





VOL. 16, NO. 7

SEPTEMBER 1935



THE LOS ANGELES ABRASION MACHINE

See page 2 of cover for prices For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, Washington, D. C.

PUBLIC ROADS A Journal of Highway Research

Issued by the

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS

Volume 16, No. 7

September 1935

Willard Building, Washington, D.C.

- Mark Sheldon Building, San Francisco, Calif.

The reports of research published in this magazine are necessarily qualified by the conditions of the tests from which the data are obtained. Whenever it is deemed possible to do so, generalizations are drawn from the results of the tests; and, unless this is done, the conclusions formulated must be considered as specifically pertinent only to described conditions.

In This Issue	
	Pas
The Los Angeles Abrasion Machine for Determining the Quality of Coarse Aggregate	. 12
A Roller-Testing Machine for Measuring the Stability of Bituminous Mixtures	13

THE BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS REGIONAL HEADOUARTERS

DISTRICT OFFICES

DISTRICT No. 1. Oregon, Washington, and Montana. DISTRICT No. 7. Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, and Michigan. Post Office Building, Portland, Oreg. South Chicago Post Office Building, Chicago, Ill. DISTRICT No. 8. Alabama, Georgia, Florida, Mississippi, South Carolina DISTRICT No. 2. California, Arizona, and Nevada. and Tennessee. Mark Sheldon Building, 461 Market St., San Francisco, Calif. Post Office Building, Montgomery, Ala. DISTRICT No. 3. Colorado, New Mexico, and Wyoming. DISTRICT No. 9. Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Rhode Island, and Vermont. 237 Custom House, Nineteenth and Stout Sts., Denver, Colo. 505 Post Office Building, Albany, N. Y DISTRICT No. 4. Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wisconsin. DISTRICT No. 10. Delaware, Maryland, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, 907 Post Office Building, St. Paul, Minn. Virginia, West Virginia, and District of Columbia. Willard Building, Washington, D. C. DISTRICT No. 5. Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, and Nebraska. DISTRICT No. 11. Alaska. Saunders-Kennedy Building, Omaha, Nebr. Room 419, Federal and Territorial Building, Juneau. Alaska-DISTRICT No. 6. Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, and Texas. DISTRICT No. 12. Idaho and Utah. Room 502, United States Courthouse, Fort Worth, Tex Federal Building, Ogden, Utah.

Because of the necessarily limited edition of this publication it is impossible to distribute it free to any person or institutions other than State and county officials actually engaged in planning or constructing public highways, instructors in highway engineering, and periodicals upon an exchange basis. At the present time additions to the free mailing list can be made only as vacancies occur. Those desiring to obtain PUBLIC ROADS can do so by sending \$1 per year (foreign subscription \$1.50), or 10 cents per single copy, to the Superintendent of Documents, United States Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C.

> CERTIFICATE: By direction of the Secretary of Agriculture, the matter contained herein is published as administrative information and is required for the proper transaction of the public business

THE LOS ANGELES ABRASION MACHINE FOR DETERMINING THE QUALITY OF COARSE AGGREGĂTE

Reported by D. O. WOOLF, Associate Materials Engineer and, D. G. RUNNER, Assistant Materials Engineer, Division of Tests, U. S. Bureau of Public Roads

URING the past few years there has been a tendency on the part of highway engineers to examine rather critically a number of the time-honored tests for road materials with a view to ascertaining the accuracy with which they measure the ability of materials to meet present-day service requirements.

Two such tests, the standard Deval abrasion test, 1 and the standard toughness test,² have been used for many years to determine the quality of ledge rock. The Deval test has been modified by the American Society for Testing Materials to serve as a test for gravel as well as for ledge rock. This modified Deval test for use in testing graded samples of rounded gravel was adopted tentatively in 1928.3 The American Association of State Highway Officials has also modified the original Deval test for the purpose of testing light-weight materials such as slag.⁴

DEVAL TEST UNSATISFACTORY IN SEVERAL RESPECTS

These modifications, while necessary in order to adapt the Deval test to such materials as gravel and slag, also made necessary the use of entirely different test limits for the various materials even when they were intended for the same service. An illustration of this trend is found in the current specification of one of the State highway departments. For grade A coarse aggregate for concrete that department allows a maximum wear of 6 percent for limestone, 15 percent for blast-furnace slag, and 12 percent for rounded gravel. These requirements are intended to result in the use of aggregates of comparable quality. The differences are necessary because the modified tests give results differing from those given by the standard Deval test on ledge rock of comparable quality. This situation is unfortunate because of the apparent inconsistency which results from the use of different test limits for materials that are to meet the same service requirements.

Criticism is frequently made of the comparatively small range in values given by the Deval test for rock of the quality ordinarily used in road construction. The Deval test is essentially an abrasion test rather than an impact test; for this reason certain types of materials that are very low in toughness, even though they are quite hard, will show relatively low abrasion losses in this test. Certain granitic materials fall in this class. Such materials are frequently reported as giving unsatisfactory results in service, even though their percentages of wear by the Deval test may be quite low.

The standard toughness test has also been subjected to considerable criticism recently, much of which has been directed at the accuracy of the test method itself. Attention has been called to variations in results reported by different laboratories on apparently identical materials. A study of the problem indicates that the trouble is caused by the flattening of the spherical end of the plunger of the testing machine through use, with the resultant tendency to give higher values. Most of the test data upon which many of the State specifications for toughness are based were obtained before the necessity for rigidly controlling this variable was appreciated; hence the condition of the testing machine has assumed considerable importance in the acceptance or rejection of materials. The condition of the testing machine is rendered all the more important by the fact that many rocks are borderline materials from the standpoint of toughness, and even a small variation in test results may mean the difference between acceptance and rejection.

The realization of these and other weaknesses in the present standard tests caused the bureau to investigate the possibilities of the so-called "Los Angeles rattler" test used by the State of California as an acceptance test for coarse aggregates.

THE LOS ANGELES ABRASION TEST DESCRIBED

A number of years ago a machine for determining the abrasive resistance of aggregates was developed by the engineers of the city of Los Angeles, Calif.⁵ The method developed is radically different from the standard Deval abrasion test in that the test charge is caused to drop instead of to slide or roll, and also in that an abrasive charge and a sample composed of graded sizes of particles are used. A test run of 500 revolutions is used instead of the 10,000 revolutions required in the Deval test, thus greatly reducing the time required for making the test. In 1927 the California State highway laboratory began a study of the Los Angeles abrasion machine to determine its suitability for use as a substitute for the Deval machine. The machine and test method were apparently found to be satisfactory for in that year the Los Angeles test method was adopted by the State as a standard method. Some changes in the method as originally proposed were made, the latest (1930) test method being as follows: 6

The machine used in the test consists of a cylindrical drum 28 inches in diameter and 20 inches in length, mounted longitudinally on a horizontal shaft, and having a shelf 4 inches wide extending from end to end on the inside.

The drum is charged with 14 cubical blocks of cast iron having rounded corners and edges and weighing a total of 5,000 grams, along with 5,000 grams of rock, which is graded as follows:

¹ Method D 2-33, American Society for Testing Materials Book of Standards,

¹ Method D 2-33, American Society for Testing Materials Book of Standards, ² Method D 3-18, American Society for Testing Materials Book of Standards, Part II, 1933. ³ Tentative Method D 289-28T, American Society for Testing Materials Book of Tentative Standards, 1934. ⁴ Method T-3, Standard Specifications for Highway Materials and Methods of Sampling and Testing, American Association of State Highway Officials, 1935.

 ⁶ Selection of Rock and Gravel for Highway Construction, by C. L. McKesson, California Highways, vol. 3, no. 4, April 1926.
 ⁶ From an unpublished report of California Division of Highways, Sacramento, Calif., dated June 13, 1930.



FIGURE 1.- THE LOS ANGELES ABRASION MACHINE SHOWING COVER AND ABRASIVE CHARGE.

Screen 812e				T_{c}	otal percent passing
1½ inch	 	 	 		100
1¼ inch	 	 	 		80
1 inch	 	 	 		60
3/4 inch	 	 	 		40
½ inch	 	 	 		0

After charging, the drum is revolved 100 revolutions and 500 revolutions at a rate of between 30 and 33 revolutions per minute. The result is reported as the percent of wear at 100 and 500 revolutions. At the present time the wear is considered that portion of the sample which, after test, will pass a 10-mesh sieve having a clear opening of 0.065 inch (no. 12 U. S. Standard).

In its report the California Division of Highways cites certain advantages possessed by this method of testing as follows:

The Los Angeles rattler test is decidedly more suitable for determining the hardness and toughness of rock and the amount of soft material than any test or group of tests studied. Its advantages are pointed out as follows:

(a) The nature of the treatment is severe, bringing out weaknesses not shown by any one of the other tests studied.

(b) It is adapted for testing both crushed and gravel aggregates.

(c) It requires very little time for performance.
(d) It is not affected materially by changes in volume of aggregate due to specific gravity because of the size of cylinders in which the test is made.

(e) It eliminates a large amount of the personal equation which enters into some of the other tests.

A study of the test method was undertaken by the bureau to determine whether the conclusions reached by California can be applied to tests covering a wider range of materials. A Los Angeles abrasion machine was constructed according to plans furnished by the California Division of Highways. This machine is shown in figure 1. The shelf which picks up the charge is mounted on the removable cover. This cover was originally fastened on by two bolts at each end. A few tests showed that the cover sprang at the center, allowing dust to escape, and to prevent this the cover is now fastened by two heavy bars, curved to fit the drum and fitting over stud bolts projecting from the drum.

The gasket consists of four thicknesses of heavy canvas firmly sewed together.

Test samples of rock, gravel, and slag were obtained from various parts of the country, largely from commercial producers. Where possible samples of both ledge rock and crushed material were obtained. The producers were requested to furnish crushed and ledge rock of the same quality, and as far as could be observed this was done. These samples represented practically all types of rock and gravel that are used for road building. Although only three samples of slag were tested they represent the type of blast-furance slag (70 to 85 pounds per cubic foot) most widely used in highway construction.

BALLS FOUND TO BE MOST SUITABLE AS AN ABRASIVE CHARGE

Prior to the principal series of tests, a preliminary study was made to determine the possibility of substituting balls for the cubical shot used by California. The procedure followed by California required an abrasive charge of fourteen 1½-inch cast-iron cubes. It was recalled that in the standardization work on the brick rattler, abrasive charges of both cubes and balls were used, and that the balls were finally adopted.⁷ The cast-iron balls proposed for use in the Los Angeles machine are the same as the small balls used in the brick-rattler machine, and have a nominal diameter of 1% inches and an initial weight of about 431 grams. Since 12 new balls weigh about 5,170 grams, the stock of used balls from the brick rattler was inspected, and 12 balls were selected whose total weight was $5,000\pm 5$ grams.

To insure representative results in the preliminary series of tests, one sample of each of the three materials-rock, gravel, and slag-was selected for test and at least nine samples of each material were tested with each type of abrasive charge. Determinations of the percentage of wear were made at the end of 100 and 500 revolutions. After 100 revolutions the material was taken from the machine, sieved on a no. 12 sieve, and the particles were brushed free from adhering dust. All of the material retained on this sieve was then weighed.

The entire charge, including the dust, was replaced in the machine. The test was resumed for an additional 400 revolutions and the amount passing the no. 12 sieve was again determined. The results of these tests are shown in table 1. It will be observed that in every instance the balls caused a greater loss in abrasion than the cubes. The greater weight per unit of area of the ball, together with the delivery of impact at a single point, caused greater loss in the test than the edges and corners of the cube.

Besides producing more severe action on the test specimen, the abrasive charge of balls gives slightly more concordant results. Tests with the ball abrasive charge show an average deviation of 3.4 percent in the loss at 500 revolutions, while the corresponding average deviation for the cubes is 5.5 percent. More concordant results were obtained at 500 revolutions than at 100.

The cubes were found to lose weight at a greater rate than the balls. This required constant adjustment of the cube abrasive charge and possibly affected the abrasive loss. The balls lost very little weight after the

⁷ A Study of the Rattler Test for Paving Brick, by M. W. Blair and Edward Orton, Jr., Proceedings, American Society for Testing Materials, Vol. 11, 1911.

 TABLE 1.—Comparison of cubes and balls as abrasive charges in

 Los Angeles machine

		Abrasion loss using					
Sample no.	Kind of material	Cubes		Ba	Balls		
		100 revo- lutions	500 revo- lutions	100 revo- lutions	500 revo- lutions		
33265 33278 33279	Slag Gravel Basalt rock	Percent 8.2 13.7 1.5	Percent 38.3 52.0 6.1	Percent 9.7 17.7 2.0	Percent 46.7 59.3 9.7		

first few tests. This feature, combined with the greater abrasion of the test sample and the more concordant results obtained, shows that balls are preferable for use as the abrasive charge. Furthermore, many laboratories are equipped with the brick rattler machine and presumably have a supply of cast-iron balls of suitable size and weight.

RESULTS OBTAINED BY THE TWO METHODS OF TESTING COMPARED

After deciding to use balls as the abrasive charge, the main portion of the investigation was considered. It was desired to compare the results of the Los Angeles abrasion test with those for the Deval abrasion test and, also, to determine the effect of angularity of particle on the Los Angeles test results. To obtain these data, each sample was tested in the Deval and Los Angeles abrasion machines and toughness tests were made on all suitable samples of rock. The Deval abrasion tests on rock and slag were made in accordance with A. S. T. M. standard method D 2-33, and those on gravel in accordance with A. S. T. M. tentative method D 289-28 T. The tests with the Los Angeles machine were made according to the procedure used by California with the exception that the abrasive charge consisted of twelve 1%-inch cast-iron balls weighing $5,000 \pm 5$ grams. To determine the effects of shape and angularity of particles on the test results, tests were made on commercial crushed rock and hand-broken rock of cubical shape with sharp edges and corners, and also on both rounded and angular particles of gravel and rock.

In preparing the test specimens for the Los Angeles test, the samples of gravel, slag, and rock were separated into the various screen sizes and recombined as shown in table 2.

 TABLE 2.—Gradation of Los Angeles abrasion test samples
 (grading A)

[Screens	with	round	openings]	
----------	------	-------	-----------	--

Passing	Retained on—	Weight
Inches $1\frac{1}{2}$ $1\frac{1}{4}$ 1 $3\frac{1}{4}$ $3\frac{1}{4}$	Inches 11/4 1	Grams 1,000 1,000 1,000 2,000
Total		5,000

The results of the standard Deval and the Los Angeles abrasion tests using grading A are given in table 3. Most of the test values given are averages of three or more tests. These values have also been plotted in figure 2 together with curves showing the average relation between the standard and modified Deval tests and the results in the Los Angeles machine. The number of points which depart from the average



+-GRAVEL, -TRAP, O-LIMESTONE-DOLOMITE, X-QUARTZITE,

V MARBLE, .- GRANITE.

FIGURE 2.—RELATION BETWEEN RESULTS OF TESTS IN DEVAL AND LOS ANGELES MACHINES.

curves demonstrates that the relations are only very general and do not apply to all types of materials nor to all samples of a given type. This is due mainly to the marked difference in the amount of impact produced in the two tests. Although both tests involve both surface wear and impact, the loss in the Deval test is mainly from surface wear, while that for the Los Angeles test is primarily caused by impact. Marble, for example, has about the same wear in the Deval test as limestone or dolomite, but in the Los Angeles test marble shows a much higher loss than the tougher rocks.

Figure 2 is presented with the knowledge that no definite relation between the losses in the Deval and Los Angeles tests that will apply to all materials can be established. However, the figure will serve to show the approximate change in specification requirements if the Deval test is replaced by the Los Angeles test. For instance, it will be observed that, for an average loss of 50 percent in the Los Angeles test, the average Deval abrasion loss is about 7 percent for rock and 15 percent for gravel. This happens to be approximately the same ratio (1:2) that is used in most specifications with the idea of obtaining materials of comparable quality.

More concordant results are obtained in tests of gravel and crushed rock or slag in the Los Angeles machine than in tests by standard methods using the Deval abrasion machine. Test results in the Los Angeles abrasion machine show a mean variation from the average of 2.7 percent, while those in the Deval machine show a mean variation of 3.9 percent. The difference is not great but it is worthy of notice. It was observed that the speed of operation of the Los Angeles abrasion machine had a great effect on the loss during the test and it was found advisable to equip the motor with a speed control. Each test run was timed to insure that a constant speed of rotation had been used.

EFFECT OF SHAPE AND ANGULARITY OF PARTICLE STUDIED

In its report on the Los Angeles abrasion machine the California Division of Highways stated that the test results are not appreciably affected by the shape or angularity of the particles. The results obtained in the tests reported here are not entirely in agreement with the above statement. To investigate this feature samples of Cheat River, Potomac River, and Delaware TABLE 3.—Percentage of wear and toughness as determined by abrasion and toughness tests

			V	Vear by—	-	
Sam- ple no.	Location	Kind of material	Deval	Los Ang (500 re tion	elestest volu- 15)	Tough- ness
			test	Gravel, crushed rock, slag	Hand- broken rock	
			Per- cent	Per- cent	Per- cent	
33262	Pennsylvania	Slag 1	7.8	34.8		
33263	Maryland	Aplite	2.0	18.4	15.9	10
33264	West Virginia	Limestone	3.8	29.2	24. 2	0
33266	New Jersev	Gravel	3 15. 1	49.8		
33269	Ohio	Dolomite	5.8	37.0	30.7	5
33270	South Carolina.	Biotite granite	2.5	27.7	21.9	12
33271	Wisconsin	Quartzite	2.6	25.6	19.6	12
33272	Kansas	Argillaceous limestone	3.5	30.5	20.4	20
33273	New Jersey	Delomite	1.0	28 4	26.1	6
33275	Washington	Gravel	41.1	10.4		
33276	Ohio	Slag 5	11.8	36.9		
33278	West Virginia	Gravel	4 10.0	6 47.5		
33278	do	do		7 59.3		
33279	Minnesota	Group	1.8	6 30 3	1.0	04
00992	lumbia	Gravel	.0.4	- 00.0		
33992	do	do	\$ 17.5	7 35.3		
34165	Kansas	Limestone	14.4	43.0		3
34542	do	Gravel	47.5	17.6		
34543	Ohio	Argillaceous limestone	12.8	42.9		2
34545	do	Gravel	4 13 8	39.3		
34571	do	Dolomite	8.2	49.2		4
34572	do	Gravel	47.2	27.3		
34671	Georgia	Marble	6.2	58.3		3
34672	do		0.4	50.4		4 3
34674	0	do	12.0	74 4		3
34675	do	Dolomitic marble	7.2	45.5		3
34676	do	do	5.0	39.2		
34685	Florida	Limestone	6.7	35.6		
34700	Virginia	Gravel	4 10 6	45.1		
34701	Georgia	Micaceous granite	4.8	67 4		
34713	Virginia	Gravel	4 13.0	43.8		
34714	New York	do	47.6	42.1		
34715	West Virginia	Argillaceous limestone	4.0	23.4		
34717	Ohio	Limestone	4.5	22.0		
34722	do	Argillaceous dolomite	3.0	24 4		
34724	Illinois	Gravel	45.3	33.2		
34732	Virginia	Dolomite	3.9	21.5		
34733	Ohio	Limestone	8.2	62.8		
34734	do	Argillaceous dolomite	3.9	20.8		
34749	Pennsylvania	do	\$ 15 9	7 24 6	- *	
34756	Massachusetts	do	4 17 0	46.8		
01100			11.0	10.0		

¹ Weight per cubic foot, 80.7 pounds.
 ² Weight per cubic foot, 72.2 pounds.
 ³ Grading B, rounded particles only. (See A. S. T. M. Tentative Method D289-28T.)

Grading A, rounded particles only

Weight per cubic foot, 77.0 pounds.
 Rounded particles only.
 Angular particles only.
 Grading A, angular particles only.

River gravels were carefully hand-picked, and the rounded and angular particles were separated. Tests were made in the Los Angeles abrasion machine with both kinds of particles, and the results obtained are given in table 4.

In the preparation of the Cheat River and Delaware River gravels for use as concrete aggregate the oversize material was crushed. It is quite possible that the majority of the angular particles used in the tests came from this oversize material. Visual inspection, however, failed to show any marked difference in quality between the rounded and angular fragments. The Potomac River gravel sample did not contain crushed material. The angular particles obtained from this material were distributed throughout the entire range of sizes, and had the same petrographic analysis as the rounded particles. The test results for these three gravels indicate that angular particles will give a somewhat higher loss than rounded particles of the same quality. rounded or angular gravel.

TABLE 4.—Percentages of wear on rounded and angular gravel tested in the Los Angeles machine ¹

	Material	Wear	Loss of angular	
Sam- ple no.		Rounded particles	Angular particles	expressed as a per- centage of loss of rounded particles
33278 33992 34749 34750	Cheat River gravel Potomac River gravel }Delaware River gravel	Percent 47.5 30.3 29.3	Percent 59.3 35.3 34.6	125 117 118

¹ Each value is the average of at least 3 tests.

Results of tests on hand-broken and crushed rock shown in figure 3 also demonstrate that the shape of the particle exerts a considerable influence on the test result, and show that the partially wedge-shaped fragment of crushed rock has a loss of approximately 120 percent of that for the hand-broken fragments of cubical shape. In the tests of the three gravels, the rounded samples contained a greater proportion of particles that tended toward being spherical and offered more resistance to impact than the samples containing angular particles. It is reasonable to apply the findings from the crushed-rock and hand-broken-rock tests to these tests of gravel due to the difference between the shape of the particles of rounded and angular gravel. On this basis, a sample of angular gravel would be expected to give a loss of approximately 120 percent of that found for a sample of rounded gravel of the same quality. It will be observed that approximately this same ratio was obtained in the tests of the three samples of gravel (see table 4).

Further tests to determine the effect of shape and angularity were made on samples of angular and artifically rounded rock. One large sample of crushed rock and two samples of hand-broken rock were obtained, and a portion of each was run in the Deval abrasion machine until the sharp edges and corners had been worn off. Two test samples were then prepared from each material; one sample contained fragments with sharp edges and corners; and the other was composed of rounded fragments. Each sample was tested in the Los Angeles machine and the results obtained are shown in table 5.

The angular rock shows a slightly higher loss than the rounded rock, but in no instance is the increase in wear similar to that found in the tests of gravel or crushed and hand-broken rock. It is apparent that while the sharpness of edge and corner may have some influence on the loss in the Los Angeles test, the shape of the particle exerts a much greater effect and for most purposes the sharpness of edge and corner may be ignored.

Samples of aggregates that contain large percentages of flat or elongated fragments will show a much higher percentage of wear than materials of equal hardness in which the fragments tend more toward cubical shape. This difference between fragments of different shape indicates that the desire of highway engineers to limit the percentage of flat and elongated particles in aggregates is justified. The relatively small effect of sharpness of edge and corner will permit the use of a single specification limit for crushed stone and for either





 TABLE 5.—Percentages of wear on rounded and angular rock tested in the Los Angeles machine

		Wear	Loss of angular	
Sample number	Material	Rounded particles	Angular particles	particles expressed as a per- centage of loss of rounded particles
34549 34631	Crushed limestone Hand-broken sandstone Hand-broken limestone	Percent 31. 4 62. 8 22. 4	Percent 33.4 64.4 23.9	106 103 107

¹ Each value is the average of 3 tests.

COMPARISON OF LOSSES AT 100 AND 500 REVOLUTIONS WILL INDICATE PRESENCE OF SOFT ROCK

The method of test used by California requires the determination of the percentage of wear after both 100 and 500 revolutions of the Los Angeles abrasion machine. The determination after 100 revolutions is expected to be useful in determining if soft particles are present in the material. In this connection it was desired to determine if a sample of uniform composition shows a straight-line relation between loss and number of revolutions. Table 1 shows the results of preliminary tests made with cast-iron balls. Figure 4 presents the relation between the length of test and the percentage of loss. It will be seen that for materials 1 and 2 the percentage of loss varies directly with the number of revolutions. Material 3, however, is of nonuniform hardness since the percentage of loss at 100 revolutions is proportionately greater than that at 500 revolutions.

Results of tests to determine the effect of known amounts of soft rock in the sample are shown in table 6 and figures 5 and 6. A hard limestone of uniform composition was used as the base material, and soft rock of varying amounts and sizes was added to it to determine the effect on percentage of wear.

In the first series of tests the amount of soft rock in each sample was held constant at 10 percent of the total weight of the sample while the size of the fragments of soft rock was varied. Tests were made with the soft rock contained entirely in each separate size and also



FIGURE 4.—RELATION BETWEEN LENGTH OF TEST AND PER-CENTAGE OF WEAR, SHOWING EFFECT OF SOFT PARTICLES IN MATERIAL.

with the soft rock distributed in size from $1\frac{1}{2}$ to $\frac{1}{2}$ inch in the same proportions as is specified for the total sample. Determinations of the percentage of wear were made at 100 and 500 revolutions. As shown in figure 5, the loss at 100 revolutions is affected slightly by the size of the soft rock fragments, the loss increasing

TABLE 6.—Percentages of wear for different sizes and amounts of hard and soft rock

FIRST SERIES

Composition of sample		Classification of soft rock	Wear at—		
Hard rock	Soft rock	Туре	Size	100 revo- lutions	500 revo- lutions
Percent 90 90 90 90 90	Percent 10 10 10 10 10	Dolomite	Inches $1\frac{1}{2}-1\frac{1}{4}$ $1\frac{1}{4}-1$ $1 - \frac{3}{4}$ $\frac{3}{4}-\frac{1}{2}$ $1\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$	Percent 11. 2 12. 1 13. 3 13. 9 13. 0	Percent 40, 3 40, 2 40, 2 40, 1 39, 9
90 90 90 90 90	10 10 10 10 10	Sandstone do. do. do.	$\begin{array}{r} 1\frac{1}{2}-1\frac{1}{4}\\ 1\frac{1}{4}-1\\ 1 & -\frac{3}{4}\\ \frac{3}{4}-\frac{1}{2}\\ 1\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\end{array}$	$10.\ 1\\10.\ 9\\11.\ 3\\13.\ 1\\11.\ 4$	39, 1 38, 9 39, 0 38, 8 39, 4
-		SECOND SERIES		L	

100 95 90 80 0	0 5 10 20 100	None Dolomite do do do	11/2- 1/2 11/2- 1/2 11/2- 1/2 11/2- 1/2	$\begin{array}{c} 8.0 \\ 10.7 \\ 13.0 \\ 18.0 \\ 44.0 \end{array}$	35, 7 37, 2 39, 9 45, 0 98, 5
95 90 80 0	5 10 20 100	Sandstone	$\begin{array}{c} 1\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \\ 1\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \\ 1\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \\ 1\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	9.5 11.4 14.6 33.6	36.9 39.4 45.0 94.7
95 90 80 0	5 10 20 100	Limestone do do do	11/2- 1/2 11/2- 1/2 11/2- 1/2 11/2- 1/2	8.0 8.6 9.0 12.3	35, 4 37, 0 38, 9 55, 4



SIZE OF SOFT ROCK - INCHES

FIGURE 5.-EFFECT OF SIZE OF SOFT ROCK FRAGMENTS; EACH SAMPLE CONTAINED 10 PERCENT (BY WEIGHT) OF SOFT ROCK.

with reduction in size. At 500 revolutions, however, the size of the soft fragments has no apparent effect on the percentage of wear.

In the second series of tests the soft rock was distributed in all sizes of each sample in proportion to the amount of each size in the total sample. Soft rock amounting to 5, 10, and 20 percent of the total weight of the sample was used. The results are shown in figure 6. Tests were also made on samples composed entirely of hard or soft rock. The hard-rock sample gave a practically straight-line relation between percentage of wear and number of revolutions. With the addition of soft rock, the loss at 100 revolutions was more than one-fifth of the loss at 500 revolutions, and the curve assumed a characteristic hump denoting a material of nonuniform hardness. It is of interest to note that the actual loss under test of a mixture of hard and soft rock agrees fairly well with a weighted loss computed from the percentages of wear for the separate materials.

It does not appear possible to determine the percentage of soft rock in a test sample entirely by inspection of the results of the 100- and 500-revolution tests. Figure 6 shows that the difference in slope of the lines from zero to the 100-revolution point, and between the 100- and 500-revolution points, may be used to indicate the relative effect of soft rock in the test sample. This difference in slope increases with

possible to determine if the sample under test contains soft rock, but whether the adulterating material consists of a small amount of very soft rock or a large amount of moderately soft rock cannot be determined by the present method of test. The test results give a general indication of the uniformity of the sample and in certain cases this may be of considerable interest. It is possible that some difference may be found by determinations of the loss at some point of the test other than at 100 and 500 revolutions, or that a complete mechanical analysis of the sample after testing will show the character of the adulterating material more clearly.

SOFT ROCK-SANDSTONE

COMPARISON OF TEST RESULTS WITH SERVICE RECORDS SHOWS ADVANTAGES OF LOS ANGELES TEST METHOD

During the winter of 1933 a number of research organizations studied the problem of devising a satisfactory method of determining the quality of crushed material proposed for use in road surfacing. Reports from a number of different sources stated that the results of the Deval abrasion test bore no relation to the service record of materials used in surface treatment or other types of low-cost road construction. The extensive use of these types of construction necessitated the development of a test that would indicate the suitability of materials for use in this work. One proposed test was to determine the resistance of increase in the amount of soft rock present. It is crushed and graded material to the crushing action of

-12

m14



FIGURE 6.—RELATION BETWEEN LENGTH OF TEST AND PERCENTAGE OF WEAR, SHOWING EFFECT OF VARYING AMOUNTS OF SOFT ROCK.

a heavy roller in passing over a thin layer of the material.⁸ Although excellent results were obtained by the roller test, the labor and time required rendered it unsuitable as an acceptance test and it was suggested that possibly the less-involved Los Angeles abrasion test could be used to derive information of equal value.

It was realized that in order to cover the range in size of materials used in surface-treatment work provision should be made for testing aggregate having a maximum size of about ³/₄ inch. In other types of lowcost road improvement the aggregate has a maximum size of about 1½ inches, and it was decided to test samples having gradings suitable for each of these classes of work. In preparing these samples, sieves were used rather than screens since it is the general practice to use square openings in the analysis of this class of materials.

After considerable experimenting, two gradings for the Los Angeles abrasion test were adopted that were believed to be suitable for testing practically any size of material used in bituminous or concrete pavements. It was found that if the amount of abrasive charge for the smaller grading was made slightly less than that for the larger grading, the loss for both gradings would be approximately the same. This permits the establishment of a single specification limit for a material irrespective of the grading used in the test. The grad-

a heavy roller in passing over a thin layer of the material.⁸ Although excellent results were obtained by the GRADING OF MATERIAL

1½ to 1 inch	<i>ms</i> 1, 250	Grams
1 to 94 men	1 950	
³ 4 to ½ inch	l, 250 l, 250 l, 250	2, 500 2, 500
Total	5, 000	5,000

Number of 17%-inch balls Total weight, grams	12 5,000±5	$11 \\ 4,583\pm 5$
	1	

ings and abrasive charges finally adopted are given in table 7.

Some concern was expressed as to the possibility that with the change from the previously used grading A (round openings) to gradings B and D, the relations established for grading A could not be applied to the other gradings. However, it was found that the losses for grading B were so nearly the same as those for grading A that for all practical purposes the established data could be applied to test results for grading B.

In order to obtain definite information regarding the significance of the Los Angeles abrasion test in terms of service behavior, samples of crushed rock, gravel, and slag that had been used in surface-treatment of roads were obtained from a number of State highway depart-

⁸ A simple and inexpensive machine for this test is described in a summary of research activities by State highway departments in Rock Products, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 51-57, Jan. 17, 1931. A more elaborate apparatus is described in A Laboratory Service Test for Pavement Materials, by A. T. Goldbeck, J. E. Gray, and L. L. Ludow, Proceedings of American Society for Testing Materials, vol. 34, Part II, 1934.



FIGURE 7.-COMPARISON OF LOS ANGELES AND DEVAL ABRASION TEST RESULTS WITH SERVICE RECORD.

ments. Angeles abrasion machine using gradings A, or when possible using gradings B and D. In the majority of instances, at least three tests were made with each grading. The results of these tests are shown in table 8. In figure 7 a very close agreement is shown between the average results of the Los Angeles abrasion test and the service records of the materials. With the exception of one sample, all materials that were found to be of satisfactory quality in service show losses in the Los Angeles abrasion test of 40 percent or less. Materials of questionable suitability show losses between 40 and 50 percent, and, with one exception, materials that had been found to be unsuitable for use had losses of over 50 percent.

No relation was found between the service record and the loss in the Deval abrasion test. Of 10 samples of rock reported as questionable or unsatisfactory, 8 had percentages of wear of 6 or less and would be considered suitable for use under many present specifications. The one sample of gravel that was reported as questionable had a Deval loss of 12.4 percent and would

Tests of these materials were made in the Los | standard methods of testing coarse aggregates. The great difference in the suitability of the Los Angeles and Deval tests in showing clearly the quality of coarse aggregate is well illustrated in figure 7. As an example, samples 40279 and 40281 had almost the same percentage of wear in the Deval test but in the Los Angeles test the latter material had over twice the loss of the former.

> These comparisons between losses in the Los Angeles abrasion test and service records were made on materials from 44 different sources. It is believed that the remarkable concordance of the results justifies the tentative establishment of a loss in the Los Angeles abrasion test of 40 percent as an acceptable limit for material that will prove satisfactory for use in surfacetreatment work.

The tested samples of both gradings B and D had nearly equal percentages of wear. As shown in figure 8, in only five samples does the loss for one grading differ by more than 3 percent from that for the other. 3 of these 5 samples, the loss for grading D is the greater, and it is believed that in the crushing operations the also probably be accepted for use on the basis of present | softer rock was reduced in size to a greater extent than

TABLE	8.—Comp	arison	of Los	Angeles	and Deval	abrasion test
	results	s with	service	behavior	of materials	

:			Per	centag usir	e of w		
Sam- ple	Location	Kind of material		Los A	ngele	s test	Reported serv- ice record
по.			Deval tes	Grading	Grading B	Grading	
			Per-	Per-	Per-	Per-	
40110 40280	Wisconsin Virginia	Altered basalt Argillaceous dolo- mite	<i>cent</i> 2. 9	cent	cent 17. 2	<i>cent</i> 10. 5 20. 9	Satisfactory. Do.
40279 40125	do Ohio	Aplitic granite Argillaceous lime- stone.	$1.7 \\ 7.3$		17.4 20.2	$19.2 \\ 26.0$	Do. Do.
40276 40178	Virginia New York	Amphibolite Argillaceous lime- stone.	1.7 3.9		23. 0 24. 8	22. 8 24. 3	De. Do.
40054 40108	Georgia Wisconsin	Dolomitedo	3.6 3.2		25. 2 25. 3	24.6	Do. Do.
40119 40149	Pennsylvania Tennessee	Quartzite Argillaceous lime-	$\frac{4.4}{3.0}$		26.5 26.6	24.6 25.0	Do. Do.
40059 40231	Georgia New York	Limestone Argillaceous lime-	$3.6 \\ 4.0$	27.2	28.0	25.4	Do. Do.
40086 40107	Alabama Wisconsin	Slag Gravel	10.5 6.6		28.2 29.1	28.9	Do. Do.
40109 40138	do Tennessee	Dolomite	3.3 3.0		$\frac{30.1}{30.2}$	$\frac{30.0}{29.4}$	Do Do.
40182	New York	Crystalline argilla- ceous limestone.	4.9			30.6	Do.
40277	Virginia	Argillaceous lime- stone.	3.5		31.1	30.7	Do.
40120 40067	Illinois Georgia	Dolomite	10.5	31.6	31.3	29.6	Do. Do.
40274 40180	Michigan	Granite Limestone	2.6 3.4		32.7 33.7	$\frac{33.4}{33.8}$	Do. Do.
40111 40136	Wisconsin	Dolomite	4.1		34.4 34.6	33.0 32.4	Do. Do
40229	New York	Argillaceous lime- stone.	4.9		34.8		Do.
40137 40147	Tennesee South Carolina	Gravel Granite	3.8		34.1 35.0	37.7 36.0	Do. Do.
40275	Virginia	do	1.8		36.6	37.6	Do.
40105	do	Argillaceous lime- stone.	6.3		39.4	37. 0 35. 6	Do. Do.
40098	Oklahoma	Limestone			40.4	39.4	Do.
40194	Georgia	Dolomitic marble.	4. 2 6. 0		41.9	39.0	Questionable.
40278	North Carolina.	Granite	1.9		41.0	43.6	Do.
40281 40114	Maryland	Dolomitic marble	1.9		41.8	46.9 45.4	Do. Do.
40057	Georgia	Granite	3.5	47.7			Do.
40146	Tennessee	Gravel	12.4		50.0	51.0	Do. Not suitable
40164	Michigan	do	5.7		53.0	51.0	Do.
40126	Ohio	Granite	36.8	65 9	54.8	52.2	Do.
40145	South Carolina.	Gneissoid granite	4.8		67.6		Do.
40144	do	Gravel	33.6		83.0		Do.

the harder rock. This would account for the greater loss of the finer grading. The other two samples, a biotite gneiss and an argillaceous limestone, contained an appreciable percentage of flat fragments. The excessive loss for grading B is attributed to these flat fragments that are found to a greater extent in the larger sizes of the sample. For all samples tested, the average difference between the losses for gradings B and D is only 1.9 percent. It is believed that either grading may be used in acceptance or control tests of coarse aggregates.

CONCLUSIONS

The results of this investigation demonstrate that the Los Angeles abrasion machine is superior to the present standard Deval machine in the following respects:

1. Los Angeles abrasion tests can be made much more rapidly and are more accurate than Deval abrasion tests.

2. Both round and angular particles may be tested with very little difference in percentage of wear due to the degree of angularity.



Figure 8.—Relation Between Losses of Gradings B and D by Los Angeles Abrasion Test.

3. The Los Angeles abrasion test result is greatly affected by the shape of the particles. Thus the presence of flat or elongated fragments in a sample increases the loss in the Los Angeles test, while in the Deval test these possibly objectionable particles might have little effect on the percentage of wear.

4. The presence of soft or friable rock can be detected with the Los Angeles test but not with the Deval abrasion test.

5. A definite relation seems to exist between the loss in the Los Angeles abrasion test and the service record of materials used in surface treatment of roads. Based on the results available to date, materials having a loss in the Los Angeles abrasion test of 40 percent or less may be expected to furnish satisfactory results when used in surface treatments.

6. Differences in the volume of different test samples due to differences in specific gravity need not be considered due to the relatively large capacity of the Los Angeles abrasion machine.

7. Dust produced in the test does not affect the result as it does in the Deval test.

8. The Los Angeles test is made on material as prepared for use on the project, while the Deval test for rock requires the use of ledge rock that may not represent the material actually used. The two gradings, B and D, proposed for use in the Los Angeles abrasion test, furnish practically the same result, and specification tests may be made using the grading which can most readily be prepared from the material submitted for test.

9. The effect of personal equation in the preparation of the test sample is largely eliminated in the Los Angeles test method.

A disadvantage of the Los Angeles test is that no provision is made for testing ledge rock taken from undeveloped quarries. However, as shown in figure 3, a fairly definite relation exists between samples of crushed and hand-broken rock, and tests could be made on the ledge rock provided the result is corrected to agree with those for the crushed material.

A ROLLER-TESTING MACHINE FOR MEASURING THE STABILITY OF **BITUMINOUS MIXTURES**

BY THE DIVISION OF TESTS, U.S. BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS

Reported by E. L. TARWATER, Assistant Highway Engineer

URING recent years numerous laboratory studies have been made of hot asphaltic paving mixtures of both the fine- and coarse-graded types. These studies have been directed primarily towards the development of laboratory tests for predetermining the actual road behavior of various combinations of mineral aggregates and asphaltic materials. The studies have been carried on by various organizations, using different kinds of apparatus and methods of testing. As a result there are now in use several types of tests that appear to be of value in the study and design of bituminous mixtures. All of these tests are designed to measure the probable stability or resistance to displacement under traffic, and a majority of them involve the measurement of resistance to shearing stresses. Two of the better-known tests are the Hubbard-Field and the Skidmore tests.¹

In the Hubbard-Field test a compressed specimen 2 inches in diameter and 1 inch deep is forced through a 1³/₄-inch circular opening. The load in pounds required to do this is designated as the stability of the specimen. Specimens are normally tested at a temperature of 60° C. This test is used in the study and design of mixtures of the sheet asphalt type. The Hubbard-Field testing machine is illustrated in figure 1.

The Skidmore test is used for both the fine- and coarse-graded types of mixtures, and the stability is designated as the load in pounds required to shear off the free section of a cylindrical test specimen, part of which is held in a frame or mold. This test is made by applying the load in successive increments. Specimens are tested at a temperature of 60° C. Mixtures containing both fine and coarse aggregate are tested in this manner, the sizes of the test specimens and testing apparatus being increased for the coarse-aggregate mixtures.

Another form of shear test is the extrusion test developed by the Bureau.² In this test specimens 2¼ inches by 8 inches by 6 inches deep are formed with a power tamping device and, after being brought to a temperature of 60° C., are placed in a testing mold. A uniformly distributed load is applied to the top of the specimen, causing the mixture to extrude through openings in the bottom and ends of the mold. Stability is designated as the maximum load in pounds supported by the specimen.

The results of this test are influenced by slight variations in the composition of mixtures, and the test was thought to be well adapted to the study of resistance to displacement. However, in testing mixtures containing appreciable amounts of aggregate larger than ½ inch, erratic results were obtained and were attributed to the arching action of the coarser particles. It seemed

impossible to eliminate this difficulty without increasing the size of the specimen to unwieldy proportions. lt was realized also that the test bore little relation to the action of traffic, and it was thought desirable to develop a method of testing that would more closely simulate actual conditions of use.

ROLLER-STABILITY MACHINE DESCRIBED

With this objective, the bureau designed and constructed a machine in which specimens 8 inches by 4 inches by 2¼ inches deep were subjected to a rolling load causing longitudinal deformation.³ This roller machine was later rebuilt to eliminate certain objectionable features and as rebuilt was used in the work covered by this report. The machine in its present form is designed to subject the test specimen to the compressive action of smooth metal rollers which pass over it slowly and without impact. The rollers move in one direction under controlled conditions of speed, load, and temperature.

Figure 2 shows a general view of the testing machine. It consists of a rigid base, A, carrying the driving motor on one end and a countershaft on the other. In the center of the base there is a pair of vertical guides, B. Eleven hollow steel rollers, C, 4 inches in diameter and 3 inches long, are arranged between and at equal intervals along the peripheries of two steel disk side plates, D. These plates are rigidly fastened to a short horizontal shaft that rotates in bearings mounted on a frame, E. These parts constitute the roller assembly and this entire unit is free to move vertically between the guides, The roller assembly is driven by suitable gearing B_{\cdot} and may be lifted at will by means of a power-driven elevating mechanism at the top of the guide frame.

The total weight of the roller assembly is 450 pounds, all of which is normally imposed on the test specimen. It is possible, however, to reduce the pressure on the specimen by means of a suspended counterweight attached to the top of the yoke (E, fig. 2). This attachment was not on the machine when the photo-graph was taken. The roller assembly moves at a speed of 2.1 revolutions per minute during tests.

Directly underneath the roller assembly is a rectangular steel tank or water bath, F, in which the test specimen is mounted. When the roller assembly is rotated there is a periodic variation of its effective radius (the distance between the surface of the test specimen and the center of the disks, D). When one of the rollers is directly below the axis of rotation of the disks this effective radius is a maximum (9.4 inches), and when the midpoint between two of the rollers is directly below this axis the effective radius is a minimum (9.1 inches). In the first position, one roller rests in

¹ Circular no. 34 of the Asphalt Association. ² Emmons and Anderton, A Stability Test for Bituminous Paving Mixtures. A. S. T. M. Proc., vol. 25, part 2, p. 346.

³ Researches on Bituminous Paving Mixtures, by W. J. Emmons. PUBLIC ROADS, vol. 7, no. 10, December 1926.



FIGURE 1 .--- HUBBARD-FIELD STABILITY TESTING MACHINE.

the center of the specimen and carries all of the weight, while in the second position two rollers rest on the specimen and each carries half of the imposed weight. If the tank containing the specimen were fixed in elevation, this variation in the effective radius of the roller assembly would cause the entire mass of the assembly to be raised and lowered through a distance of about 0.3 inch 11 times per revolution. In the first machine built the tank and specimen were in a fixed position, and this motion took place and produced an undesirable impact on the test specimen.

In the rebuilt machine the impact has been eliminated by mounting the specimen bath on four hardenedsteel cams (G, fig. 2), shaped so that the vertical motion imparted to the specimen by the cams exactly compensates for the changes in effective radius of the roller assembly. The cams are synchronized with the motion of the rollers through suitable gears. The effectiveness of the arrangement in preventing impact is evidenced by the absence of vertical motion of the roller assembly.

The specimen is confined in an adjustable testing mold that has one end and the top surface open as illustrated in figure 3. Upward deformation at the sides of the specimen is prevented by a section of angle iron (K, fig. 4), that is clamped over the mold's edges and extends $\frac{1}{2}$ inch over the top of the sample at either side, leaving a 3-inch open surface over which the rollers pass. Rotation of the rollers is induced as they pass over the top surface of the specimen, tending to deform it longitudinally through the open end of the mold.



FIGURE 2.-ROLLER STABILITY TESTING MACHINE.

Deformation is measured with an Ames dial (H, fig. 4), and a counter, J, records the number of roller passages over the specimen. Figure 4 shows the specimen and rollers in testing positions.

LONGITUDINAL DEFORMATION A MEASURE OF STABILITY

The resistance of a test specimen to longitudinal deformation is an indication of its stability. In this study stability was defined as the number of roller passages required to produce a deformation of 0.3 inch. This limit of deformation was adopted after a preliminary investigation showed that for movements in excess of 0.3 inch the relation between the number of roller passages and the amount of deformation became erratic. Figure 5 shows the variations in test results for comparable test specimens. The curves represent test results with three different mixtures from each of which two specimens identical in composition and density were molded. This figure shows that test results on the comparable sheet asphalt specimens, A and B, and C and D, were identical up to 0.3 inch deformation, and that considerable variation occurred beyond this point. For the bituminous concrete specimens, E and F, very close agreement in test results was obtained up to 0.3 inch deformation, while beyond this point an even greater variation occurred than for the sheet-asphalt specimens.

The temperature of the test specimen was held at 60° C during the test. The selection of this temperature was based upon a study made by the Bureau⁴ some years ago in which 60° C. was the highest temperature found within a road surface under actual field

⁴ Temperature as a Factor in the Stability of Asphaltic Pavements, by W. J. Emmons and B. A. Anderton. PUBLIC ROADS, vol. 7, no. 2, April 1926.



FIGURE 3.—Mold for Holding Specimens During Test in The Roller Stability Machine.



FIGURE 4.---A SPECIMEN IN PLACE READY FOR TESTING.

conditions. This temperature was recorded a number of times, indicating that it was not unusual, and it has generally been used in stability test work by other investigators. The stability values given herein consequently represent the minimum that the mixtures may be expected to possess under normal service conditions.

The power tamping device, formerly used in forming specimens for the extrusion test and for the first roller machine, was discarded in favor of a molding machine in which the specimens are compacted by a rolling load. This machine, illustrated in figure 6, and described in PUBLIC ROADS, vol. 10, no. 2, April 1929, more nearly simulates actual compaction on the road and produces specimens sufficiently uniform in density and of any workable density desired. This machine was used in forming specimens for the roller stability tests, and also



FIGURE 5.—Relation of Number of Roller Passages to Longitudinal Deformation.



FIGURE 6.—THE UPPER PICTURE IS A GENERAL VIEW OF THE MOLDING MACHINE. THE LOWER PICTURE SHOWS A SHEET-ASPHALT SPECIMEN IN THE MOLDING MACHINE.

in forming specimens from which cores for the Hubbard-Field test were taken.

The adequacy of any laboratory test for determining the relative stability of paving mixtures is dependent upon its ability to distinguish between mixtures of variable compositions, whether laboratory prepared specimens or sections taken from pavements that have shown different service behaviors. Hubbard and Field have demonstrated that their stability test is quite sensitive to variations in consistency and quantity of asphalt cement, kind and quantity of filler, and character and grading of the sand in sheet asphalt pavements. They have also shown,⁵ by tests on cores taken from pavements in use, that the stability values obtained in the laboratory are a measure of the resistance of the surfaces to displacement under traffic. The test as designed by them, or as slightly modified to utilize available laboratory equipment, is widely used as a method of measuring stability.

⁸ Correlation of the Stability Test with the Behavior of Pavements under Traffic. Proc. Fifth Annual Asphalt Paving Conference.

TESTS MADE ON SHEET ASPHALT AND ASPHALTIC CONCRETE MIXTURES

In order to determine the value of the roller stability machine, sheet asphalt mixtures of variable composition were tested for stability in both the roller-stability and the Hubbard-Field machines. The relative stabilities of bituminous-concrete mixtures of variable compositions, both prepared in the laboratory and taken from surfaces under traffic, were also determined with the roller-stability apparatus.

In this investigation the same kinds of materials were used throughout, that is, one asphalt cement, one limestone filler, and one type and grading of sand and coarse aggregate. The coarse aggregate in the bituminous concrete mixtures was a relatively soft limestone and was used for the purpose of determining whether crushing of the aggregate would occur either in molding or in testing specimens. Careful examination of the specimens showed that little or no crushing occurred. The Potomac River sand used was angular to subangular, consisting essentially of quartz, shale, and sandstone, and containing some grains of chert, schist, feldspar, mica, and clay.

The characteristics of the various materials used were as follows:

ASFHALT CEMENT

Specific gravity, 25°/25° C	1.043
Flash point, °C	285
Penetration, 100 g, 5 sec., 25° C	50
Softening point, ° C	55
Ductility, 25° C., centimeters	110 +
Loss on heating, 50 g, 5 hours, 163° C., percent	. 05
Penetration of residue after loss by heating	40
Total bitumen, soluble in CS ₂ , percent	99.8
Organic matter insoluble in CS_2 , percent	. 1
Inorganic matter insoluble in CS ₂ , percent	. 1
Total bitumen insoluble in 86° B. naphtha, percent	28.5

LIMESTONE DUST

Specific gravity		2.701
Percentage retained	on no. 200 sieve	12.0
Percentage of voids	(Bureau vibrator method)	37.6

CRUSHED LIMESTONE

Passing ¾-inch sieve, retained on ½-inch sieve, percent	52.5
Passing ½-inch sieve, retained on no. 4 sieve, percent	22.5
Passing no. 4 sieve, retained on no. 8 sieve, percent	25.0
Specific gravity	2.310
Percentage of wear	10.4
Absorption, percent	6.56

SAND

Passing no. 10 sieve, retained on no. 20 sieve, percent	7.6
Passing no. 20 sieve, retained on no. 30 sieve, percent	7.2
Passing no. 30 sieve, retained on no. 40 sieve, percent	11. 2
Passing no. 40 sieve, retained on no. 50 sieve, percent	17.0
Passing no. 50 sieve, retained on no. 80 sieve, percent	25.6
Passing no. 80 sieve, retained on no. 100 sieve, percent	8. 8
Passing no. 100 sieve, retained on no. 200 sieve, percent_	15.2
Passing no. 200 sieve, percent	7.4
Specific gravity	2.659
Percentage of voids (Bureau vibrator method)	33. 4

PREPARATION OF SPECIMENS AND METHODS OF TESTING

The proportions of the mixtures used are expressed as percentages by weight of the total and are shown in table 1. In the preparation of the test specimens the aggregates were proportioned by weight and then heated to about 184° C. The hot aggregates were then placed in a mixing pan that was indirectly heated by an oil bath. The asphalt cement, previously heated to about 168° C., was added and the mass mixed with trowels until all particles were uniformly coated. The amount

TABLE 1.—Composition of the mixtures used in the stability determinations

SHEET ASPHALT MIXTURES

Composi	ition of bit	uminous n	nixtures	Compo	osition of n aggregates	nineral
Bitumen	Dust	Sand	Stone	Dust	Sand	Stone
Percent 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 12	Percent 0 5 10 15 20 25 15 15 15	Percent 90 85 80 75 70 65 77 75 73	Percent	Percent 0.0 5.6 11.1 16.7 22.2 27.8 16.3 16.7 17.1	Percent 100, 0 94, 4 88, 9 83, 3 77, 8 72, 2 83, 7 83, 3 83, 7 83, 3 82, 9	Percent
	BITU	MINOUS-	CONCRET	TE MIXTU	JRES	
8 9 10 11	4 4 4 4	78 77 76 75	10 10 10 10	4.3 4.4 4.4 4.5	84. 8 84. 6 84. 5 84. 3	$ \begin{array}{r} 10.9 \\ 11.0 \\ 11.1 \\ 11.2 \end{array} $
6 7 8 9	4 4 4 4	70 69 68 67	20 20 20 20	4.2 4.3 4.4 4.4	74.574.273.973.6	$21.3 \\ 21.5 \\ 21.7 \\ 22.0$
5 6 7 8 9	4 4 4 4	61 60 59 58 57	30 30 30 30 30 30	4.2 4.3 4.3 4.4 4.4	$\begin{array}{c} 64, 2 \\ 63, 8 \\ 63, 4 \\ 63, 0 \\ 62, 6 \end{array}$	31.6 31.9 32.3 32.6 33.0
5 6 7 8	4 4 4 4	51 50 49 48	40 40	4.2 4.2 4.3 4.3	53. 7 53. 2 52. 7 52. 2	$\begin{array}{c} 42.\ 1\\ 42.\ 6\\ 43.\ 0\\ 43.\ 5\end{array}$
5 6 7	4 4 4	$\begin{array}{c} 41\\ 40\\ 39\end{array}$	50 50 50	4.2 4.2 4.3	$\begin{array}{c} 43.2 \\ 42.6 \\ 41.9 \end{array}$	52, 6 53, 2 53, 8

of the mixture needed to make a specimen 2¼ inches thick and of the desired density was then placed in the molding machine form and rolled.

The required amount of rolling varied with the composition of the mixture, the load used, and the amount of compaction desired. After rolling the specimen was removed, allowed to cool to room temperature, and its density and voids were determined.

The specimens to be tested in the roller machine were placed in collapsible forms that supported the sides and prevented warping or other deformation. They were then transferred to a constant-temperature water bath at 60° C. and left until they were at a uniform temperature throughout, as determined with a thermometer embedded in a similar specimen prepared for temperature control. The time required to reach this temperature was about 3 hours. The specimens were then transferred to the testing mold and placed in the bath of the roller testing machine, the temperature of which was also maintained at 60° C. The revolving disk carrying the rollers was lowered until its full weight was carried by the specimen. A record was kept of the number of roller passages over the specimen and of the corresponding longitudinal deformations.

RESULTS OBTAINED WITH HUBBARD-FIELD AND ROLLER MACHINES COMPARED

in a mixing pan that was indirectly heated by an oil bath. The asphalt cement, previously heated to about 168° C., was added and the mass mixed with trowels until all particles were uniformly coated. The amount



FIGURE 7.—POSITIONS AND DENSITIES OF SPECIMENS TAKEN FROM SHEET-ASPHALT SAMPLE.

should be molded in the same manner. For these reasons the specimens used in the Hubbard-Field tests were obtained from the 4- by 8- by 2¼-inch blocks molded in the same manner as those tested in the roller machine. Cores of the exact test size were obtained by forcing a sharp-edged steel pipe through the specimen. The force required for cutting was obtained with a hydraulic jack. The blocks were warmed slightly to facilitate penetration with the minimum of distortion. The top 1 inch of the core was used as the specimen and the average of the test results from the three Hubbard-Field specimens taken from the molded block was reported as a single test result.

Figure 7 shows the relative positions of the cores, A, B, and C, taken from the 8- by 4- by $2\frac{1}{4}$ -inch blocks and also shows the variation in densities and percentages of voids between the Hubbard-Field specimens and the block from which they were cored. This variation is believed to be due to particle disarrangement that occurs along the cut surfaces of the core, since previous work with the molding machine has shown that the 8- by 4- by $2\frac{1}{4}$ -inch blocks are quite uniform in density throughout.⁶

Two series of mixtures of the sheet-asphalt type were used in the comparison of the two machines. In one series the percentage of dust was held constant and the percentages of sand and asphalt cement were varied. In the other series the percentage of asphalt cement was held constant and the percentages of dust and sand were varied. The percentage composition by weight of the mixtures is given in table 1 and the test results are shown in figures 8 and 9.

Figure 8 shows an increase in stability with a decrease in the percentage of voids for all three bitumen contents, the change in stability being least for the mixtures containing 12 percent of bitumen. In this mixture, a change in percentage of voids has a greater effect upon the Hubbard-Field stability than upon the roller stability. With the mixture containing 10 percent of bitumen, however, the reverse appears to be true, while for the mixture containing 8 percent of bitumen the two curves have about the same slope.

Figure 9 shows the effect of percentage of voids on the stability of mixtures containing various percentages of dust. Here, as in figure 8, the general trend of the results is the same for both methods of test. For mixtures containing 15 percent or less of dust the stability increases with a reduction in percentage of voids, while with mixtures containing 20 and 25 percent of dust the stability increases as the percentage of voids increases.

These curves show a marked similarity in the general trend of results obtained by the two methods of test. However, there is no definite mathematical relation between the stabilities determined by the two methods.

ROLLER STABILITY TEST RESULTS FOR ASPHALTIC CONCRETE MIXTURES

Asphaltic-concrete mixtures of the compositions given in table 1 also were tested in the roller machine in the same manner as the sheet-asphalt mixtures. As shown in table 1 the percentage of dust was constant for all asphaltic-concrete mixtures, the principal variables being the amounts of stone and sand used. For each of the five percentages of stone, the asphalt-cement content was varied sufficiently to produce a series of mixtures having a range in plasticity. The test results showing the relation between roller stability and percentage of voids for the different mixtures are plotted in figures 10 and 11, and the effect of the percentage of asphalt cement for varying percentages of voids is shown in figure 12.

As was found for the sheet-asphalt mixtures, an increase in stability occurs as the voids percentages are decreased for a given asphalt-cement content; an increase in stability also occurs for a decrease in asphalt-cement content when the voids percentage is kept constant. These data indicate that the roller machine distinguishes between the factors affecting the stability of asphaltic-concrete mixtures, as well as for sheet-asphalt mixtures.

ACTUAL ROAD DISPLACEMENTS COMPARED WITH ROLLER-STABILITY VALUES

Few data are available for use in correlating actual field behavior with roller stability; however, some tests have been made upon samples from pavements of known behavior. Specimens from the asphaltic-concrete sections of circular track described in PUBLIC ROADS, vol. 14, no. 11, January 1934, were tested for stability in the roller machine. The analyses of the sections tested are given in table 2, and the results of the test are shown graphically in figure 13. This curve

⁶ A Machine for Molding Laboratory Specimens of Bituminous Paving Mixtures, by J. T. Pauls, PUBLIC ROADS, vol. 10, no. 2, April 1929.



Figure 8.—Relation Between Stability and Percentage of Voids in Sheet-Asphalt Specimens Containing 15 Percent of Limestone Dust and Various Percentages of Asphalt Cement and Sand.



Figure 9.—Relation Between Stability and Percentage of Voids in Sheet-Asphalt Specimens Containing 10 Percent of Asphalt Cement and Various Percentages of Limestone Dust and Sand.



FIGURE 10.—Relation Between Roller Stability and Per-Centage of Voids in Asphaltic-Concrete Specimens Containing Four Percent of Limestone Dust and Various Percentages of Stone, Sand, and Asphalt Cement.

shows longitudinal displacements of the bituminous concretes in inches plotted against roller-stability values. This longitudinal displacement was the total movement of 25 screws spaced 6 inches apart in a radial line on a circular test pavement and was derived by taking half of the total movement of 50 screws in two lines. The sections with the least displacement had the highest roller-stability values.



FIGURE 11.—RELATION BETWEEN ROLLER STABILITY AND PER-CENTAGE OF VOIDS IN ASPHALTIC-CONCRETE SPECIMENS CONTAINING FOUR PERCENT OF LIMESTONE DUST AND VA-RIOUS PERCENTAGES OF STONE, SAND, AND ASPHALT CEMENT.

ROLLER STABILITY METHOD PROVES PRACTICABLE

A study of the test data presented shows that, while no constant relation exists between stabilities as measured by the Hubbard-Field and roller-stability machines, both methods show the effects of various percentages of ingredients and voids, factors that influence the stability of sheet asphalt mixtures. Both methods show that variations in a given factor influence stability in the same way. In addition, the roller machine results show the effect of various percentages of ingredients and voids upon the stability of bituminous concrete mixtures, the results being comparable to those obtained on the sheet asphalt mixtures and are in agreement with commonly accepted theories. Although a considerable number of tests on pavements of known behavior will have to be made before roller stability results can be used as a measure of expected service behavior, it appears that the roller machine is a satisfactory device for determining the relative stabilities of both fine- and coarse-graded asphaltic mixtures.

Additional advantages of the roller machine are that specimens of varying depth can be tested and that field specimens can be prepared without apparently disturbing the material within the specimen. This is



FIGURE 12.—EFFECT OF VARIATIONS IN PERCENTAGE OF ASPHALT CEMENT ON ROLLER STABILITY OF ASPHALTIC-CONCRETE SPECIMENS CONTAINING FOUR PERCENT OF LIMESTONE DUST AND VARIOUS PERCENTAGES OF STONE, SAND, AND ASPHALT CEMENT.



FIGURE 13.—COMPARISON OF FIELD MOVEMENT AND ROLLER STABILITY OF ASPHALTIC-CONCRETE SECTIONS FROM CIRCULAR TRACK.



		Se	ction no.	-	
	29	30	31	32	33
Bitumen	Percent 4.8	Percent 5.8	Percent 7.1	Percent 7.6	Percent 7.3
Passing 114-inch screen, retained on 1- inch screen.	0.0	4.7	3.6	4.3	2.7
screen	14.6	12.7	9.6	10.0	11.8
Passing 34-inch screen, retained on 1/2- inch screen	17.0	16.1	11.7	13. 9	16.1
inch screen	8.6	10.4	10.9	10.9	9.1
Passing ¼-inch screen ¹ , retained on no. 10 sieve ²	3.0	4.8	5.7	5.6	7.0
Passing no. 10 sieve, retained on no. 20 sieve	3.3	3.0	3.7	4.5	4, 8
Passing no. 20 sieve, retained on no. 30 sieve	4.3	4.0	4.5	4. 6	5, 0
Passing no. 30 sieve, retained on no. 40 sieve	3.4	3.0	3.4	3.3	3.3
sieve	8.2	6.6	7.2	6.9	6.5
Passing no. 50 sieve, retained on no. 80 sieve.	12.0	9.2	10.5	8.7	8, 4
Passing no. 80 sieve, retained no. 100 sieve.	6.8	5.2	6, 2	6.1	4,8
Passing no. 100 sieve, retained on no. 200 sieve	8.5	8.6	9.1	7.6	6.5
Passing no. 200 sieve	5.5	5.9	6.8	6.0	6.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100. 0
Field movement, inches	0.8	0.5	5.1	21.1	21.5
Roller stability	0. 2 355	0. 1 297	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.2 \\ 244 \end{array} $	2.9 88	3.3 99

¹ Screens have circular openings.

² Sieves have square openings.

done by cutting with a carborundum saw that apparently does not displace the material. The effect of slight displacement is lessened since the area of the test specimen is larger than the area subjected to load during the test.

By controlling the weight on the specimen during test, test values can be obtained for the more plastic types of mixture for which a comparison between test and service performances may be desired. It should also be possible to compare test and service performances of the cold-laid or liquefier type of surface.

Since the method of fabricating test specimens in the laboratory simulates the methods of compaction used in actual construction, it is believed that the use of the molding machine for fabrication and the roller machine for testing should furnish satisfactory laboratory evaluation of probable service performance for the various types of bituminous mixtures.

			ADS AVAILABLE PROJECTS	1935 Public Works Funds	\$ 417.216 23.459 97.377	136.175 335 52.774	3.996 33.068 741.845	221, 11 95 93,866	985 112,719	239.706 858 36.389	587,503 63,123 59,809	289, 148 54, 545 29, 017	10,934 7.742 24,508	347.453 16,004 171,549	394,063 566,4446 306,287	146,606 39,417 54,426	6,400 197.378 124,900	109.304 251.132 35.271	3.841 69.103 18.274	266,147 22,963 4,681	315,893	6.806,130		
NDS)			BALANCE OF FUN FOR NEW F	1934 Public Works Funds	\$ 2,573 10,772 25,354	1,360 9,003 6,199	618 34, 780 146, 700	7.986 30.261 63.004	8.878 56.360	17.585 90.213	4,137 28,775 28,775	68,670 9,915	3, 243 23,075	34,100 41,574 226,868	283,101 79,021 13,991	5.672 45.195 8.566	42,289 1,023	56.845 1.794 7.787	11,766 33,012	7,143 4,678 4,502	7 04 .75	1.595.948		
(1935 FU			TION	Mileage	11.6	ŝ.	2°2 2°5	1.9 1.9		1.3	3.6	12.6 12.9 7.3	2.6	د. بلا. بلا.	13.2 54.3 3.1	7.9 3.4	3.2 10.9	25.5 1.5	7.2	4.5 1.2 0.1	1.4	211.6		
ON NE 18, 1934	STRUCTION ACT OF JUNE 18, 1934 (1 ICIPALITIES		FOR CONSTRUC	1935 Public Works Funds	\$ 210,259 35,901	11,203	176,810 19,417	1,670 317,074 184,814	13.700 26.566 5.000		112.825 3.773	210,437 511,494 6,374	2,850	10,168 4,000	223,358 251,989 191,561	1 ⁴⁶ ,590 1,000 114,109	3,800 203,659 77,388	183, 1415 383, 126 117,000	4.307 45,490 6.678	76,044 70,839 69,397	183,992	4.218.107		
STRUCTI		APPROVED 1	1934 Public Works Funds			\$ 6.39 4	17.951		140,941	30,000	23,588 33,476	17.734	93,868 23,000	104,450 71,181 1.500	2,126	21,280 19,729	13,450 35,806	4,042 50,355	6,984 7.300	20.973	736.128			
D CON	OF MUN			Mileage	80.0 81.5	67.3 12.4 7.2	9.2 59.1	21.7 61.8 151.5	64.8 107.9 54.7	27.3 7.1 19.2	17.8 142.7 69.2	146.1 93.3 51.3	60.8 88.7 2.4	10.3 33.7 116.2	118.9 79.1 51.2	50.3 43.4	5.1 26.6 155.3	41.2 320.7 28.2	\$.2 60.5 13.3	10.7 62.9 149.0	12.3	2.956.7		
RKS ROA UNDS) AND	I OUTSIDE	TEM OUTSIDE	RUCTION	1935 Public Works Funds	*1.169.295 676.051 941.878	2.,724.,900 236.,046 554.,726	8,034 218,981 705,634	397.407 1. 87 0.511 2.355.968	1,058,290 1,446,430 927,352	1,047,066 222,325 129,671	890,583 2,647,561 338,500	1.584.553 2.105.139 734.486	1,267,901 336,320 97,436	564,012 433.010 2,777.952	790,012 98,642 2,290,333	1,101,318 866,114 2,116,286	262,025 325,235 780,634	949.467 3.883.216 333.550	207,151 883,817 1,050,156	328,023 1,088,097 829,741	98,893	48,750,728		
BLIC WO ACT (1934 F	AY SYSTEN	935	UNDER CONST	1934 Public Works Funds	\$ 305, 142 15, 039 590, 256	121,228 68,649	3,959 59,479 585,971	1.819.990	49.000 31.530 212.241	234,221 5,179 803,850	52.687 648,450 247.577	620.984 775.281 111.796	21,644 204.263	776.741 567.625	600,274 34,789 225,551	339.780 102.555 136,292	62,475 342,408	137.237 221.299 37.000	170,446 198,743	41,447 298,603 141,021	681,972	13,287,027		
ATES PUJ	JGUST 31,1		Estimated Total Cost	\$ 1,649,804 783,475 1,686,416	3.465.799 335.942 670.946	12.815 304.595 1,374.629	408,060 3,690,501 2,991,811	1,204,882 1,545,753 1,269,207	1,434,550 227,518 933,521	995.092 3.317.075 627.791	2,645,950 3,097,676 854,682	1,595,894 602,129 99,431	1,437,526 433,010 6,116,780	1,459,741 172,855 2,691,104	1,645,119 1,035,973 2,297,256	262.025 387.710 1.155.125	1,183,105 4,304,129 471,318	221,414 1,204,310 1,375,576	372.973 1.520.748 996.922	940.719	69,511,382			
ED ST.	ERAL-A	s of Au		Mileage	388.0 381.6 185.4	380.9 280.4 30.5	48.8 147.2 369.3	226.4 45.4 128.1	374.6 655.1 292.7	85.1 57.2 18.6	39.7 259.4	308.3 218.8 601.8	418.3 391.6 20.9	42.3 393.8 236.4	669.1 1,287.2 217.2	351.0 205.8 179.8	29.7 248.4 662.2	213.8 1.214.5 274.8	59.2 200.2 117.2	90.5 245.6 584.4	27+3	14,926.6		
OF UNIT	N THE FEL	AS C	red	1935 Public Works Funds	\$ 333,151 681,541 638,844	841,365 2,188,123	4449,668 687,741 1,089,849	511.338 221.193 53.984	890,386 881,135 257,138	93.646 559.012 123.550	104,785 402,775 2,131,650	748,044 219,487 1,944,331	1,003,347 1,003,4444 343,460	29,746 1,227,755 119,730	522.933 552.406 751.074	948,076 520,378 2,269,261	202,547 214,683 540,898	863,238 2,340,779 580,525	250,743 917,768 478,099	469,952 637,071 782,549		33.923.203		
STATUS THE NATIO	ROJECTS OI		COMPLET	1934 Public Works Funds	\$ 3.640.038 3.829.743 2.718.557	7.790.341 3.359.612 1.398.014	872,988 2,375,111 4,306,527	2.158.872 2.558.576 4.295.612	4,978,830 5,004,394 3,483,004	2,417,973 1,544,248 888,200	1,048,966 5,398,945 4,254,660	2.776.095 4.462.251 4.308,662	3,889,594 2,664,314 692,119	2,362,178 2,711,206 9,648,179	3,773,322 2,717,233 7,036,716	4,262,946 2,905,698 6,494,210	988,231 2,603,53 8 2,612,580	4,038,778 11,329,744 2,322,418	912,376 3,477,394 2,859,191	1,957,832 4,386,938 2,105,140	952,902	169.574.996		
URRENT ION 204 OF	LASS 1PI					Total Cost	* 7.059.832 5.211.218 4.046.186	11.785.341 5.778.807 1.592.908	1, 343, 114 3, 892, 506 5, 640, 255	2.769.575 2.833.504 4.487.570	6.293.748 6.018.170 3.995.191	2,882,996 2,133,370 1,013,261	1.586,990 6,166,972 6,581,228	5,887,700 5,295,861 6,822,322	5.673.987 3.744,024 1.081.616	2,583,536 4,093,988 12,889,156	5.403.173 3.853.891 8.378.196	5.376.532 3.844.042 9.242.739	1,266.571 2,882.836 3,659.851	5,595,148 14,286,253 3,172,945	1,272,427 4,781,694 3,363,220	2,479,211 5,257,273 3,051,863	1.326.739	229.679.536
CI ED BY SECT	0		IMENTS	Act of June 18, 1934 (1935 Fund)	* 2,129,921 1,381,051 1,714,000	3,713,643 2,424,504 607,500	1,116,600 2,556,745	1,131,910 2,408,778 2,688,633	1,963,361 2,354,131 1,302,209	1, 380, 419 782, 195 289, 609	1,582,574 3,226,284 2,533,733	2,832,182 2,890,666 2,714,208	1.982,182 1.350,356 1.65,404	951.379 1.676.769 3.673.231	1, 930, 365 1, 469, 484 3, 539, 255	2, 342, 590 1, 426, 910 4, 554, 082	474.772 940.954 1.523.821	2,105,454 6,858,253 1,066,345	1,916,042 1,916,178 1,553,206	1,140,167 1,818,970 1,686,368	598,778	93.698.168		
AS PROVIDI			APPORTION	sec. 204 of the Act of June 16, 1933 (1934 Fund)	\$ 3.947.753 3.855.555 3.334,167	7.912.928 3.437.265 1.404.213	877.566 2.469.370 5.045.592	2,166,858 4,408,827 5,018,921	5,027,830 5,044,802 3,751,605	2,693,135 1,567,012 1,782,263	1,101,716 6,051,533 4,561,011	3,489,337 5,237,532 4,463,849	3,914,441 2,909.387 692,118	3, 173, 019 2, 846, 648 10, 465, 672	4,761,147 2,902,224 7,277,758	4,608,399 3,055,4448 6,641,194	988, 230 2, 729, 583 3, 005, 739	4, 246, 309 11, 588, 643 2, 367, 205	928, 184 3, 731, 207 3, 057, 934	2,013,405 4,697,518 2,250,663	1,693, 3444	185,194,099		
				STATE	Alabama Arizona Arkansas	California Colorado Connecticut	Delaware Florida Georgia	Idaho	Iowa. Kánsas Kentucky	Louisiana Maine Maryland	Massachusetts	Mississippi Missouri Montana	Nebraska	New Jersey	North Carolina. North Dakota	Oklahoma. Oregon Pennsylvania	Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota	Tennessee Texas Utah.	Vermont Virginia Washington	West Virginia	District of Columbia Hawaii	TOTALS		

CURRENT STATUS OF UNITED STATES PUBLIC WORKS ROAD CONSTRUCTION

AS PROVIDED BY SECTION 204 OF THE NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL RECOVERY ACT (1934 FUNDS) AND BY THE ACT OF JUNE 18, 1934 (1935 FUNDS)

CLASS 2.-PROJECTS ON EXTENSIONS OF THE FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAY SYSTEM INTO AND THROUGH MUNICIPALITIES

AS OF AUGUST 31, 1935

		_																		
THE AVAILABLE	PROJECTS	1935 Public Works Funds	* 464,716 41,199 37,572	113.784 19.359 13.447	138,809 85,047 693,715	34,560 523,149 589,462	245,165 173,248	74,271 6,907 452,514	579.317 18.417 12.415	102,527 40,949	102,692 1,744 14,877	264,787 96,014 393,850	57.651 308.934 301.188	184.737 404.383	1444,0000 1844,4461 2554,668	208,537 35,206	4,425 33,551 30,706	89,491 3,771 4,819		7.700,044
RALANCE OF FU	FOR NEW	1934 Public Works Funds	\$ 31,4441 4,857 26,765	7,422 37,111	127 15,848 218,438	46.951 14.362 55.548	31.435	8,1144 5.038	28,646 22,271 72,174	444, 230 150, 471 32, 750	112 49,553	124,515 109,946 168,258	22,421 23,534 4,020	2,288 43,921 46,441	4,295 198,213	249,916 550	11,487 11,153 2,397	61 33,582 5,116		1,965,847
	CTION	Milcage	3.9 3.0	3.3	2.2	5.04 5.04 5.04	1.2 4.1	80 * ()	2.6	1.7 2.7	1.9 44.	1.0	1.5 9.7 1.3	1.8 1.3	6°9	6.7	5.4 2.4	2.0 2.4		78+3
	FOR CONSTRU	1935 Public Works Funds	\$ 170,985 190,076	119,500 261,170	149.522 31,607	67,000 411,443 89,457	10,980 186,073	242,681	140,875 4,758	12,352 432,951	39,225	631,441 219,150	22,458 238,430 197,100	123,251 234,914	28,372 154,420	84,196 503.148	8,129 66,642 1,415	186.097 91.921 7.681		5.359,420
	APPROVED	1934 Public Works Funds	* 11,886 101,076			12,070 99,241	48,918 30.000	10,666	19,400 2,310	27,791	41.657 22,006		40,526	518	9.715	2,192	13,975	28,643		522,590
		Mileage	15.5 10.5	7.2 .8 1.6	1.1 16.1	.6 13.5 28.3	12.4 9.6 6.6	15.0 4.4 2.2	3.6 14.1 13.6	20.3 10.2 3.2	5. 5. 5.	5.7 1.1 19.0	11.1 10.1 12.9	8.6 4.1 6.3	10.4 17.2	6.1 24.7 13.5	2.0 12.2 1.9	6.7 7.5 2.5		399.0
	KUCTION	1935 Public Works Funds	* 250.916 161.999 373.470	1,084,144 142,521	119,931 382,267	190,428 1,286,908 1,612,780	607, 340 984, 148 385, 587	280,141 379,317	169.924 1.093.450 476.784	110.022 456,911 13.547	230,593 41,402 53,741	804.667 183,963 2,731,390	380,063 441,754 1,370,390	540.306 280.538 725.150	222,167 233.744	530.318 959,454 436.107	114,011 438,009 196,551	266.388 496.380 14.132		21,856,753
	UNDER CONST	1934 Public Works Furtds	* 282,674 129,322 100,471	356.840 11,229	266,401	1.071.535	211.365 356.559	896,731 45,122 145,122	2, 328, 972 310, 450 515, 071	511,031 712,054 35,858	26,150	10, 297 392, 401	215,174 146,664 93,352	192,161 37,135 161,049	121,504 100,515	165,136 686,110 129,130	245,204	200,578 53,4457 22,068		12,264,406
		Estimated Total Cost	* 533,590 322,5556 475,4446	2,806,815 11,229 193,692	119.931 671.956	2,269,612	874.586 999.451 765.528	1,218,912 430,204 1,293,376	2,498,896 1,416,400 1,087,338	692,091 1,190.302 1,90,405	230,593 67,552 53,951	1,053,511 183,963 3,279,225	613,237 191,418 1,589,580	751.335 354.145 963.465	349,221 334,259	695,454 1.821.755 645.051	119.530 739.044 196.551	466.966 549.837 36.783		37.759.496
		Mileage	58.6 15.1 19.3	68.8 40.0 10.2	9.2 21.8 76.6	21.3 66.3 74.8	69.8 47.5 39.0	23.5 18.9 3.6	16.3 43.7 119.8	40.6 55.6	46.5 10.8 18.7	23.1 41.9 67.3	99.8 61.4 70.2	50.3 38.2 79.1	40.6 140.6 142.4	30.0 136.1 20.8	16.6 35.0 15.6	18.6 65.5 23.5	6.6	2,129.0
	121	1935 Public Works Funds	\$ 178.344 86.475 255.906	901.933 170.641 9.362	92,040 146,700 170,784	29,138 8,850 257,159	427.495 437.821 213.691	147.468 98.154	98,359 360,400 512,534	129,121 29,290 58,596	618.581 56.854 173.847	108,606 249,529 617,300	750.063 142.623 490.826	323,001 498,190 1,033,256	141.760 53.000 119.079	298.739 297.191 97.066	114,046 417,818 547,931	28,109 787,4442 2,784	181,051	12,968,953
A JUNEOU	TAMON	1934 Public Works Funds	\$2,063,926 622,804 1,736,222	3.849.724 1.670.293 802.407	1,4460,282 1,4413,800 2,239,781	1.150.878 6.283.943 3.475.607	2,403,068 2,473,483 1,509,834	793,037 910,266 1423,149	2,649,581 3,148,517 3,129,589	1.161.617 3.156.976 1.047.354	1,915,583 473,788 668,776	2,983,109 1,564,212 7,695,002	2,142,978 1,240,388 4,238,314	2.109.752 1.1445.150 4.630,497	508,370 1,233,572 1,204,141	1,958,019 5,704,645 649,146	489,021 1,678,448 1,974,863	1,112,989 2,509,104 1,098,148	946,445	100,780,598
		Total Cost	\$ 2.260,951 738,883 2,094,157	5,438,684 1,937,260 838,564	560,829 1,887,692 2,444,140	1,225,489 6,599,641 3,901,542	2.964.641 3.068.016 1.788.387	950.473 1.013.995 429.154	2,822,356 3,696,148 3,710,274	1.309.979 3.286.776 1.148.381	2,570,127 539,805 845,980	3,252,404 1,824,671 8,793,499	2,914,240 1,390,751 5,229,990	2.527.800 2.004,562 5.883,608	651.165 1.298.762 1.323.717	2,285,089 6,133,580 812,143	681,403 2,335,087 2,543,866	1,178,195 3,374,982 1,104,126	1,127,496	118.743.460
UNIT OF THE OF T	CTNITIN	Act of June 18, 1934 (1935 Fund)	\$1,064,960 289,673 857,025	2,219,360 190,000 426,500	230,849 501,200 1,278,373	321,126 2,230,350 2,248,858	1,280,000 1,432,949 956,599	7444.5560 484.379 452.515	847,600 1,613,142 1,421,494	354,022 919,152 113,092	991,091 100,000 242,465	1.809.500 529.506 3,961.690	1,210,236 734,741 2,359,504	1,171,295 778,728 2,397,703	285,760 1488,000 761,911	1,121,789 1,795,000 533,173	240,611 956,021 776,603	570,085 1.379,513 29,416	181,051	47,885,170
	ALLON TO	Sec. 204 of the Act of June 16, 1933 (1934 Fund)	\$ 2.389.928 756.928 1.964.534	4,213,986 1.718,633 802,407	1,459,648 2,724,620	1.197.829 7.381.910 4.287.050	2.614,472 2.522,401 1.927,828	1,708,577 960,426 891,132	5,007,199 3,500,637 3,719,143	1.744.669 4.019.501 1.115.962	1.957.240 500.051 740.335	3.117.921 1.674.158 8.255.661	2, 380, 573 1, 451, 112 4, 335, 686	2,304,200 1,526,724 4,837,988	512,665 1,364,791 1,502,870	2,123,155 6,642,863 778,826	500,509 1,948,780 1,977,260	1,342,270 2,596,143 1,125,332	946, 445	115,533,441
	Land 1 March	SIALE	Alabama Arizona Arkansas	California Colorado Connecticut	Delaware Florida Georgia	Idaho Illinois Indiana	Iowa Kansas Kentucky	Louisiana Maine Maryland	Massachusetts	Mississippi Missouri Montana	Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire	New Jersey New Mexico New York	North Carolina. North Dakota	Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania	Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota	Tennessee Texas Utah	Vermont Virginia Washington	West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming	District of Columbia Hawaii	TOTALS

			S AVAILABLE OJECTS	1935 Public Works Funds	\$ 53,194 8,628	133.575 185.099	4,198 22,562 785,691	109,044 352,361 42,346	114,955 5.006	16,186 1,757 4,38,275	82,667 20,576	33,4 5 1 7.998	62,911 66,012 12,181	182,063 15,956 80,661	5,260 172,313 359,130	145,852 115,785 24,142	2,876 6,872 486	223.710 8.551	903 58,998 1,265	101,477 117,483	135,097 207,133	4,543,684
(SQI			BALANCE OF FUNI FOR NEW PR	1934 Public Works Funds	* 46.711 8.699 33.988	3.525	2,301 23,060 82,248	27.032 8.910 38.996	707 19.513	1.303 75 5.012	13,681 41.538 64.017	28,137 1,716 52,149	3,349 17,828 287		44,211 14,332 71,592	2,586 12,317 126,923	11,769 1,090	59,912 25,209 7,675	3,520 23,097	5,338 21,471 2,590	962	953,710
(1935 FUI	ISTRUCTION E ACT OF JUNE 18, 1934 (1935 FUN		TION	Mileage	3.F 11.8	3.5	5.8	.4. 7.1	2.3 3.0	2°†	4°. 1.	17.9 14.4	8.0	1.1	96.3 9.6	-3 7.0	3.0 3.6 28.0	4.6 14.1	1 ⁴ .9	£*†	-e	269.1
ON INE 18, 1934			FOR CONSTRUC	1935 Public Works Funds	* 152.197 120,645	104,000	463,595	70,000 1456,948	5,600 65,505	74,211 176,376	34,400 70,503	197,261 155,425	16,708	170,412	370,379 92,250	29,343 115,431	70.760 120,455	121,178 1,000	137,213	77.781 3.553	75+395	3.134.524
STRUCTI E ACT OF JU		APPROVED	1934 Public Works Funds	* 21,408					3.135 9.800					102.670		50,003	3,663	59.80 4			250,483	
D CON	DS			Milcage	37.6 38.2 76.1	19 36.2 4.5	7.5 41.3 60.9	22.0 249.3 50.2	184.6 60.6 128.0	11.5 .8 13.8	20.4 78.0 48.0	38.6 307.1 21.2	51.5 23.7 4.3	1.7 2.6 223.2	82.7 30.6 64.9	50.8 10.1 157.9	7.1 156.3 126.3	28.8 135.5 36.6	1.4 52.9 11.6	31.9 49.7 63.7	1.6 4.6	3,030.7
RKS ROA UNDS) AND	EDER ROA		RUCTION	1935 Public Works Funds	* 528,122 407,341 600,076	1,418,828 292,864 222,880	18,000 641,918 439,575	177,969 3,292,473 94,122	1,132,425 1,054,808 1,018,988	604,846 13,424 318,642	837,333 1,299,892 1466,017	106,811 1,586,431 140,049	329,975 212,819 137,633	107.525 73.332 2.635.040	818.571 87.329 1,178,223	908.286 194.540 1.824.964	211.374 1.030.599 392.324	414,646 2,331,340 227,000	19.034 412,254 318,146	390.826 1,146,210 357,464	263,975 143,867	32,881,130
3LIC WO) ACT (1934 F)	TES PUBLIC WOR ECOVERY ACT (1934 FU ECOVERY ACT (1934 FU SECONDARY OR FEE JGUST 31, 1935	CCTS ON SECONDARY OR FEI OF AUGUST 31, 1935	UNDER CONST	1934 Public Works Funds	* 61.735 59.762 107.334	227,850 110,000	201,248 399,219	1,661,465 274,972	22,027 43,499 46,363	337.357 16,123	117.227 133,323	407.501 235.551 68.564	8,4443 29,000	397.122	90,285 66,752 µ9,860	147,071 19,526 854,159	249,891 69,046	253,999 18,000	98,691	257,170 219,020		7.359.155
TES PUH				Estimated Total Cost	\$589.857 483.106 709.585	1,761,849 516,993 222,880	224,924 693,466 838,794	177.969 4.953.938 369.094	1,255,840 1,098,307 1,133,012	942,203 13,424 334,765	837,333 1,417,119 681,095	514,312 1,867,235 208,613	329,975 221,262 169,438	107.525 73.332 3.380.382	908,856 154,081 1,277,466	1,164,794 252.915 2.772.178	211,374 1,318,840 461,370	668,645 2,358,413 269,854	19.034 519.211 318,146	647,996 1,552,806 357,466	263.975	41,775,760
ED STA	ECTS ON			Mileage	161.7 96.1 185.1	193.1 275.6 14.9	63.9 81.4 139.0	201.8 242.9 149.7	513.4 253.5 281.1	51.6 105.7 72.3	15.2 222.2 368.2	148.1 740.1 305.7	1441.7 208.8 29.9	-5 296.8 160.7	346.9 430.1 349.6	282.8 163.8 593.0	34.2 141.2 485.6	158.2 911.5 224.7	51.7 231.8 113.3	46.7 187.1 213.5	11.3 4.9	10,902.6
OF UNITI	SS 3PROJ	A	ED	1935 Public Works Funds	# 365.643 510.676 127.674	342,800 578,638 12,889	208,650 329,467 53,108	467,436 180,491 15,004	622,021 275,787 468,003	143.710 429.831 134.642	278,850 913,228	16,500 622,066 794,388	581,497 573,169 111,779	646.136 977.299	876,509 104,721 336,650	87.815 581.851 674,466	39,789 233,769 248,645	316,213 1,297,109 306,173	221,418 284,724 457,193	476,109 214,464	318,324	17,857,324
STATUS (CLAS		COMPLET	1934 Public Works Funds	* 1, 924, 006 530, 962 1, 286, 905	3, 249,065 1,608,632 659,120	277,564 1,279,756 1,839,506	1,094,530 4,109,658 417,905	2,390,624 2,478,902 1,772,049	1,085,083 842,403 860,196	474,504 3,025,292 2,179,075	1, 309, 031 2, 686, 006 1, 739, 224	1,953,891 1,110,208 1,148,098	55.099 1.272,129 3,211,646	2,246,077 1,267,358 3,749,696	2.154.542 1.494.881 6.430.741	447,809 1,103,131 1,432,735	1,805,582 5,969,309 1,041,002	435,360 1.555,179 1.080,673	856,050 2,190,729 1,122,742	971,729 177,718	84,704,112
JRRENT				Total Cost	* 2,309,887 1,145,732 1,425,425	4,321,313 2,638,722 697,300	1,921,821	1,739,651 4,345,064 479,826	3,101,720 2,757,547 2,383,120	1,241,210 1,393,835 1,053,153	482,233 3,543,656 3,288,661	1, 325, 531 3, 395, 026 2, 543, 443	2,558,346 1,722,864 609,099	56,528 1.918,265 4,948,637	3,127,385 1,372,712 4,338,414	2,471,681 2,276,898 7,321,815	1,337,533 1,683,466	2,202,088 7,736,424 1,627,473	785.232 1.894.027 1.573.597	900,874 2.853,674 1,355,150	1,290,053 178,209	108,300,331
CI SD BY SECTI			MENTS	Act of June 18, 1934 (1935 Fund)	* 1.064,961 971.211 857.024	1,999,203 871,502 420,868	230,849 1,043,543 1,278,373	824,450 4,282,273 151,472	1,875,000 1,330,595 1,557,503	838,953 1445,012 1,067,934	920,000 1,613,142 1,470,324	354.023 2.363.922 942,434	991.091 852.000 261.593	460,000 735,425 3,693,000	1,700,340 734,742 1,966,253	1,171,295 892,176 2,639,003	254,040 1,342,000 761,911	1.075.748 3.638.000 533.173	241, 354 893,188 776,603	570,083 1,743,354 571,928	792.791 351.000	58,416,662
AS PROVIDI			APPORTION	ec. 204 of the Act of June 16, 1933 (1934 Fund)	* 2.032,452 599,452 1,449,634	3,480,440 1.718,632 659,120	481,113 1,302,816 2,320,973	1,121,562 5,780,033 731,872	2,413,358 2,522,401 1,837,926	1,426,879 842,479 891,132	488,185 3,184,057 2,376,415	1,744,669 2,923,273 1,859,937	1.957.240 1.136.479 477.386	55,099 1,272,129 3,608,768	2,380,573 1,451,112 3,871,148	2,304,199 1,526,724 7,411,822	497,813 1,364,791 1,502,870	2,123,155 6,012,518 1,048,677	438,880 1,736,770 1,080,673	1,118,559 2,431,220 1,125,332	972,024 177,718	93,272,460
				STATE	Alabama Arizona Arkansas	California Colorado Connecticut	Delaware Florida Georgia	Idaho	Iowa Kansas Kentucky	Louisiana Maine Maryland	Massachusetts	Mississippi	Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire	New Jersey New Mexico New York.	North Carolina North Dakota	Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania	Rhode Island	Tennessee Texas Utah	Vermont Virginia Washington	West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming	District of Columbia Hawaii	TOTALS

PUBLICATIONS of the BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS

Any of the following publications may be purchased from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C. As his office is not connected with the Department and as the Department does not sell publications, please send no remittance to the United States Department of Agriculture.

ANNUAL REPORTS

- Report of the Chief of the Bureau of Public Roads, 1924. 5 cents.
- Report of the Chief of the Bureau of Public Roads, 1927. 5 cents.
- Report of the Chief of the Bureau of Public Roads, 1928. 5 cents.
- Report of the Chief of the Bureau of Public Roads, 1929-10 cents.
- Report of the Chief of the Bureau of Public Roads, 1931. 10 cents.
- Report of the Chief of the Bureau of Public Roads, 1932. 10 cents.
- Report of the Chief of the Bureau of Public Roads, 1933. Report of the Chief of the Bureau of Public Roads, 1934.

DEPARTMENT BULLETINS

No. 136D . . Highway Bonds. 20 cents.

- No. 347D . . Methods for the Determination of the Physical Properties of Road-Building Rock. 10 cents.
- No. 583D . . Reports on Experimental Convict Road Camp, Fulton County, Ga. 25 cents.
- No. 1279D . . Rural Highway Mileage, Income, and Expenditures, 1921 and 1922.

TECHNICAL BULLETINS

No. 55T . . Highway Bridge Surveys. 20 cents.

No. 265T . . Electrical Equipment on Movable Bridges. 35 cents.

MISCELLANEOUS CIRCULARS

No. 62MC . . Standards Governing Plans, Specifications, Contract Forms, and Estimates for Federal-Aid Highway Projects. 5 cents.

MISCELLANEOUS PUBLICATIONS

 No. 76MP . . The results of Physical Tests of Road-Building Rock. 25 cents.
 Federal Legislation and Regulations Relating to Highway Construction. 10 cents.
 Supplement No. 1 to Federal Legislation and Regulations Relating to Highway Construction.
 No. 191 . . . Roadside Improvement. 10 cents.

The Taxation of Motor Vehicles in 1932. 35 cents.

REPRINT FROM PUBLIC ROADS

Reports on Subgrade Soil Studies. 40 cents.

Single copies of the following publications may be obtained from the Bureau of Public Roads upon request. They cannot be purchased from the Superintendent of Documents.

SEPARATE REPRINT FROM THE YEARBOOK

No. 1036Y . . Road Work on Farm Outlets Needs Skill and Right Equipment.

TRANSPORTATION SURVEY REPORTS

- Report of a Survey of Transportation on the State Highway System of Ohio (1927).
- Report of a Survey of Transportation on the State Highways of Vermont (1927).
- Report of a Survey of Transportation on the State Highways of New Hampshire (1927).
- Report of a Plan of Highway Improvement in the Regional Area of Cleveland, Ohio (1928).
- Report of a Survey of Transportation on the State Highways of Pennsylvania (1928).
- Report of a Survey of Traffic on the Federal-Aid Highway Systems of Eleven Western States (1930).

A complete list of the publications of the Bureau of Public Roads, classified according to subject and including the more important articles in PUBLIC ROADS, may be obtained upon request addressed to the U. S. Bureau of Public Roads, Willard Building, Washington, D. C.

BALANCE OF FUNDS AVAILABLI FOR NEW PROJECTS 383, 534 19, 694 251, 320 365,100 875,510 1425,674 361,104 330,163 9.522 .927,179 1,249,487 81,539 507,803 11.954 456,975 1.047,693 966,605 477,195 155,202 482,951 153,276 388,710 380,055 900.930 117.852 143.578 147,003 140,677 1221,250 290,973 176.537 75.497 51.566 794.303 127.974 646.061 541.551 294.888 35.271 9.169 161.652 50.2444 457,116 144,217 9,500 135,097 19.049.858 1935 Public Wor Funds 1934 Public Works Funds 12,306 146,114 6,199 3.047 73.688 447.386 81,969 53,533 157,5448 8,878 8,878 107,309 9, 447 22, 699 95, 225 42, 389 67, 946 164, 966 141.037 152.187 94.813 6.592 141.016 149.840 158.615 151.520 395.126 349,733 116,887 89,603 101,434 101,434 181,930 4, 295 54, 058 200, 326 26.774 67,262 2.397 \$ 80,726 24,328 86,107 116,756 276,919 16,011 12.541 59.731 12.208 37.497 4,520,505 AS PROVIDED BY SECTION 204 OF THE NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL RECOVERY ACT (1934 FUNDS) AND BY THE ACT OF JUNE 18, 1934 (1935 FUNDS) 32.2 14.7 10.0 3.0 1.94 Milcage 19.2 15.0 1.8 1.6 0.04 0.04 2003 6.5 4.1 6.6 2.6 4.0 H 11.7 24.5 3.6 1.4 559.0 APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION 1,185,465 274,271 288,100 79,034 1935 Public Works Funds \$ 533. HHO 234.703 261,170 375.927 51.025 19.300 37.546 256.578 420,050 .099,870 6,374 55,933 223.150 245,816 860,798 480,911 299,184 1,000 164,454 302.791 352.264 388,819 887,274 117,000 12,436 249,346 8,093 339.922 166.312 77.078 75.395 346,622 316,892 176.376 812,020 12,712,051 CURRENT STATUS OF UNITED STATES PUBLIC WORKS ROAD CONSTRUCTION 30,000 32,310 51.379 93,868 23,000 104,450 214,377 1,500 54.742 33.476 41.657 17.734 22.006 518 2,126 50.004 30.995 19.729 37.998 4.042 35,626 20,973 177.192 9,800 1.509.201 1934 Public Works Funds \$11,886 122,484 6.394 205.0 410.5 75.7 44.3 324.9 230.0 52.0 52.0 167.9 13.3 51.5 261.8 86.8 35.2 41.9 130.8 115.6 112.9 7.3 17.6 37.4 212.7 119.8 129.0 109.8 57.7 205.2 12.2 193.3 298.8 76.2 480.9 78.3 11.6 126.0 26.8 49.4 120.0 215.2 16.9 386.4 Mileage 26,034 980,830 1.245.333 1.245.391 1.915,425 5.227.872 528.910 920.127 765.804 6.449.892 4.062.871 2.798.055 3.465.387 2.331.928 1.932.052 615.066 148.312 1.897.840 5.040.903 1.281.301 1.801.386 4.148,481 888,081 1,828,469 590,542 288,810 1.476.203 690.305 8.144.382 1.988.646 230.726 4.838.946 2.549.910 1.341.192 4.666.399 1.578,001 1.406,702 1.894.432 7.174.011 996.657 340.196 1.734.079 1.564.853 985, 236 730, 687 201, 337 263,975 1935 Public Works Funds 103,468,611 UNDER CONSTRUCTION SUMMARY OF CLASSES 1, 2, AND 649,555 204,122 798,060 705.918 205.207 59.479 251.592 .552.990 282, 392 75,029 615,163 1,468,309 50,301 1,287,956 2.381.659 1.076.127 895.970 1,722,886 21,644 238,856 29,000 .357,148 905, 732 248, 205 368, 763 679.013 159.216 .151.500 433.870 556.371 925,409 166.130 514,341 198,743 1934 Public Works Funds 787,038 195 080 089 681,972 32,910,568 1699. AS OF AUGUST 31, 1935 Lost Total 263.975 \$ 2.773.252 1.589.137 2.871.447 8.034.462 864.165 1.087.518 237.738 1.117.992 2.885.379 11,002,882 5,630,517 3.335.308 3.643.512 3.167.747 3.595,666 671,146 2.561,662 4.331.320 6.150.594 2.396.224 3.852.353 6.155.213 1.112.700 2,156,462 890,943 322,820 2,598,562 690,305 12,776,387 2.981.834 518,354 5.558,150 3,561,248 1,643,033 6,032,899 2.055.771 1.950.754 2,547,204 8,484,297 1,386,222 2,462,565 1,890,273 1,487,935 3,623,391 1,391,171 149,046,638 71.3 525.3 1,510.0 1.014.4 947.9 906.6 611.3 69.5 1.115.9 1.778.7 637.0 684.1 407.8 851.9 402.0 2,262.1 520.4 608.3 192.9 642.8 596.0 56.0 121.8 250.3 585.1 354.6 957-7 956-1 612-8 12.8 430.2 127.5 466.9 276.0 155.8 498.2 821.4 17.9 Milcage 160.2 181.8 94.7 65.9 1732.5 27.958.4 138.352 2.123.420 2.314.329 \$877.139 1.278.692 1.022.424 2,937,403 2,937,403 22,251 750.358 ,007,912 410,534 326,147 1.939.902 1.594.743 938.832 384.825 1,086,998 258,191 203,147 1,042,025 3.557,413 870,844 870,844 1,903,424 1,633,467 629,086 2.149.505 799.750 1.578.550 1.358.892 1.600.419 3.976.984 384,096 501,451 908,622 1,478,189 5,935,080 983,763 586.207 ..620.310 ..483.222 198,061 900,621 999,797 275.99⁴ 084°64L 1935 Public Works Funds t' COMPLETED 1.944.409 4.940.242 5.249.456 4,404,280 12.952.177 8.189.125 5,246,743 10,305,233 7,095,240 8,162,377 5,224,979 15,024,726 1934 Public Works Funds *7.627.970 4.983.509 5.741.684 14,889,130 6,638,538 2,859,541 1,610,834 5,098,667 8,385,814 9.772.522 9.956.779 6.764.887 4,296,094 3,296,917 2,171,546 4.173.052 11.572.754 9.563.323 7,759,068 4,248,311 1,808,993 5,400,386 5,547,547 20,554,827 8.527.239 5.845.729 17.555.448 7.802.379 1.836.757 6.711.020 5.914.727 3.926.871 9.086.770 4.326.029 1,918,173 355.059.706 4,891,578 13,406,776 13,580,163 10.802.460 6.006.692 2.536.695 21,545,339 10,354,789 3,128,771 2,403,909 7,411,112 10,006,216 5.734.715 13.778.209 8.868.938 12,360,109 11,843,733 8,166,698 5.074.680 4.541.201 2.495.568 8,523,210 11,977,662 10,514,145 5,892,469 7,836,924 26,631,292 11.444,798 6.617.353 17.946,600 10.376.013 8.125.503 22.448.162 2.417.548 \$11.630.670 7.095.833 7.563.768 2.415.367 5.519.131 6.667.034 10,082,325 28,156,257 5,612,562 2.739.062 9.010.808 7.480.683 4,558,280 11,485,930 5,511,139 456.723.827 Cost Total 3.350.474 6.452.568 5.425.551 4,259,842 2,641,935 3,428,049 7,932,206 3,466,006 1,454,868 ..277.486 .921.401 .088.963 5.118.361 5.117.675 3.818.311 2.963.932 1.711.586 1.810.058 3,540,227 6,173,740 3,769,734 3,964,364 2,302,356 969,462 3,220,879 2,941,700 11,327,921 4,840,941 2,938,967 7,865,012 4,685,180 3,097,814 9,590,788 1.014.572 2.770.954 3.047.643 4,302,991 12,291,253 2,132,691 948,007 3,765,387 3,106,412 .280.335 941.837 973.842 557 200,000,000 Act of June 18, 1934 (1935 Fund) 923. 2.661. 5.113. APPORTIONMENTS Sec. 204 of the Act of June 16, 1933 (1934 Fund) 15.607.354 6.874.530 2.865.740 10.055.660 10.089.604 7.517.359 8.370.133 5.211.960 6.748.335 1,819,088 5,231,834 10,091,185 4,486,249 17,570,770 10,037,843 5,828,591 3,369,917 3,564,527 6.597,100 12.736.227 10.656.569 6.978.675 12.180.306 7.439.748 7.828,961 4.545,917 1.909.839 6.346.039 5.792.935 22.330.101 9.522,293 5,804,448 15,484,592 9,216,798 6,106,896 18,891,004 1,998,708 5,459,165 6,011,479 8,492,619 24,244,024 4,194,708 1.867.573 7.416.757 6.115.867 4.474.234 9.724.881 4.501.327 1,918,469 394,000,000 District of Columbia. Hawaii Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota STATE Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania New Jersey New Mexico New York West Virginia TOTALS Mississippi. Missouri Montana... Wisconsin California Colorado Connectic Delaware Florida Georgia Louisiana Maine Maryland Tennessee. Texas Utah Kansas Kentucky Alabama. Arizona. Arkansas. Illinois. daho lowa.



