2, 178 2, 463 1, 847 1, 749 1, 258	Pounds 9,800 10,300 9,500 8,000 11,100 10,100 12,100 7,600 9,300 8,100 6,600
Total and average, 11 stations	26, 148	9, 600

Table 15.—Distribution of trucks according to gross weight and by class of operation

Gross weight,	Ow	ner	Contract hauler		Common carrier		All classes		A verage gross
pounds	Num- ber	Per- cent	Num- ber	Per- cent	Num- ber	Per- cent	Num- ber	Per- cent	weight, pounds
Under 4,500 4,500 to 10,499 10,500 to 19,499 19,500 to 29,499 29,500 and over	7, 742 7, 857 2, 558 890 358	40. 5 13. 2 4. 6	1, 515 1, 637 810	35. 5	606 696 402	29, 6 33, 9	4, 891 2, 102	38. 3 18. 8	6, 800 14, 300
Total, all weights.	19, 405	100, 0	4, 608	100.0	2, 051	100.0	26, 064	100.0	9, 600
A verage gross weight	7, 600		15, 200	~	17, 000		9, 600	***	

cities; less than 2 percent ran from country to country; and about 16 percent were operating between city and country. Nearly 95 percent of all busses on Connecticut highways were common carriers, and contract-hauler busses were about 5 percent of the total. Tabulations of the origin and destination of individual busses show that 37 percent had both origin and destination in Connecticut and one outside; and 37 percent had both origin and destination in other States. Contract-hauler busses occurred most frequently in intrastate traffic, and least frequently in through traffic.

(Continued on p. 244)

SOME CHARACTERISTICS OF HIGHWAY TRAFFIC IN RHODE ISLAND

BY THE DIVISION OF HIGHWAY TRANSPORT, BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS 1

DURING the summer of 1934 a survey was conducted on the 725-mile State highway system of Rhode Island to obtain information on the distribution and classification of highway traffic, and the habits of drivers with regard to speed and obedience to traffic regulations. Work was done as a project of the Federal Emergency Relief Administration to furnish employment to many persons on relief rolls throughout the State. The sponsors were the Bureau of Public Roads, the State Board of Public Roads, the Department of State Police, and the Governor's Committee on Street and Highway Safety.

The field data were tabulated by 459 field enumerators at 355 observation stations during the period from June 29 to September 26, under the direction of 16 field supervisors. The administrative work and the compilation and analysis of data were done by a head-quarters staff of 64 persons working from June 1 to

Jecember 20.

Insofar as possible, all data were classified as follows:

1. By weekdays and Sundays.

2. By hours of the day.

- 3. By location of the stations with reference to population as follows:
 - (a) Urban, the business centers of communities.
- (b) Suburban, built-up areas predominantly residential.
- (c) Rural, open country with houses or stores widely scattered.

It is important to note that only a few miles of the State highway system penetrate the boundaries of large cities, and hence the data obtained does not reflect city traffic conditions.

Observations of weekday and Sunday traffic at 135 stations showed that the Sunday traffic volume exceeded that of the average weekday by 42 percent. The hourly observations disclosed that the greatest traffic for the average weekday was from 5 to 6 p. m., with 7.54 percent of the total traffic volume for the day; and that the next busiest hour was from 8 to 9 p. m., with a nearly equal amount (7.52 percent). The weekday traffic volume dwindled to less than half of 1 percent between 4 and 5 a. m. On Sundays 8.05 percent of the day's traffic volume occurred between 7 and 8 p. m., and less than half of 1 percent occurred between 3 and 4 a. m.

Population density was found to have a definite effect on traffic. At urban stations the traffic volume rose to sharp peaks during the morning rush hour (8–9 a. m.), the late afternoon (5–6 p. m.), and the early evening (8–9 p. m.). Suburban stations showed the same characteristics, but not so strongly emphasized. At rural stations the volume was variable, increasing during the day because of through traffic, and with a peak only in the late afternoon (5–6 p. m.).

¹ The full report has been submitted to the Rhode Island State Board of Public Roads and will not be published or distributed by the Bureau of Public Roads.

The influence of weather on traffic volume was made the subject of a special study carried on at five stations located on the principal routes between cities and coast resorts. Traffic volume was not noticeably affected by rainfall or excessive temperatures during the business hours of week days, but on hot Saturday afternoons and Sundays the volume was greatly increased. Heavy rain on a Sunday morning resulted in a concentration of most of the day's traffic in the afternoon hours, causing much congestion.

In determining the character of highway usage, data were recorded for 2,415,000 vehicles observed at 25 important intersections throughout the State. Counts were made of the numbers of passenger cars, busses, and trucks, the latter being subdivided by rated capacities. Table 1 shows the percentages of the three principal classes of vehicles according to place of registration.

Table 1.—Classification of traffic by type of vehicle and place of registration

Classification	Rhode Island	Foreign	Total
Passenger cars Trucks Busses	Percent 70, 6 7, 3 , 9	Percent 19. 7 1. 4 . 1	Percent 90. 3 8. 7 1. 0
Total	78. 8	21. 2	100. 0

Passenger cars constituted over 90 percent of the total volume of traffic on the State highway system. During the summer of 1934, in addition to the usual summer attractions the presence of a naval fleet at Newport, horse races at Narragansett, and the international yacht cup races drew many visitors from other States. On the average week day 20.4 percent of the passenger cars were from outside the State, and on Sundays the percentage was 24.2.

Trucks constituted nearly 9 percent of the total traffic. The classification of trucks by rated capacity is shown in table 2. Truck traffic on Sundays was about 4 percent of the total traffic volume for the day, while on week days it constituted approximately 10 percent.

Table 2.—Classification of trucks by rated capacity and place of registration

Rated capacity	Rhode Island	Foreign	Total
0 to 1½ tons, inclusive	Percent 49, 6 25, 0 9, 2	Percent 5. 8 5. 2 5. 2	Percent 55. 4 30. 2 14. 4
Total	83. 8	16. 2	100. 0

AVERAGE SPEED OF VEHICLES FOUND TO BE 34 MILES PER HOUR

In this survey particular attention was given to the measurement of the speed of vehicles. Vehicles were timed by stop watches over a measured course and their speeds were computed. Nearly 675,000 vehicles were observed at 160 stations over five different periods aggregating 27 days. The average speed of all vehicles was found to be 33.8 miles per hour. Speeds of weekday and Sunday traffic by types of vehicles are shown in table 3.

Vehicle speeds were relatively high in the early morning business hours, and decreased sharply between 2 and 5 p. m. A marked increase in speed occurred between 5 and 7 p. m., and during the later evening hours the lowest speeds of the day were observed. The most striking decrease, of about 3 miles per hour, occurred at dusk. Speeds again rose to a peak in the small-hours of the morning.

The effects of grades and curves and of weather conditions on vehicle speed, as far as could be determined from the data obtained in this study, were negligible. However, the observations were made on sections of road improved according to high standards of design and there were no sharp curves or steep grades.

Foreign cars traveled at speeds averaging 2.1 miles per hour faster than Rhode Island cars. Possibly this was because the drivers of foreign cars were making longer trips and were more concerned with saving time, or perhaps because they had newer or better-conditioned cars.

Unaccompanied drivers drove faster than the drivers with passengers by 1.3 miles per hour. There seemed to be no significant differences in the speeds of cars driven by men and those driven by women.

Table 3.—Average vehicle speeds on week days and Sundays

Classification	Week days	Sundays	Total
Passenger cars	Miles per hour 34, 4 31, 2 28, 4 30, 5	Miles per hour 33, 5 31, 1 29, 4 30, 1 33, 4	Miles per hour 34, 2 31, 2 28, 4 30, 4 33, 8

A wide difference of opinion between the State police and the average driver as to safe speeds is indicated by the difference between the speeds observed and the speeds posted on the "safe speed" signs along certain roads by the State police. The percentage of drivers exceeding the posted limits ranged from 10.7 percent at 45-miles-per-hour signs to 86.1 percent at 20-miles-perhour signs. Observations made at 13 stations showed that 37.7 percent of the drivers drove from 5 to 9 miles per hour faster than the signs indicated, and that 30 percent exceeded the limits by from 10 to 14 miles per hour. It should be noted, however, that under the present laws and regulations such signs are advisory only, and while the rather general disregard of their warnings may be considered as a challenge of the judgment used in placing the signs, it does not indicate a lack of control by the police.

MANY DRIVERS FAIL TO OBEY "STOP" SIGNS

A considerable part of the field work was devoted to the observation of driver habits with reference to traffic control devices. The results showed that 0.77 percent of the drivers and 41.7 percent of the pedestrians crossed intersections against red lights, and 14.1 percent of the pedestrians crossed diagonally.

×

Studies of observance of caution signals (flashing yellow lights suspended over intersections) were made at nine locations. Practically all vehicles approached the locality of these signals at speeds in excess of 15 miles per hour and were recorded as reducing speed to 15 miles per hour or as failing to reduce speed. The value of these observations is influenced by possible inaccuracies in estimating speed. The records show that 54.8 percent of the drivers on week days and 31.8 percent of the drivers on Sundays exceeded 15 miles per hour while passing the signals, even though at the stations where these counts were made there were 15 percent more drivers on Sunday than on the average weekday.

A study of "stop" sign observance showed that in this respect drivers of commercial vehicles were more careful than drivers of passenger cars. More than 220,000 drivers were observed during 1 week. They were classified as follows: (1) Made full stop; (2) almost stopped, that is, reduced speed to less than 3 miles per hour; (3) entered slow, 3 to 15 miles per hour: and (4) entered fast, over 15 miles per hour. A relatively small number, 6.6 percent, were in the fourth class. Table 4 contains a summary of the observations.

Table 4.—Observance of "Stop" signs by different classes of traffic

Driver's action	Passenger cars	Commercial vehicles	Total
Made full stop Almost stopped Entered slow Entered fast	Percent 44. 1 29. 1 20. 0 6. 8	Percent 52, 2 27, 4 15, 9 4, 5	Percent 44.9 28.9 19.6 6.6 100,0

The percentage of obedience (vehicles made full stop or almost stopped) at urban and suburban stations was much higher than at rural stations, 80.9 percent as compared to 63.9 percent.

CURBS AND NARROW SHOULDERS FORCE TRAFFIC TOWARD CENTER OF ROAD

Rhode Island is probably the only State in which the entire State highway system and many town roads have traffic lanes marked with continuous 6-inch white lines on the road surface. During an 8-day period the behavior of over 622,000 drivers with respect to these lanes was observed, on 4-lane roads at 18 stations, on 3-lane roads at 3 stations, and on 2-lane roads at 23 stations. The results are shown in table 5, and represent lane observance on tangents.

The greater safety of four-lane roads was shown by the low percentage of vehicles driven on the wrong side of the road. However, the greater freedom of movement permitted by four lanes had an undesirable effect shown by the excessive straddling of lanes. Straddling decreased as the traffic volume increased, so it may be concluded that the lane markings are most effective when they are most needed, that is, when traffic is heaviest.

The effect of curbs and shoulders on the observance of traffic lanes was also studied. The observations indicate clearly that mental hazards caused by conditions along the roadside have a marked effect upon the

(Continued on p. 243)

AUTOMATIC PUMPING UNITS FOR **UNDERPASSES**

Reported by MARTIN DEUTERMAN, Junior Civil Engineer, Division of Bridges, Bureau of Public Roads

nation of grade crossings in cities and other built-up sections where gravity drainage cannot be There are locations where an overpass would be built if the most effective way of serving highway traffic were the only factor considered, but other considerations such as the effect on values of adjacent property result in the selection of an underpass.

At some locations a clearance of 14 feet and satisfactory sight distances can be obtained only by placing the structures so that an automatic pumping unit is necessary or more economical than other methods of draining. When deciding between a pumping unit and other methods of draining, it should be borne in mind that if the pumping unit fails to operate during a rainstorm the underpass will be unusable.

Figure 1 shows four underpasses equipped with

automatic pumping units.

CAREFUL DESIGN NECESSARY TO INSURE AUTOMATIC OPERATION

The drainage system and pumping unit should have ample capacity to care for all ground water that may be encountered. In many locations that appear dry on the surface ground-water streams are found during excavation. The drainage area served by the pumps may sometimes be reduced by the use of gravity sewers and ditches. In the design of catch-basin inlets intended to divert water from the underpass, special care is necessary to prevent water flowing with considerable velocity from passing by openings intended to intercept it and flowing into the drainage area served by the pumps. This can be prevented by lengthening and depressing the catch-basin opening more than usual. In the drainage system served by the pumping unit, the openings in the gratings over the catch-basin inlets should be small enough to catch all material too large to pass through the pumps. The roadway grades and catch-basin inlets should be designed so that no water stands in the roadway either during or after storms. The road surface should have a crown throughout the underpass and should not be "dished" for center drainage, because of the traffic

The pump may be placed in the sump or in a dry well adjacent to the sump. Where a dry well is used, the walls should be made as waterproof as practical and a small sump should be placed in the floor of the well. This small sump can be unwatered by a small suction pipe tapped to the main suction pipe, with hand-operated cut-off valves in both the main and small

suction pipe.

The suction pipe should be placed below the floor of the sump with the floor of the sump sloping toward it. This arrangement has the advantage that sediment is not allowed to collect on the floor of the sump and the amount of water remaining after the pump cuts off is less. Sediment should not be allowed to collect on the floor of the sump, as it will interfere with the proper working of some types of automatic-control mechan-Water remaining in the sump may become a breeding place for mosquitoes. If no ground water

NDERPASSES are sometimes used for the climi- reaches the sump between rains and it is practical to tap some nearby water supply, it is good practice to admit water to the sump at such rate as to cause the pump to operate every day or two. This will prevent the water from stagnating, and when the station is inspected the water flow can be increased so as to cause the equipment to operate. The equipment is less apt to stick if it is operated frequently than if it is allowed to stand idle for a long period of time.

The dry-well sump and the main sump may be kept dry between rains by drains in locations where the

foundation soil affords suitable drainage

Suction and discharge pipes of sufficient size and with large radius bends will reduce the friction load on the pump. A pipe 6 to 12 inches in diameter will usually be adequate. Separate supports for the discharge pipe at the floor of the sump or dry well and sometimes at the ceiling are necessary to reduce the eccentric load on the pump base. A check valve should be placed in the discharge line to prevent the water from running the motor backwards when the unit cuts off. If the gravity outfall sewer is located close to the pump house the friction head on the pump will be decreased. The size of the outfall pipe should be sufficient to carry the maximum output of the pumps without pressure. It is preferable to have the discharge pipe empty into a manhole, but if the discharge goes directly into the outfall pipe, a reducer long enough to prevent excessive turbulence should be used.

The pumping units usually used are the vertical centrifugal or turbine types designed for handling water conveying trash and suspended matter and capable of passing a sphere of a specified diameter. The specified diameter of the sphere is usually about 1 inch less than the diameter of the pump discharge for discharges up to 6 inches, and about 2 inches less for discharges over 6 inches. A unit will be required with a capacity at least great enough to discharge the maximum run-off of the drainage area into the gravity outlet against the maxi-

mum head, including friction head.

The pump should be of sturdy construction, made by a reliable manufacturer, and should be mounted on a rigid cast-iron base that can be bolted to a concrete foundation. A pump with parts easily accessible and removable will reduce the cost of cleaning, repairing, and replacement. The suction elbow should be supplied with an easily removable cleanout plug.


The shaft connecting the pump to the motor should be large enough to operate the pump at all times without whip or vibration. Lateral shaft supports should be placed at intervals of not more than 5 feet. The shaft should have a flexible connection at the pump and rigid connections at other joints. An additional flexible connection just below the motor will prevent any errors in alignment of the bearings from causing the motor to wobble,

CAREFUL ADJUSTMENT AND FREQUENT INSPECTIONS NECESSARY TO AVOID FAILURE DURING STORMS

There should be a radial bearing in the motor, one in the pump, and one at each lateral support of the



AN UNDERPASS UNDER CONSTRUCTION IN BOWIE COUNTY, TEX. THE PUMP HOUSE IS UNDER THE LEFT SPAN.



AN UNDERPASS IN PINAL COUNTY, ARIZ.



An Underpass in Adams County, Nebr.
The Pump House Is Behind the Right
Abutment.



AN UNDERPASS IN HARRIS COUNTY, TEX.
THE PUMP HOUSE IS SHOWN IN THE
UPPER LEFT.

FIGURE 1.—Typical Underpasses Requiring Drainage by Pumping. Note Water Standing in Roadway in Three of the Pictures.

In addition, there should be a combination thrust and radial bearing in the motor to carry the weight of the revolving parts above the flexible connection, and also one in the pump to carry both the weight of the revolving parts below the flexible connection and the hydraulic thrust of the pump. If an additional flexible connection is used just below the motor, another thrust bearing will be required to carry the weight of the shaft. It is preferable to place this thrust bearing in the base of the motor. The bearings will require a lubrication system that will keep them bathed continuously in oil or grease, the lubrication system being serviced from the control house. the pump in a dry well the pump bearings and the lowest shaft bearing should be capable of functioning properly while submerged. With the pump in a sump all the bearings that would be under water if the pumps failed to operate during a storm should be capable of functioning property while submerged.

The power unit should be a standard-make electric motor capable of starting and operating the pump under maximum load, without overheating, with the electrical power available. The motor should be of the vertical-shaft type, dust and dirt proof, and should have a rigid base for properly bolting it to the controlhouse floor. Undervoltage or overload protection should be provided. If two or more pumps are used an automatic alternator will be required that will transfer the operation from one pump to another or allow as many pumps to operate as are required to care for

the water.

The automatic control device may be of the float, mercury-switch, or any other type that will give satisfactory service. The device should be set to start the motor after the water is high enough to prime the pump and to stop the motor when the water surface is as low as it can be gotten with the automatic device used or before it reaches the intake of the suction pipe. adjustments of the control device should be such that it could be set to start and stop the motor at any desired head of water in the sump within practical limits. In the float type of control sediment accumulating under the float may prevent it from getting low enough to cut off the motor. Sediment accumulated around the lower end of the tube that actuates the mercuryswitch type of control may prevent a change in pressure in the tube and may cause the device to fail to operate. A manually operated switch should be installed in addition to the automatic switch.

The control house, sump, and dry well should be constructed with doors, windows, trap doors, manholes, and ladders arranged so as to facilitate installation, inspection, and repair of the pumping unit and fittings. The control room should be ventilated with louvers in opposite walls. The elevation of the control room should be such that if the pump fails to operate the

room will not be flooded.

The plans and specifications for the equipment and installation should be prepared by a competent engineer. The plans should show the general construction and sectional views of the pump together with characteristic curves showing capacity, efficiency, brake horsepower, and other data necessary to determine the quality of the materials used and the fitness of the apparatus for the service intended.

All electrical equipment and installations should

conform to the code of the National Board of Fire

Underwriters.

After completion of the installation in every detail, it should be tested by filling the sump and allowing the unit to operate as planned. This test should be repeated until all defects are corrected and adjustments made. It is advisable to make acceptance of the station subject to satisfactory performance during these tests.

Regular inspections should be made to insure the proper operation of an automatic pumping station.

Table 1 lists pertinent data for a number of pumping-

station installations.

In May 1935 reports were made to the Texas State Highway Department by its division engineers on the functioning and adequacy of installations made in recent years. These reports gave the following data for some of the Texas projects listed in table 1.

NRM 474, Bowie County.—The type of control that requires that the bottom of the sump house be kept perfectly clean and free from mud is unsatisfactory. Very often the mud is not cleaned out and the switch

fails to operate.

The pump operates properly, but during heavy rainstorms the underpass has been flooded to a depth of about 3 feet, closing the underpass to traffic. The pump lacks the capacity to dispose of the water fast

enough during heavy rains.

The sewer inlets at a nearby street intersection do not carry as much water as they might, because of the grade of the street and the size of the grate openings. If the grates could be replaced by grates with larger openings, much more water would be diverted from the pump house.

NRM 417-E, Colorado County.—The unit has operated satisfactorily with one exception when power service was interrupted by an electrical storm. equipment has been given several very thorough tests

during heavy rain storms.

The pumping unit has a sump and dry well separated by a concrete wall. The intake of the pump is placed higher than the bottom of the sump, and the electrical starting and stopping device is so set that the pump does not start until there is approximately 28 inches of water in the sump, or sufficient water to prime the pump. After the pump has stopped this water remains in the sump and deposits silt on the bottom. In future installations the intake of the pump should be placed below the elevation of the sump and the bottom portion of the sump should be constructed so as to form a tapered section or funnel shape. This would cause any sediment that might be deposited in the sump to be carried out through the pump. In this way the sump would be dry except during rain storms and the pump would prime itself as soon as sufficient rain water accumulated.

SP 973-B, Fort Bend County; NRM 512-J, Harris County; FAP 514-D, Harris County; FAP 565-B, Harris County; and NRM 535-E, Harris County. When these pumping units were first installed, it was difficult to adjust the automatic control mechanisms properly. Only after 3 to 4 hard rains were the adjustments properly made. After the equipment was once properly adjusted, it seldom failed to work and then failure was usually caused by lightning or wind causing current failure. These pumping units have adequately handled the rain water from all storms that have

occurred since installation of the pumps.

SP 873-B, unit 3, Navarro County.—This pumping unit has functioned properly and has given satisfactory service.

Table 1.—Typical pumping-station installations

Project location and no.	Date of contract or estimate	Type of motor	Size of pump	Cost of equipment	Cost of maintenance
Bowie County, Tex., NRM 474.	Feb. 12, 1934	7½-horsepower, 3-phase, 60- cycle, 220-volt. Self-con- tained oiling system. Mer- cury switch control.	1,000 gallons per minute against 11-foot head, 6-inch vertical cen- trifugal type with 8-inch suction and capable of passing a 4-inch sphere.	\$1,500. Includes sump, motor house, pump, mo- tor, and other appurte- nances required for receiv- ing and discharging water.	Minimum rate \$1 per month. In last 5 months meter has reg- istered 100 kilowatt- hours at \$0.02 per kilo- watt-hour, 1 gallon of oil and 1 quart of com- pression grease bought in April 1935.
Colorado County, Tex., NRM 417-E.	do	7½-horsepower, 3-phase, 60- cycle, 220-volt. Self-con- tained oiling system. Mer- cury switch control.	700 gallons per minute against 21- foot head, 6-inch vertical centrif- ugal type with 8-inch suction and capable of passing a 4-inch sphere.	\$2,000. Includes pump, mo- tor, and other appurte- nances required for receiv- ing and discharging water.	Average cost, \$8.50 per month.
Fort Bend County, Tex., SP 973-B.	Feb. 19, 1934	15-horsepower, 3-phase, 60- cycle, 220-volt. Self-con- tained oiling system. Float control.	1,000 gallons per minute against 23- foot head, 5-inch vertical centrif- ugal type with 6-inch suction and capable of passing a 4-inch sphere.	\$2,100. Includes pump, mo- tor, switch floats, pipes and fittings connected with pump, and motor.	Average cost, \$21 per month.
Harris County, Tex.,	Installed in 1932	15-horsepower. Mercury	1,000 gallons per minute against	\$3,000. Estimated replace-	Do.
NRM 512-J. Harris County, Tex., FAP 514-D.	Feb. 27, 1931	switch control. 15-horsepower, 3-phase, 60-cycle, 220-volt. Self-contained oiling system. Float control.	33-foot head. 1,000 gallons per minute against 2234-foot head, 5-inch vertical centrifugal type with 6-inch suc- tion and capable of passing a 4-inch sphere.	ment cost. \$2,660. Includes motor, mo- tor house, pump, well, and sump.	Do.
Harris · County, Tex., FA? 565-B.	Aug. 1, 1931	15-horsepower, 3-phase, 60- cycle, 220-volt. Self-con- tained oiling system. Mercury switch control.	1,000 gallons per minute against 22½-foot head, 5-inch vertical centrifugal type with 6-inch suction and capable of passing a 4-inch sphere.	Manhole covers \$57, automatic pump unit \$2,400, motor-house door \$15, cost of well and other work \$3,338, total \$5,810.	Do.
Harris (County, Tex., NRM 535-E.	Jan. 8, 1934	20-horsepower, 3-phase, 60- cycle, 220-volt. Self-con- tained oiling system. Mercury switch control.	2,000 gallons per minute against 20-foot head, 6-inch vertical cen- trifugal type with 8-inch suction and capable of passing a 4-inch sphere.	Motor house, dry well, and sump \$2,100, automatic pumping unit \$2,150, total \$4,250.	Division engineer did not make a report of cost; \$23 per month as- sumed to be in line with costs of other in- stallations in same divi- sion.
Navarro County, Tex., SP 873-B unit 3.	Sept. 21, 1931	15-horsepower, 3-phase, 60- cycle, 220-volt. Self-con- tained oiling system. Float control.	1,000 gallons per minute against 20-foot head, 5-inch vertical cen- trifugal type with 6-inch suction and capable of passing a 4-inch sphere.	Automatic drainage unit \$1,850, well and other work \$2,095, total \$3,945.	Average cost \$13 per month.
Taylor County, Tex., NRM 581.	Contract not awarded to date.	25-horsepower, 3-phase, 60- cycle, 220-volt. Self-con- tained oiling system. Mercury switch control.	3,000 gallons per minute against 24-foot head, 8-inch vertical cen- trifugal type with 8-inch suction and capable of passing a 4-inch sphere.	Automatic pumping unit \$3,000, motor house \$350, concrete for dry well \$1,126, total \$4,476.	
Pinal County, Ariz., NRS 100-C.	Jan. 23, 1935 (date of estimate).	2 motors, 3-horsepower each, 40° rating, line start, in- duction, 3-phase, 60-cycle, 220-volt. Motor, shaft, and pump lubricated by electrically operated auto- matic oiler. Float control.	2 at 250 gallons per minute each against 35-foot head (static 2) feet, friction 15 feet). 4-inch vertical centrifugal or turbine type capable of passing a 3-inch sphere.	\$3,100. Includes pump house, sump, dry well. and equipment in place.	
Adams County, Nebr., NRM 169-B.	Mar. 16, 1934	20-horsepower, 3-phase, 60- cycle, 220-volt. Induction type. Float control.	1,500 gallons per minute against 20-foot head at 850 revolutions per minute, vertical, single-stage, open-impeller type. 8-inch suction and discharge capable of passing a 2-inch sphere. Pump suspended by discharge pipe and pipe enclosing shaft.	Pump house \$808, pump and electric wiring \$3,600, total \$4,408.	

¹ This particular installation will not be made but the data are given as being typical.

(Continued from p. 239)

Table 5.—Lane observance on tangents

Driver's action	4-lane roads	3-lane roads	2-lane roads
Drove in first lane Straddled line Drove in second lane Drove left of center line	Percent 57. 5. 29. 3 11. 9 1. 3	Percent 84. 7 9. 1 6. 2	Percent 92. 8
Total	100. 0	100. 0	100. 0

Table 6.—Traffic classified by lane observance on hills and curves

Roads	Stayed in first lane			d center not pass	Passed other vehicles	
	Hills	Curves	Hills	Curves	Hills	Curves
2-lane	Percent 93. 4 88. 9	Percent 93. 7 73. 1	Percent 2.8 2.4	Percent 4.8 24.3	Perc nt 3. 8 8. 7	Percent 1. 5 2. 6
Combined.	92. 5	89. 8	2. 7	8. 5	4.8	1.7

driver. Wide shoulders induced traffic to stay in the outside lanes; narrow shoulders increased the tendency to straddle; and permanent raised curbs not only caused straddling, but tended to cause the motorists to drive completely out of the correct lanes.

The dangerous practice of passing on hills and curves was studied during two periods of 6 and 4 days each, 269,000 drivers being observed at 13 hill and 13 curve stations on 2- and 3-lane roads. The results are summarized in table 6.

NEARLY ALL VEHICLES FOUND TO OBSERVE VEHICLE LIGHT REQUIREMENTS

Rhode Island regulations require that every motor vehicle must show 2 headlights and 1 taillight beginning one-half hour after sunset. Observations were made for 8 successive days during the hours from 8 to 10 p.m. Of the 79,200 cars observed, only 3.5 percent violated this law in any degree. The results of this study are shown in table 7.

The greatest percentage of cases where no headlights were shown occurred during the hour from 8 to 9 p. m.,

Table 7.—Traffic classified by lights displayed during different intervals at night

Lights di	splayed	S to	9 to	Total 8
Head	Tail	9 p. m.	10 p. m.	to 10 p. m.
2 2 1 1 0 0	1 0 1 0 1 0	Percent 95, 27 1, 75 61 06 77 1, 54	Percent 98, 13 1, 42 .39 .02 .02 .02	Percent 96, 47 1, 61 , 52 , 04 , 46 , 90
Tota	1.	100, 00	100, 00	100, 00

indicating that some of the drivers were slow in turning on their lights.

Drivers observed at urban and suburban stations made a much better record than those passing rural stations, as may be seen from the following percentages of lighting violations: Urban, 2.7 percent; suburban, 2.6 percent; and rural, 4.3 percent.

The survey's value as an unemployment relief project is shown in the cost figures. The total cost of the survey was \$89,961.76, and of this sum \$85,342.49, or 94.87 percent, were paid as wages and salaries. The employment provided aggregated 159,135 man-hours.

(Continued from p. 237)

Traffic volume at 54 points on the Connecticut highway system increased 255 percent between the traffic surveys in 1922–23 and 1933–34, exceeding the rate of increase in gasoline consumption over the same period. Traffic has increased more rapidly on the State highway system than upon all roads in the State. New and shorter routes with better pavement and alinement, longer sight distances, and easier grades have caused some shifting of traffic from old routes, but in no in-

stance is a State route carrying less traffic at present than it carried during the 1922–23 traffic survey.

A total of 1,786 miles of trunk-line and State-aid highways have been classified on the basis of present traffic as follows: Sections carrying 1,500 or more vehicles per day, major class; sections carrying 500 to 1,499 vehicles per day, medium class; and sections carrying less than 500 vehicles per day, minor class. Almost 913 miles, or 51 percent, are in the major class; 660 miles, or 37 percent, are in the medium class; and 213 miles, or 12 percent, are in the minor class.




FIGURE 14.—DISTRIBUTION OF INTER-CITY TRUCK TRAFFIC TERMINATING IN 15 PRINCIPAL CITIES OF CONNECTICUT, BY CLASS OF OPERATION. AREAS OF CIRCLES ARE PROPORTIONAL TO PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL TRUCK TRAFFIC BETWEEN THESE 15 CITIES.

COMPLETE CANVASS OF MOTOR-VEHICLE TRANSPORTATION TO BE MADE

By Ralph L. Dewey, Chief, Transportation and Communications Divisions, Census of Business

The Census of Business, covering the calendar year 1935, enumeration for which began January 2, 1936, is the most comprehensive project of its kind ever undertaken, and will for the first time include motorvehicle operations for hire.

Comparable projects have been completed in other years, but they were limited. In 1930, a census of distribution covering 1929, was taken. In 1934 a census of American business for 1933 was made, but it was limited to distribution, service businesses,

amusement enterprises, and hotels.

The rapid change in our entire economic structure has created a demand on the part of business leaders for more complete data concerning the activities of American business. Requests from business men and chambers of commerce determined the scope of the work. Representative organizations in all business fields are working with the Census Bureau to make the project of maximum value.

KIND OF MOTOR TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION TO BE COLLECTED

Kind of business.—A complete canvass of commercial motor-vehicle transportation will be made. This will include both the transportation of commodities and persons. Both freight and passenger carriers will be classified as doing either a local, intrastate, or interstate business. The area covered will determine the classification of a given carrier. A local carrier will be one operating within a given city and the immediately surrounding area, even though a State line may be crossed. Operations between Philadelphia, Pa., and Camden, N. J., Chicago, Ill., and Whiting, Ind., Cincinnati, Ohio, and Covington, Ky., and similar operations will be considered local. A distinction will also be made between contract and common carriers for both bus and truck operators.

Operating revenue and expenses.—Data for total operating revenue and expenses of commercial motorvehicle operators for 1935 will be collected. Revenue will be classified according to the type of services rendered. Thus revenue received from warehousing and storage, terminal operations, transportation of persons, transportation of commodities, etc., will be listed separately. Expenses will not be allocated on the same basis but rather collected in terms of total expenses for the year. Pay-roll data, however, will be collected separately from all other operating expenses.

Detailed employment data for a sample week (that ending nearest Oct. 26, 1935) will be collected. These will include the number of full-time and part-time employees and the pay rolls for each. Employees will be classified in terms of functions performed such as office and clerical, warehousing and platform, transportation

crews, maintenance, servicing, etc.

Inventory of equipment.—A complete inventory of equipment will be obtained. In the case of trucks the number and age of trucks, semitrailers, trailers, and tractors in operation or available for operation in October 1935 will be listed. The first three of these as rapidly as possible.

types will be classified by capacities. For motorbusses the number and age of sightseeing, school, and other busses will be obtained. These will be grouped according to capacities.

Value of the survey.—The survey of the motor transportation-for-hire business will be only one aspect of the 1935 Census of Business. Other business enterprises

covered by this census will include:

Retail trade. Wholesale trade. Construction. Hotels Amusements. Business services. Operation of nonresidential buildings. Advertising agencies.

Nonprofit organizations.

Warehousing. Broadcasting. Distribution of manufacturers' sales (channels of primary

distribution) Insurance (carriers, agents, and

brokers) Real estate (agents and brok-

Banking and finance.

For all these fields of business endeavor the number of establishments, sales, number of employees, pay rolls, etc., will be obtained. All such data will be collected and published by important geographical areas. The position of motor transportation in this picture will be readily ascertainable. A careful analysis of these data should provide a partial index to motor transportation possibilities in given areas. The transportation industry should be highly interested in obtaining an accurate picture of the character and extent of commercial motor-vehicle transportation.

Many values of this survey will prove themselves as the data are collected and made public. While absolutely no information will be given out which will disclose the identity of any individual operator, the combined reports of a number of operators in a given territory will provide an excellent statistical picture of the industry. These data can be coordinated and correlated with the information received from other parts of

the Census of Business Survey.

Field work for the census began January 2, 1936. Headquarters for the project have been set up in Philadelphia, where Fred A. Gosnell, experienced head of the previous business census, is chief statistician in charge.

Only sworn employees of the Bureau of the Census are permitted to examine the individual returns. No access to them is permitted under the law, not even to other governmental agencies, and no information will be disclosed which would reveal any of the facts or figures in the returns.

Such a complete survey of business could not be more timely and useful than the one covering 1935. Every effort will be made to expedite reports so as to make available the maximum useful information with a minimum of delay.

The field work will be completed within 3 months after the enumeration starts. The first preliminary report of basic facts, by States, will be issued about July 1, 1936. Soon after the State reports are com-

pleted, special statistics for retail trade will be released

CURRENT STATUS OF FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAY PROJECTS

(1936 FUNDS ONLY)

AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1935.

at t	S. C.		COMPLETED		UND	UNDER CONSTRUCTION		APPROVE	APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION	N	BALANCE OF
STATE	AFFORTIONMENT	Estimated Total Cost	FEDERAL AID	Miles	Estimated Total Cost	FEDERAL AID	Miles	Estimated Total Cost	FEDERAL AID	Miles	ABLE FOR NEW PROJECTS
Alabama Arizona Arkansas	2,604,320 1,781,347 2,142,723				1,489,399	1,230,652	1.16	406,813	320,205	10.1	2,604,320
California Colorado Connecticut	4,756,959 2,288,811 791,253				2,992,047	1,726,449 605,491	28.9 5.6	320,279	181,106 504,435	37.6	2,849,404 1,178,885 791,253
Delaware Florida Georgia	609,375 1,655,723 3,168,221				674,678	337,339	23.8	422,476 218,968 1,290,511	209, 443 109, 484 639, 030	38.2	1,208,900
Idaho Illinois Indiana	1,531,162 5,160,696 3,087,613	305,432	172,421	9.44	2,548,483	1,274,241	95.0 7.4.0	64,242 3,201,534 2,120,741	38,443 1,600,767	55.0	2,285,688
lowa Kansas Kentucky	3,231,718 3,317,054 2,304,143	84,196	39,510	21.0	3,885,848	1,830,615	203.4	2,088,229 2,450,186	984,158 1,225,093	268.3	377,435
Louisiana Maine Maryland	1,776,939	113,605	56,803	2.1	849,060	424,530	75.0 19.6	1,308,524 99,529	654,262	1.9	1,055,870
Massac <mark>husetts</mark> Michigan Minnesota	1,741,877 3,837,292 3,423,306	722,100	361,050	20.7	3,201,374	1,597,962	131.1	1.574.450	787,225	66.5	1,741,877
Mississippi Missouri Montana	2,196,524 3,800,856 2,560,449	1,171,810	585,905	220.8	2,615,159	1,307,580	104.7	924,387	462,193	12.9 13.8	2,196,52 1,145,17
Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire	2,581,663 1,595,501 609,375	983,386	491,693 105,488	61.4 55.4	739,320 883,442 696,758	369,660 764,796 341,926	73.2 95.8	11,828	5,914	5.2	1,714,396 725,217 206,108
New Jersey New Mexico New York	1,675,751 1,999,299 6,150,106	37,143 67,052	18,572 40,781	10.9	1,286,489	3,497,900	103.2 103.2	2,090,803	997.177 500,340 1,302,600	16.2	16,758 756,974 1,349,606
North Carolina North Dakota Obio	2,938,657 1,960,162 4,565,435				1,091,425	545,712	183.5	75,887	37,944	15.1	2,355,00 1,960,16 2,999,45
Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania	2,947,521 2,044,633 5,348,062	18,018	600,6	ř.	1,498,396 2,181,086 3,142,790	787,407 1,323,308 1,571,395	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	335,155 255,447 2,992,143	176,124 149,075 1,495,817	11.6	1,983,990 572,250 2,271,841
Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota	609,375 1,692,896 2,036,775				516,746	283,332	35.2	62,989	37,278	6.6	609,375 1,692,896 1,716,165
Tennessee Texas Utah	2,638,159 7,777,504 1,410,752	3.545	1,700	17.0	834,404 4,948,085	417,202 2,456,912 364,862	2007 2007 2004 2004	511,461 2,424,916 41,334	1,204,039 29,843	18.4 120.9 3.3	1,965,22 4,114,85 822,74
Vermont Virginia Washington	609,375 2,278,475 1,949,957	25,988 637,524	12,994	2.9	1,109,330 53,810 946,043	26,905 198,700	51.3 10.3	52,043 1,224,568 859,991	26,021 612,284 451,049	年.7.	1,639,286
West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming	1,356.793 3,045,557 1,559,444	87,161 230,339 509,889	43,581 115,169 314,034	82.8 82.8	1,030,747 1,860,381	246,997 501,912 1,145,789	29.1 52.5 174.6	58,840 699,444 140,744	29,420 330,939 86,683	27.5	1,036,795 2,097,537 12,938
District of Columbia Hawaii	609,375							234,328	116,892	2.5	492,483
TOTALS	121,875,000	6,495,703	3,461,731	717.4	64.016.139	33.635.060	7.0UO.7	cal and as	10 075 177	4 11411 0	65.543.076

CURRENT STATUS OF UNITED STATES WORKS PROGRAM HIGHWAY PROJECTS

(AS PROVIDED BY THE EMERGENCY RELIEF APPROPRIATION ACT OF 1935)

AS OF DECEMBER 31,1935.

			COMPLETED		UND	UNDER CONSTRUCTION		APPROVE	APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION	NO	BALANCE OF
STATE	APPORTIONMENT	Estimated Total Cost	Works Program Funds	Miles	Estimated Total Cost	Works Program Funds	Miles	Estimated Total Cost	Works Program Funds	Miles	ABLE FOR NEW PROJECTS
Alabama Arizona Arkansas	4,151,115 2,569,841 3,352,061				615,359 917,399 646,613	615,359 897,436 645,439	24.9 59.8 50.1	2,732,155 697,097	2,732,155 624,074 1,206,181	79.8 45.1	803,601 1,048,331 1,500,441
California Colorado Connecticut	7,747,928 3,395,263 1,418,709				410,823	383,416	18.2 48.0	4,773,890	4,553,271	175.4	2,811,241 2,314,695 1,418,709
Delaware Florida Georgia	900,310 2,597,144 4,988,967				415,255	415,255	9.3	1736,132 1483,241	136,132 136,132 183,241	30.6	1,505.4
Idaho Illinois Indiana	2,222,747 8,694,009 4,941,255				1,695,208	1,695,208 575,619	36.0 71.4 34.9	627,088 2,983,335 2,222,608	2,983,335	57.4 220.1 121.5	1,203,1 4,015,4 2,143.0
Iowa Kansas Kentucky	4,991,664 4,994,975 3,726,271				1,369,331 4,04,188	579,265 1,369,331 404,188	125.9 69.9	1,176,237 1,170,415 1,895,466	1,169,415	158.2 144.6 220.4	3,677,838 2,456,229 1,467,582
Louisiana Maine Maryland	2,890,429 1,676,799 1,750,738				33,366 678,316	33,348 678,316	7.8	1,436,098 539,948 155,922	1,051,963 539,948 155,922	148.0 23.3 6.8	1,805,1
Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota	3,262,885 6,301,414 5,277,145	359,350	359,350	14.8	4,212,921	1,212,921	210.1	1,538,700	1,485,690	55.3	3,262,885
Mississippi Missouri Montana	3,457,552 6,012,652 3,676,416	4,869	4,869	8.6	1,161,329	554,918 1,161,329 915,096	26.3	1,463,535	1,463,535 2,120,067 1,661,587	93.7 436.9	2,726,3
Nebrāska Nevada New Hampshire	3,870,739 2,243,074 945,225				808,944	848,451 803,944 165,277	31.6	1,457,904	1,425,453 541,193 99,978	20.7	1,596,8 897,9 679,9
New Jersey New Mexico New York	3,129,805 2,871,397 11.046,377				148,969 558,106 3,353,738	148,969 558,106 7,312,448	53.0	1,249,006	1,249,006	39.0	1,431,830
North Carolina North Dakota Obio	4,720,173 2,867,245 7,670,815	70,277	70,277	9.1	532,735 339,049 877,612	532,735 339,049 877,612	53.8	870,159 68,375 1,253,000	870,159 68,375 1,253,000	81.8 7.8 14.41	2,389.5
Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania	4,580,670 3,038,642 9,347,797				158,049 819,430 156,356	158,049 819,430 156,356	25.2	2,047,973	2,047,923	203.8 84.0 254.0	2,374,6
Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota	989, 208 2, 702, 012 2, 976, 454	79,686	79,686	30.1	39,916 296,459 428,618	39,916 296,459 428,618	25.2	171,277	171,277 145,383 314,898	17.2	778,016 2,260,171 2,153,251
Tennessee Texas Utah	4,192,460 11,989,350 2,067,154				338,156 2,511,258 365,218	2,402,296 348,599	18.2 218.4 29.0	3,766,930	559.491 3.671.784 397.770	336.2	3,294,814 5,915,271 1,320,784
Vermont Virginia Washington	924,30 6 3,652,667 3,026,161	29,453	29,453	14.9	136,368 534,678 1,072,862	135,438 534,678 1,017,886	391.4	334,086	332,086 766,459 927,269	269.4	1,081,005
West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming	2,231,412 4,823,884 2,219,155				577,056 991,554 386,988	577,056 763,411 386,986	30.8 54.1 16.6	204,515 1,354,914 584,406	1,230,158	97.5	1,460,2 2,830,3 1,247,7
District of Columbia Hawaii	949,496	95,395	95,395	ي	528,670	528,670	2.4	104,152	95,816	1.7	229,615
TOTALS	195,000,000	672,964	672.964	110.7	77 1E2	72 KEO SOUL	O EZE 4	52 210 061	E1 586 202	र ८५० ग	109.181.630

CURRENT STATUS OF UNITED STATES WORKS PROGRAM GRADE CROSSING PROJECTS

(AS PROVIDED BY THE EMERGENCY RELIEF APPROPRIATION ACT OF 1935)

AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1935.

			COMPLETED		-		UNDER CONSTRUCTION	NOL		APPR	APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION	UCTION		
	1							-						4 4 4 4
STATE	APPORTIONMENT	Estimated	Works Program		Protected	Estimated	Works Program		Protected	Estimated Total Core	Works Program		BER Protected	FUNDS AVAIL ABLE FOR NEW PROJECTS
		Total Cost	Spirit 4	by Separa- tion or Relocation	By Signals or Other- wise	TOTAL COST		by Separa- tion or Relocation	By Signeds or Other- wise	10th Cost		by Separa- tion or Relocation	By Signals or Other- wise	
	4.074.617					872.793	832,793	10		1,877,056	1,877,056	21		1,324,768
Alabama	1,256,099					50,000	50,000	≠ +1		1				1,206,099
Arkansas	3,574,060					187,657	187,107	200		981,842	979,555	23		2,407,420
California Colorado Connecticut	2,631,567					292,247	291,952	u 20		649,815	628,815	n 20		1,712,684
Delaware	418,239					1.0		,				-		418,239
Florida Georgia	2,827,883					644,152	544,154	٥		481,323	461,323	+		1, 702, 409
Idaho	1,674,479					378,051	355,875	9		303,886	281,540	5		1,037,064
Illinois	5.111.096					1,112,975	256,055	- r		1.545.566	1,545,565	77		2,452,556
Iowa	5,600,679					711.579	682,150	01		531,363	507,000	7.7		4,411,529
Kentucky	5,246,258					78,694	78,694			1,187,871	1,187,871	500		3,979,693
Tourisians	3.213.467									1.190.482	931.000	11		2.282.467
Maine	1,426,861					79,488	79,013	4-4		290,180	290,180	t.		1,057,668
Maryland	2,061,751									505,309	505,309	3		1,556,442
Massachusetta	4,210,833					393,711	393,711	2 2		363,286	363,286	₩ *		3,453,836
Minnesota	5.395.13				_	166,201	166,201	<u></u>		768,418	762,368	11	1	4,466,872
Mississippi	3,241,475					169,000	169,000	2		828,003	828,003	16		2,244,472
Missouri	6,142,153					203,387	203,387	ν Ç		1 001 070	1 001 070	92 0		5.301.523
Nohoseka	3,556,41		The state of the s			271.757	271.757	15		1,492,712	1.492.712	3		1,791,972
Nevada	887,260					306,096	306,096	_		13,308	13,308			567,856
Ivew trampounce	484,228									140, 766	140,766			7 007 636
New Jersey New Mexico New York	1,725,286					2,388,410	2,285,110	7.		571,042 1,243,200	557,215	or‡		1,168,071 10,048,879
North Carolina	4,823,958					256,915	256,915	-		535,468	535,468	6		4,031,575
North Dakota Ohio	3,207,473					39,335	39,335	N		133,902	133,902	~		3,034,236
Oklahoma	5,004,711					468,772	468,772	6		1,140,614	1,135,614	19		3,400,324
Oregon Pennsylvania	2,334,204					502,229	501,102	mu		293,978	293,344	° =		1,539,758
Rhode Island	699,691					236,879	236,879				2071717			462,812
South Carolina South Dekota	3,059,956					129,616	129,616	1001		104,313	\$4.313	0,		2,526,028
	2 907 979				The second secon	78,622	123,822	1-		277 217	274 217	- 10		7 552 083
Texas	10,855,982					92,057	92,057	-		2,079,257	2,069,803	31		8,69,122
Utah	1,230,763					128,602	128,602	-		224,536	218,672	=		825,489
Vermont	7 7 28,857					307,976	306,617	~~		167,609	167,609	+ u		255,631
Washington	3,995,941					873,248	873,248	1 50		637,437	632,637	200		1,589,156
West Virginia Wisconsin	5,022,683					577.061	520-134	7		875,751	834.582	9		3,667,937
Wyoming	1,360,841					55,366	55,365	- 0					-	1,305,476
District of Columbia Hawaii	410,804									113,769	113,769	N ≠		339.934
TOTALS	196,000,000					16,428,392	16,185,763	185		36,203,324	34,971,870	1455	2	144,842,367

PUBLICATIONS of the BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS

Any of the following publications may be purchased from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C. As his office is not connected with the Department and as the Department does not sell publications, please send no remittance to the United States Department of Agriculture.

ANNUAL REPORTS

Report of the Chief of the Bureau of Public Roads, 1924. 5 cents.

Report of the Chief of the Bureau of Public Roads, 1927. 5 cents.

Report of the Chief of the Bureau of Public Roads, 1928. 5 cents.

Report of the Chief of the Bureau of Public Roads, 1929.

Report of the Chief of the Bureau of Public Roads, 1931. 10 cents.

Report of the Chief of the Bureau of Public Roads, 1932.

Report of the Chief of the Bureau of Public Roads, 1933.

Report of the Chief of the Bureau of Public Roads, 1934.

DEPARTMENT BULLETINS

No. 136D . . Highway Bonds. 20 cents.

No. 347D . . Methods for the Determination of the Physical Properties of Road-Building Rock. 10 cents.

No. 583D . . Reports on Experimental Convict Road Camp. Fulton County, Ga. 25 cents.

No. 1279D . . Rural Highway Mileage, Income, and Expenditures, 1921 and 1922.

TECHNICAL BULLETINS

No. 55T . . Highway Bridge Surveys. 20 cents.

No. 265T . Electrical Equipment on Movable Bridges.

MISCELLANEOUS CIRCULARS

No. 62MC . . Standards Governing Plans, Specifications, Contract Forms, and Estimates for Federal-Aid Highway Projects. 5 cents.

MISCELLANEOUS PUBLICATIONS

No. 76MP . . The Results of Physical Tests of Road-Building Rock. 25 cents.

Federal Legislation and Regulations Relating to Highway Construction. 10 cents.

Supplement No. 1 to Federal Legislation and Regulations Relating to Highway Construction.

No. 191 Roadside Improvement. 10 cents.

The Taxation of Motor Vehicles in 1932. 35 cents.

Single copies of the following publications may be obtained from the Bureau of Public Roads upon request. They cannot be purchased from the Superintendent of Documents.

SEPARATE REPRINT FROM THE YEARBOOK

No. 1036Y . . Road Work on Farm Outlets Needs Skill and Right Equipment.

TRANSPORTATION SURVEY REPORTS

Report of a Survey of Transportation on the State Highway System of Ohio (1927).

Report of a Survey of Transportation on the State Highways of Vermont (1927).

Report of a Survey of Transportation on the State Highways of New Hampshire (1927).

Report of a Plan of Highway Improvement in the Regional Area of Cleveland, Ohio (1928).

Report of a Survey of Transportation on the State Highways of Pennsylvania (1928).

Report of a Survey of Traffic on the Federal-Aid Highway Systems of Eleven Western States (1930).

A complete list of the publications of the Bureau of Public Roads, classified according to subject and including the more important articles in PUBLIC ROADS, may be obtained upon request addressed to the U. S. Bureau of Public Roads, Willard Building, Washington, D. C.

CURRENT STATUS OF UNITED STATES PUBLIC WORKS ROAD CONSTRUCTION

AS PROVIDED BY SECTION 204 OF THE NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL RECOVERY ACT (1934 FUNDS) AND BY THE ACT OF JUNE 18, 1934 (1935 FUNDS)

AS OF DECEMBER 31,1935

1															-				
NDS AVAILABLE	1935 Public Works Funds	\$ 565.074 70,448 169,231	61,663 28,368 111,258	105,731	114,111 266,879 184,431	256,170 3,990 199,019	46,551 55,647 607,723	300,283	100,1001 60,567 106,180	105,842 19,514 23,558	488,276 131,976 70,770	92,937 729,291 232,816	312,988 159,696 370,026	22,619 402,358 79,632	306,835 121,102 2,353	5,192 207,401 56,125	410,081 14,325 7,879	35,304 246,158	10,110,916
BALANCE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR NEW PROJECTS	1934 Public Works Funds	\$ 58,328 16,057 60,829	5,824 43,099 6,329	126 49,140 410,018	91,144 70,873 122,168	2,101 5,022 47,671	49,695 23,141 69,512	35,053 68,048 139,182	142,299 127,156 95,337	42,494 17,317 396	155,332 158,348 112,434	306,599 88,178	19,121 109,880 245,886	122,639	3,760	17,315	32,569 77,827 13,288	596	3,622,959
	Mileage	3,50	7. 1.	1.7	9 . V.	2.2	17.1	2.0	22.9	The state of the s	2.0	4.3 60.7 13.3	1.1	31.6	16.7	to .	1.	2.2	240.5
APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION	Public Works Funds	# 397,253 41,125 48,836	178,186	178,968	56.567 590.781 192.513	14,934	376,293	30,825	418,474	1,690	514,400	212,350	178,597	53,304 371,065	185,008 148,932 1,000	2.713 45.681 4,691	3,000	250.876	7,111,384
APPROVED 1	Public Works Funds	\$ 101,076		19,197	58,342	48,982 55,381	155,417	17,260	7.259		1114	64,450	5,136	15.740	83,206	42,221	5,987	39,709	980,488
	Mileage	78.8	36.1	32.3	141.0	12.9	47.6	12.4 114.1 26.3	156.8 129.2 29.7	42.0 21.7 .5	19.7	22.0 112.3 32.4	149.8 14.0	1.1	30.0	62.1 3.3	8.4.8. 8.4.8.	19.0	2,370.7
RUCTION	1935 Public Works Funds	\$1,208,067 507,347 949,864	2,445,654 95,116 343,645	42,012 627,057 848,696	656,209 3,875,160 2,722,015	230,700 1,670,769 1,042,672	1,240,364 286,364 438,995	1,400,024 2,348,297 188,795	1,649,097 3,127,490 445,914	462,677 296.747 30,238	2,143,993	600,361 559,429 1,920,916	1,262,459 264,264 891,968	137,102	1,169,665 4,425,689 322,191	90.873 602.187 518.276	938,583 534,958 286,281	75,066	51,176,469
UNDER CONSTRUCTION	1934 Public Works Funds	\$ 582,710 134,249 164,431	478,067	811.419	2,301,004	170,404 39.153 432,327	1,041,640	1,974,333	1,001,411 1,185,562 159,632	34,040	175,941	320,633 130,778 161,431	323,398	367.719	225,333 821,696 167,060	3,922	268, 494 7,300 46,705	700,733	17,788,102
	Estimated Total	*1,947,736 752,811 1,116,949	4,670,717 95,116 359,166	42,012 709,012 1,571,497	6,176,164	428,888 1,709,922 1,590,289	2,323,957 286,379 1,168,010	3,374,357 2,523,502 842,952	2,967,830 4,425,522 605,946	731,069 359,003 30,238	2,636,867 439,280 3,767,593	937,889 748,802 2,279,516	1,587,592	1,861,400 1,861,400 445,595	1,491,399 5,494,572 613,128	109,059 746,004 524,373	1,259,030 584,701 359,722	1,407,934	14.700,099
	Mileage	682.8 523.0 530.4	736.9	129.3 275.0 659.0	488.8 553.3 363.1	1,212.2	195.2	102.9	1,321.5	991.9 735.6 77:2	747.6	1,322.4	753.2 461.4 993.9	88.0 1,463.3	453.1 2.598.9 579.6	137.6 555.3 299.5	182.6 605.0 987.8	32.8	32,525.6
ED	1935 Public Works Funds	\$ 2,089,448 2,023,015 2,260,117	5,246,703 3,362,522 941,105	775,652 1,756,426 2,222,207	1,450,600 4,188,580 1,990,004	4,630,491 3,427,982 2,424,368	1,300,725	1,650,167 4,042,853 4,787,931	1,371,564 2,745,986 3,217,640	3,395,846 1,984,1414 915,667	588,610 2,370,444 8,347,852	3,935,293 1,157,590 5,375,622	2,931,136 2,673,854 8,021,122	853,971 859,933 2,168,397	2,641,483	849,229 2,910,118 2,527,319	928,672 4,340,950 1,933,314	611,996	131,601,231 32,525.6
COMPLETED	1934 Public Works Funds	7.729.095 5,061,694 6,421,999	15,123,463 6,831,431 2,859,411	1,818,961 5,182,694 8,987,193	4,395,105 15,198,894 9,065,834	9,883,155 9,996,448 6,981,980	4,581,839 3,346,776 2,778,170	4,587,714 12,550,953 9,885,007	5,827,706	7,752,426	6,014,766 5,634,473 21,673,218	8,830,611 5,518.203 15,279,029	8,874,279 5,897,458 17,876,517	1,994,413 4,953,067 5,777,253	8,180,321 23,386,605 4,000,360	1,846,336 7,161,309 6,101,000	4,167,184 9,639,754 4,441,334	1,918,173	371,608,451
	Total Cost	*12,979,571 8,053,687 9,644,999	25.538.846 11.037.202 4.215.522	2,644,057 8,129,789 11,626,646	6,221,202 19,882,761 11,540,160	15,304,534 13,815,689 10,043,766	6,444,550	6,823,769 17,524,881 15,380,467	9,780,654 14,550,617 11,039,598	12,397,483 6,641,677 2,941,750	6,960,279 8,184,716 36,135,115	13,984,680 7,321,364 22,259,969	12,635,607 9,380,531 27,103,393	2,959,600 5,929,981 8,494,583	11,690,366 32,487,212 6,583,011	3,035,765	5, 264, 100 14, 867, 069 6, 564, 177	2,530,169	549,098,153
MENTS	Act of June 18, 1934 (1935 Fund)	* 4, 259, 842 2,641,935 3, 428,049	7,932,206 3,486,006 1,454,868	2,661,343 5,113,491	2,277,486 8,921,401 5,088,963	5,118,361 5,117,675 3,818,311	2.963,932 1.711,586 1.810,058	3,350,474 6,452,568 5,425,551	3,540,227 6,173,740 3,769,734	3,964,364 2,302,356 969,462	3,220,879 2,941,700 11,327,921	4,840,941 2,938,967 7,865,012	4,685,180 3,097,814 9,590,788	1,014,572 2,770,954 3,047,643	4,302,991 12,291,253 2,132,691	3,765,387	2,280,335 4,941,837 2,287,712	973,842	200,000,000
APPORTIONMENTS	Sec. 204 of the Act of June 16, 1933 (1934 Fund)	* 8,370,133 5,211,960 6,748,335	15,607,354 6,874,530 2,865,740	1,819,088 5,231,834 10,091,185	4,486,249 17,570,770 10,037,843	10,055,660 10,089,604 7,517,359	5,828,591 3,369,917 3,564,527	6,597,100 12,736,227 10,656,569	6,978,675	7,828,961	6,346,039 5,792,935 22,330,101	9,522,293 5,804,448 15,484,592	9,216,798 6,106,896 18,891,004	1,998,708 5,459,165 6,011,479	8,492,619 24,244,024 4,194,708	1,867,573	4,474,234 9,724,881 4,501,327	1,916,469	394,000,000
	STATE	AlabamaArizonaArkansas	California Colorado Connecticut	Delaware Florida Georgia	IdahoIllinoisIndiana	Iowa. Kansas. Kentucky	Louisiana Maine Maryland	Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota	Mississippi Missouri Montana	Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire	New Jersey New Mexico New York	North Carolina North Dakota	Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania	Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota	Tennessee Texas Utah	Vermont Virginia Washington	West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming	District of Columbia	TOTALS



