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# THE LOS ANGELES ABRASION MACHINE FOR DETERMINING THE QUALITY OF COARSE AGGREGATE 

Reported by D. O. WOOLF. Associate Materials Engineer and, D. G. RUNNER,
URING the past few years there has been a tend-

Dine rather critically a number of the time-honored tests for road materials with a view to ascertaining the accuracy with which they measure the ability of materials to meet present-day service requirements.
Two such tests, the standard Deval abrasion test, ${ }^{1}$ and the standard toughness test, ${ }^{2}$ have been used for many years to determine the quality of ledge rock. The Deval test has been modified by the American Society for Testing Materials to serve as a test for gravel as well as for ledge rock. This modified Deval test for use in testing graded samples of rounded gravel was adopted tentatively in $1928 .^{3}$ The American Association of State Highway Officials has also modified the original Deval test for the purpose of testing light-weight materials such as slag. ${ }^{4}$

## DEVAL TEST UNSATISFACTORY IN SEVERAL RESPECTS

These modifications, while necessary in order to adapt the Deval test to such materials as gravel and slag, also made necessary the use of entirely different test limits for the various materials even when they were intended for the same service. An illustration of this trend is found in the current specification of one of the State highway departments. For grade A coarse aggregate for concrete that department allows a maximum wear of 6 percent for limestone, 15 percent for blast-furnace slag, and 12 percent for rounded gravel. These requirements are intended to result in the use of aggregates of comparable quality. The differences are necessary because the modified tests give results differing from those given by the standard Deval test on ledge rock of comparable quality. This situation is unfortunate because of the apparent inconsistency which results from the use of different test limits for materials that are to meet the same service requirements.
Criticism is frequently made of the comparatively small range in values given by the Deval test for rock of the quality ordinarily used in road construction. The Deval test is essentially an abrasion test rather than an impact test; for this reason certain types of materials that are very low in toughness, even though they are quite hard, will show relatively low abrasion losses in this test. Certain granitic materials fall in this class. Such materials are frequently reported as giving unsatisfactory results in service, even though their percentages of wear by the Deval test may be quite low.

[^0]The standard toughness test has also been subjected to considerable criticism recently, much of which has been directed at the accuracy of the test method itself. Attention has been called to variations in results reported by different laboratories on apparently identical materials. A study of the problem indicates that the trouble is caused by the flattening of the spherical end of the plunger of the testing machine through use, with the resultant tendency to give higher values. Most of the test data upon which many of the State specifications for toughness are based were obtained before the necessity for rigidly controlling this variable was appreciated; hence the condition of the testing machine has assumed considerable importance in the acceptance or rejection of materials. The condition of the testing machine is rendered all the more important by the fact that many rocks are borderline materials from the standpoint of toughness, and even a small variation in test results may mean the difference between acceptance and rejection.

The realization of these and other weaknesses in the present standard tests caused the bureau to investigate the possibilities of the so-called "Los Angeles rattler" test used by the State of California as an acceptance test for coarse aggregates.

## the los angeles abrasion test described

A number of years ago a machine for determining the abrasive resistance of aggregates was developed by the engineers of the city of Los Angeles, Calif. ${ }^{5}$ The method developed is radically different from the standard Deval abrasion test in that the test charge is caused to drop instead of to slide or roll, and also in that an abrasive charge and a sample composed of graded sizes of particles are used. A test run of 500 revolutions is used instead of the 10,000 revolutions required in the Deval test, thus greatly reducing the time required for making the test. In 1927 the California State highway laboratory began a study of the Los Angeles abrasion machine to determine its suitability for use as a substitute for the Deval machine. The machine and test method were apparently found to be satisfactory for in that year the Los Angeles test method was adopted by the State as a standard method. Some changes in the method as originally proposed were made, the latest (1930) test method being as follows: ${ }^{6}$
The machine used in the test consists of a cylindrical drum 28 inches in diameter and 20 inches in length, mounted longitudinally on a horizontal shaft, and having a shelf 4 inches wide extending from end to end on the inside.
The drum is charged with 14 cubical blocks of cast iron having rounded corners and edges and weighing a total of 5,000 grams, along with 5,000 grams of rock, which is graded as follows:

[^1]

Figure 1.-The Los Angeles Abrasion Machine Showing Cover and Abrasive Charge.
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After charging, the drum is revolved 100 revolutions and 500 revolutions at a rate of between 30 and 33 revolutions per minute. The result is reported as the percent of wear at 100 and 500 revolutions. At the present time the wear is considered that portion of the sample which, after test, will pass a 10 -mesh sieve having a clear opening of 0.065 inch (no. 12 U. S. Standard).

In its report the California Division of Highways cites certain advantages possessed by this method of testing as follows:

The Los Angeles rattler test is decidedly more suitable for determining the hardness and toughness of rock and the amount of soft material than any test or group of tests studied. Its advantages are pointed out as follows:
(a) The nature of the treatment is severe, bringing out weaknesses not shown by any one of the other tests studied.
(b) It is adapted for testing both crushed and gravel aggregates.
(c) It requires very little time for performance.
(d) It is not affected materially by changes in volume of aggregate due to specific gravity because of the size of cylinders in which the test is made.
(e) It eliminates a large amount of the personal equation which enters into some of the other tests.

A study of the test method was undertaken by the bureau to determine whether the conclusions reached by California can be applied to tests covering a wider range of materials. A Los Angeles abrasion machine was constructed according to plans furnished by the California Division of Highways. This machine is shown in figure 1. The shelf which picks up the charge is mounted on the removable cover. This cover was originally fastened on by two bolts at each end. A few tests showed that the cover sprang at the center, allowing dust to escape, and to prevent this the cover is now fastened by two heavy bars, curved to fit the drum and fitting over stud bolts projecting from the drum.

The gasket consists of four thicknesses of heavy canvas firmly sewed together.
Test samples of rock, gravel, and slag were obtained from various parts of the country, largely from commercial producers. Where possible samples of both ledge rock and crushed material were obtained. The producers were requested to furnish crushed and ledge rock of the same quality, and as far as could be observed this was done. These samples represented practically all types of rock and gravel that are used for road building. Although only three samples of slag were tested they represent the type of blast-furance slag (70 to 85 pounds per cubic foot) most widely used in highway construction.

## balls found to be most sutable as an abrasive charge

Prior to the principal series of tests, a preliminary study was made to determine the possibility of substituting balls for the cubical shot used by California. The procedure followed by California required an abrasive charge of fourteen $1 \frac{1}{2}$-inch cast-iron cubes. It was recalled that in the standardization work on the brick rattler, abrasive charges of both cubes and balls were used, and that the balls were finally adopted. ${ }^{7}$ The cast-iron balls proposed for use in the Los Angeles machine are the same as the small balls used in the brick-rattler machine, and have a nominal diameter of $17 / 8$ inches and an initial weight of about 431 grams. Since 12 new balls weigh about 5,170 grams, the stock of used balls from the brick rattler was inspected, and 12 balls were selected whose total weight was $5,000 \pm 5$ grams.

To insure representative results in the preliminary series of tests, one sample of each of the three mate-rials-rock, gravel, and slag-was selected for test and at least nine samples of each material were tested with each type of abrasive charge. Determinations of the percentage of wear were made at the end of 100 and 500 revolutions. After 100 revolutions the material was taken from the machine, sieved on a no. 12 sieve, and the particles were brushed free from adhering dust. All of the material retained on this sieve was then weighed.
The entire charge, including the dust, was replaced in the machine. The test was resumed for an additional 400 revolutions and the amount passing the no. 12 sieve was again determined. The results of these tests are shown in table 1. It will be observed that in every instance the balls caused a greater loss in abrasion than the cubes. The greater weight per unit of area of the ball, together with the delivery of impact at a single point, caused greater loss in the test than the edges and corners of the cube.

Besides producing more severe action on the test specimen, the abrasive charge of balls gives slightly more concordant results. Tests with the ball abrasive charge show an average deviation of 3.4 percent in the loss at 500 revolutions, while the corresponding average deviation for the cubes is 5.5 percent. More concordant results were obtained at 500 revolutions than at 100 .

The cubes were found to lose weight at a greater rate than the balls. This required constant adjustment of the cube abrasive charge and possibly affected the abrasive loss. The balls lost very little weight after the

[^2]Table 1.-Comparison of cubes and balls as abrasive charges in Los Angeles machine

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sample } \\ \text { no. } \end{gathered}$ | Kind of material | Abrasion loss using- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cubes |  | Balls |  |
|  |  | 100 revolutions | 500 revolutions | 100 revo- <br> lutions | 500 revolutions |
| $\begin{aligned} & 33225 \\ & 33278 \\ & 33279 \end{aligned}$ | Glag....... | Percent <br> 8.2 13.7 <br> 1. 5 | Percent 38.3 52.06.1 6. | Percent 9.717.72.0 | Percent 46. 7 59.9. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Basalt rock |  |  |  |  |

first few tests. This feature, combined with the greater abrasion of the test sample and the more concordant results obtained, shows that balls are preferable for use as the abrasive charge. Furthermore, many laboratories are equipped with the brick rattler machine and presumably have a supply of cast-iron balls of suitable size and weight.

## RESULTS OBTAINED BY THE TWO METHODS OF TESTING

After deciding to use balls as the abrasive charge, the main portion of the investigation was considered. It was desired to compare the results of the Los Angeles abrasion test with those for the Deval abrasion test and, also, to determine the effect of angularity of particle on the Los Angeles test results. To obtain these data, each sample was tested in the Deval and Los Angeles abrasion machines and toughness tests were made on all suitable samples of rock. The Deval abrasion tests on rock and slag were made in accordance with A. S. T. M. standard method D 2-33, and those on gravel in accordance with A. S. T. M. tentative method D 289-28 T. The tests with the Los Angeles machine were made according to the procedure used by California with the exception that the abrasive charge consisted of twelve $17 /$-inch cast-iron balls weighing $5,000 \pm 5$ grams. To determine the effects of shape and angularity of particles on the test results, tests were made on commercial crushed rock and hand-broken rock of cubical shape with sharp edges and corners, and also on both rounded and angular particles of gravel and rock.
In preparing the test specimens for the Los Angeles test, the samples of gravel, slag, and rock were separated into the various screen sizes and recombined as shown in table 2.

Table 2.-Gradation of Los Angeles abrasion test samples (grading A)


The results of the standard Deval and the Los Angeles abrasion tests using grading A are given in table 3. Most of the test values given are averages of three or more tests. These values have also been plotted in figure 2 together with curves showing the average relation between the standard and modified Deval tests and the results in the Los Angeles machine. The number of points which depart from the average


Figure 2.-Relation Between Results of Tests in Deval and Los Angeles Machines.
curves demonstrates that the relations are only very general and do not apply to all types of materials nor to all samples of a given type. This is due mainly to the marked difference in the amount of impact produced in the two tests. Although both tests involve both surface wear and impact, the loss in the Deval test is mainly from surface wear, while that for the Los Angeles test is primarily caused by impact. Marble, for example, has about the same wear in the Deval test as limestone or dolomite, but in the Los Angeles test marble shows a much higher loss than the tougher rocks.

Figure 2 is presented with the knowledge that no definite relation between the losses in the Deval and Los Angeles tests that will apply to all materials can be established. However, the figure will serve to show the approximate change in specification requirements if the Deval test is replaced by the Los Angeles test. For instance, it will be observed that, for an average loss of 50 percent in the Los Angeles test, the average Deval abrasion loss is about 7 percent for rock and 15 percent for gravel. This happens to be approximately the same ratio (1:2) that is used in most specifications with the idea of obtaining materials of comparable quality.

More concordant results are obtained in tests of gravel and crushed rock or slag in the Los Angeles machine than in tests by standard methods using the Deval abrasion machine. Test results in the Los Angeles abrasion machine show a mean variation from the average of 2.7 percent, while those in the Deval machine show a mean variation of 3.9 percent. The difference is not great but it is worthy of notice. It was observed that the speed of operation of the Los Angeles abrasion machine had a great effect on the loss during the test and it was found advisable to equip the motor with a speed control. Each test run was timed to insure that a constant speed of rotation had been used.

## EFFECT OF SHAPE AND ANGULARITY OF PARTICLE STUDIED

In its report on the Los Angeles abrasion machine the California Division of Highways stated that the test results are not appreciably affected by the shape or angularity of the particles. The results obtained in the tests reported here are not entirely in agreement with the above statement. To investigate this feature samples of Cheat River, Potomac River, and Delaware

Table 3.-Percentage of wear and toughness as determined by abrasion and toughness tests

| Sample no. | Location | Kind of material | Wear by- |  |  | Toughness |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Deval test | Los A ngeles test (500 revolutions) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Gravel, crushed rock, slag | Handbroken rock |  |
|  |  |  | Percent | Percent | Percent |  |
| 33262 | Pennsylvania_..- | Slag 1 | 7.8 2.0 | 34.8 18.4 | 15.9 | 16 |
| 33264 | West Virginia. | Limestone | 3.8 | 29.2 | 24.2 | 6 |
| 33265 | Ohio-.--.---.-. | Slag ${ }^{2}$ | 14.8 | 46.7 |  |  |
| 33266 | New Jersey | Gravel | ${ }^{3} 15.1$ | 49.8 |  |  |
| 33269 | Ohio | Dolomite | 5.8 | 37.0 | 30.7 | 5 |
| 33270 | South Carolina.- | Biotite granite | 2.5 | 27.7 | 21.9 | 12 |
| 33271 | W isconsin | Quartzite | 2.6 | 25.6 | 19.6 | 12 |
| 33272 | Kansas. | Argillaceous limeston | 3.5 | 30.5 | 26.4 | 6 |
| 33273 | New Jersey | Diabase. | 1.5 | 13.5 | 8.3 | 20 |
| 33274 | Illinois .- | Dolomite | 5.9 | 28.4 | 26.1 | 6 |
| 33275 | Washington | Gravel | ${ }^{4} 1.1$ | 10.4 | .-...- |  |
| 33276 | Ohio | Slag ${ }^{5}$ | 11.8 | 36.9 | ---- |  |
| 33278 | West Virginia | Gravel | +10.0 | ${ }^{6} 47.5$ |  |  |
| 33278 | .-.do | --do |  | ${ }^{7} 59.3$ |  |  |
| 33279 | Minnesota | Basalt- | 1.8 | 9.7 | 7.0 | 32 |
| 33992 | District of Co lumbia. | Gravel | +8.4 | ${ }^{6} 30.3$ |  |  |
| 33992 | -.-.do...- |  | 817.5 | 735.3 |  |  |
| 34165 | Kansas | Limestone | 14.4 | 43.0 |  | 3 |
| 34542 | do | Gravel. | 47.5 | 17.6 | -------- |  |
| 34543 |  | Argillaceous limesto | 12.8 | 42.9 |  | 4 |
| 34544 | Ohio | Dolomite. | 23.6 | 98.5 |  | 2 |
| 34545 | . do | Gravel. | ${ }^{4} 13.8$ | 39.3 |  |  |
| 34571 | do | Dolomit | 8.2 | 49.2 | ----- | 4 |
| 34572 | do | Gravel | 47.2 | 27.3 | ----- |  |
| 34671 | Georgia | Marble | 6.2 | 58.3 | ---- | 3 |
| 34672 | - do | --do. | 5.4 | 50.4 | ---- | 4 |
| 34673 | do | do | 12.8 | 72.2 | ------ | 3 |
| 34674 | do | do | 12.0 | 74.4 | ------ | 3 |
| 34675 | do | Dolomitic marble | 7.2 | 45.5 | ---- | 3 |
| 34676 | do | -_do | 5.0 | 39.2 |  |  |
| 34685 | Florida | Limestone | 6.7 | 35.6 |  |  |
| 34700 | Virginia | Gravel. | ${ }^{4} 13.7$ | 45.1 | ---- |  |
| 34701 | Maryland | -do | ${ }^{4} 18.6$ | 53.7 | ---- | ..- |
| 34704 | Georgia | Micaceous granite | 4.8 | 67.4 |  |  |
| 34713 | Virginia. | Gravel.-. | 413.0 | 43.8 |  |  |
| 34714 | New York | -_do | 47.6 | 42.1 |  |  |
| 34715 | West Virginia. | Argillaceous limeston | 4.0 | 23.4 | ---- |  |
| 34717 | Ohio | Limestone. | 4.5 | 22.0 |  |  |
| 34722 | do | Dolomite. | 8.6 | 37.3 |  |  |
| 34723 |  | Argillaceous dolomite | 3.7 | 24.4 |  |  |
| 34724 | Illinois | Gravel | ${ }^{4} 5.3$ | 33.2 |  |  |
| 34732 | Virginia | Dolomite. | 3.9 | 21.5 |  |  |
| 34733 | Ohio | Limestone . | 8.2 | 62.8 |  |  |
| 34734 | -...do | Argillaceous dolomit | 3.9 | 20.8 |  |  |
| 34749 | Pennsylvania | Gravel | ${ }^{4} 5.5$ | 629.3 | - |  |
| 34750 | ....do | do | ${ }^{8} 15.2$ | ${ }^{7} 34.6$ |  |  |
| 34756 | Massachusetts | do | 417.9 | 46.8 |  |  |

${ }_{1}$ Weight per cubic foot, 80.7 pounds.
2 Weight per cubic foot, 72.2 pounds.
${ }^{3}$ Grading B, rounded particles only. (See A. S. T. M. Tentative Method D289-28T.)
${ }^{4}$ Grading A, rounded particles only
${ }^{5}$ Weight per cubic foot, 77.0 pounds.

- Rounded particles only.

8 Grading A, angular particles only.
River gravels were carefully hand-picked, and the rounded and angular particles were separated. Tests were made in the Los Angeles abrasion machine with both kinds of particles, and the results obtained are given in table 4.

In the preparation of the Cheat River and Delaware River gravels for use as concrete aggregate the oversize material was crushed. It is quite possible that the majority of the angular particles used in the tests came from this oversize material. Visual inspection, however, failed to show any marked difference in quality between the rounded and angular fragments. The Potomac River gravel sample did not contain crushed material. The angular particles obtained from this material were distributed throughout the entire range of sizes, and had the same petrographic analysis as the rounded particles. The test results for these three gravels indicate that angular particles will give a somewhat higher loss than rounded particles of the same quality.

Table 4.-Percentages of wear on rounded and angular gravel tested in the Los Angeles machine ${ }^{1}$

| Sam- <br> ple no. | Material |  | Wear on- <br> Loss of <br> angular <br> particles <br> expressed <br> as a per- |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| centage of |  |  |  |
| loss of |  |  |  |
| rounded |  |  |  |
| particles |  |  |  |

Results of tests on hand-broken and crushed rock shown in figure 3 also demonstrate that the shape of the particle exerts a considerable influence on the test result, and show that the partially wedge-shaped fragment of crushed rock has a loss of approximately 120 percent of that for the hand-broken fragments of cubical shape. In the tests of the three gravels, the rounded samples contained a greater proportion of particles that tended toward being spherical and offered more resistance to impact than the samples containing angular particles. It is reasonable to apply the findings from the crushed-rock and hand-broken-rock tests to these tests of gravel due to the difference between the shape of the particles of rounded and angular gravel. On this basis, a sample of angular gravel would be expected to give a loss of approximately 120 percent of that found for a sample of rounded gravel of the same quality. It will be observed that approximately this same ratio was obtained in the tests of the three samples of gravel (see table 4).

Further tests to determine the effect of shape and angularity were made on samples of angular and artifically rounded rock. One large sample of crushed rock and two samples of hand-broken rock were obtained, and a portion of each was run in the Deval abrasion machine until the sharp edges and corners had been worn off. Two test samples were then prepared from each material; one sample contained fragments with sharp edges and corners; and the other was composed of rounded fragments. Each sample was tested in the Los Angeles machine and the results obtained are shown in table 5.

The angular rock shows a slightly higher loss than the rounded rock, but in no instance is the increase in wear similar to that found in the tests of gravel or crushed and hand-broken rock. It is apparent that while the sharpness of edge and corner may have some influence on the loss in the Los Angeles test, the shape of the particle exerts a much greater effect and for most purposes the sharpness of edge and corner may be ignored.
Samples of aggregates that contain large percentages of flat or elongated fragments will show a much higher percentage of wear than materials of equal hardness in which the fragments tend more toward cubical shape. This difference between fragments of different shape indicates that the desire of highway engineers to limit the percentage of flat and elongated particles in aggregates is justified. The relatively small effect of sharpness of edge and corner will permit the use of a single specification limit for crushed stone and for either rounded or angular gravel.


Figure 3.-Relation Between Test Results on HandBroken Rock (Cubical Shape) and Crushed Rock (Partially Wedge Shaped) in the Los Angeles Machine.

Table 5.-Percentages of wear on rounded and angular rock tested in the Los Angeles machine

| Samplenumber | Material | Wear on-1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rounded particles | Angular particles |  |
| ${ }_{34631}^{3449}$ | Crushed limestone Hand-broken sandstone and broten limesto | $\begin{aligned} \text { Percent } \\ 31.4 \\ 62.8 \\ 22.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & 33.4 \\ & 64.4 \\ & 23.9 \end{aligned}$ | 106 |
|  |  |  |  | 107 |

${ }^{1}$ Each value is the average of 3 tests.
COMPARISON OF LOSSES AT 100 AND 500 REVOLUTIONS WILL INDICATE PRESENCE OF SOFT ROCK
The method of test used by California requires the determination of the percentage of wear after both 100 and 500 revolutions of the Los Angeles abrasion machine. The determination after 100 revolutions is expected to be useful in determining if soft particles are present in the material. In this connection it was desired to determine if a sample of uniform composition shows a straight-line relation between loss and number of revolutions. Table 1 shows the results of preliminary tests made with cast-iron balls. Figure 4 presents the relation between the length of test and the percentage of loss. It will be seen that for materials 1 and 2 the percentage of loss varies directly with the number of revolutions. Material 3, however, is of nonuniform hardness since the percentage of loss at 100 revolutions is proportionately greater than that at 500 revolutions.

Results of tests to determine the effect of known amounts of soft rock in the sample are shown in table 6 and figures 5 and 6. A hard limestone of uniform composition was used as the base material, and soft rock of varying amounts and sizes was added to it to determine the effect on percentage of wear.

In the first series of tests the amount of soft rock in each sample was held constant at 10 percent of the total weight of the sample while the size of the fragments of soft rock was varied. Tests were made with the soft rock contained entirely in each separate size and also


Figure 4.-Relation Between Length of Test and Percentage of Wear, Showing Effect of Soft Particles in Material.
with the soft rock distributed in size from $1 \frac{1}{2}$ to $1 / 2$ inch in the same proportions as is specified for the total sample. Determinations of the percentage of wear were made at 100 and 500 revolutions. As shown in figure 5 , the loss at 100 revolutions is affected slightly by the size of the soft rock fragments, the loss increasing

Table 6.-Percentages of wear for different sizes and amounts of hard and soft rock

FIRST SERIES

| Composition of sample |  | Classification of soft rock |  | Wear at- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hard rock | Soft rock | Type | Size | 100 revolutions | 500 revolutions |
| Percent | Percent |  | Inches | Percent | Percent |
| 90 | 10 | Dolomite | $11 / 2-11 / 4$ | 11.2 | 40.3 |
| 90 | 10 | -.-.do | $11 / 4$ | 12.1 | 40.2 |
| 90 | 10 | ... do | $1-3 / 4$ | 13. 3 | 40.2 |
| 90 | 10 | -.do | 3/4-1/2 | 13.9 | 40.1 |
| 90 | 10 | do | 11/2-1/2 | 13.0 | 39.9 |
| 90 | 10 | Sandstone | 11/2-11/4 | 10.1 | 39.1 |
| 90 | 10 | -.-.-do... | $11 / 4-1$ | 10.9 | 38.9 |
| 90 | 10 | -----do | $1-3 / 4$ | 11.3 | 39.0 |
| 90 | 10 | do | 3/4-1/2 | 13.1 | 38.8 |
| 90 | 10 | do | 11/2-1/2 | 11.4 | 39.4 |

SECOND SERIES

| 100 | 0 | None |  | 8.0 | 35. 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 95 | 5 | Dolomite | 11/2-1/2 | 10.7 | 37.2 |
| 90 | 10 | -.-.-do. | 11/2-1/2 | 13.0 | 39.9 |
| 80 | 20 | ..-. do | 11/2-1/2 | 18.0 | 45. 0 |
| 0 | 100 | - do | 11/2-1/2 | 44.0 | 98.5 |
| 95 | 5 | Sandstone | $11 / 2-1 / 2$ | 9.5 | 36.9 |
| 90 | 10 | ----do | $11 / 2-1 / 2$ | 11.4 | 39.4 |
| 80 | 20 | do. | 11/2-1/2 | 14.6 | 45. 0 |
| 0 | 100 | do | 11/2-1/2 | 33.6 | 94.7 |
| 95 | 5 | Limestone | 11/2-1/2 | 8.0 | 35.4 |
| 90 | 10 | .-.-do.. | $11 / 2{ }^{2} / 2$ | 8.6 | 37.0 |
| 80 | 20 | -.-.-do | 11/2-1/2 | 9. 0 | 38.9 |
| 0 | 100 | do | 11/2-1/2 | 12.3 | 55.4 |



SIZE OF SOFT ROCK - INCHES
Figure 5.-Effect of Size of Soft Rock Fragments; Each Sample Contained 10 Percent (by Weight) of Soft Rock.
with reduction in size. At 500 revolutions, however, the size of the soft fragments has no apparent effect on the percentage of wear.

In the second series of tests the soft rock was distributed in all sizes of each sample in proportion to the amount of each size in the total sample. Soft rock amounting to 5,10 , and 20 percent of the total weight of the sample was used. The results are shown in figure 6. Tests were also made on samples composed entirely of hard or soft rock. The hard-rock sample gave a practically straight-line relation between percentage of wear and number of revolutions. With the addition of soft rock, the loss at 100 revolutions was more than one-fifth of the loss at 500 revolutions, and the curve assumed a characteristic hump denoting a material of nonuniform hardness. It is of interest to note that the actual loss under test of a mixture of hard and soft rock agrees fairly well with a weighted loss computed from the percentages of wear for the separate materials.
It does not appear possible to determine the percentage of soft rock in a test sample entirely by inspection of the results of the 100 - and 500 -revolution tests. Figure 6 shows that the difference in slope of the lines from zero to the 100 -revolution point, and between the 100 - and 500 -revolution points, may be used to indicate the relative effect of soft rock in the test sample. This difference in slope increases with increase in the amount of soft rock present. It is
possible to determine if the sample under test contains soft rock, but whether the adulterating material consists of a small amount of very soft rock or a large amount of moderately soft rock cannot be determined by the present method of test. The test results give a general indication of the uniformity of the sample and in certain cases this may be of considerable interest. It is possible that some difference may be found by determinations of the loss at some point of the test other than at 100 and 500 revolutions, or that a complete mechanical analysis of the sample after testing will show the character of the adulterating material more clearly.

## COMPARISON OF TEST RESULTS WITH SERVICE RECORDS SHOWS advantages of los angeles test method

During the winter of 1933 a number of research organizations studied the problem of devising a satisfactory method of determining the quality of crushed material proposed for use in road surfacing. Reports from a number of different sources stated that the results of the Deval abrasion test bore no relation to the service record of materials used in surface treatment or other types of low-cost road construction. The extensive use of these types of construction necessitated the development of a test that would indicate the suitability of materials for use in this work. One proposed test was to determine the resistance of crushed and graded material to the crushing action of


Figure 6.-Relation Between Length of Test and Percentage of Wear, Showing Effect of Varying Amounts of Soft Rock.
a heavy roller in passing over a thin layer of the material. ${ }^{8}$ Although excellent results were obtained by the roller test, the labor and time required rendered it unsuitable as an acceptance test and it was suggested that possibly the less-involved Los Angeles abrasion test could be used to derive information of equal value.
It was realized that in order to cover the range in size of materials used in surface-treatment work provision should be made for testing aggregate having a maximum size of about $3 / 4 \mathrm{inch}$. In other types of lowcost road improvement the aggregate has a maximum size of about $1 / 1 / 2$ inches, and it was decided to test samples having gradings suitable for each of these classes of work. In preparing these samples, sieves were used rather than screens since it is the general practice to use square openings in the analysis of this class of materials.
After considerable experimenting, two gradings for the Los Angeles abrasion test were adopted that were believed to be suitable for testing practically any size of material used in bituminous or concrete pavements. It was found that if the amount of abrasive charge for the smaller grading was made slightly less than that for the larger grading, the loss for both gradings would be approximately the same. This permits the establishment of a single specification limit for a material irrespective of the grading used in the test. The grad-

[^3]Table 7.-Composition of sample for Los Angeles abrasion test GRADING OF MATERIAL

| Size of square opening | Grading B | Grading D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $11 / 2$ to 1 inch | Grams $1,250$ | Grams |
| 1 to $3 / 4$ inch | 1,250 | 0 |
| $3 / 4$ to $1 / 2$ inch | 1,250 | 2,500 |
| 1/2 to $3 / 8$ inch. | 1,250 | 2,500 |
| Total. | 5,000 | 5,000 |

ABRASIVE CHARGE

ings and abrasive charges finally adopted are given in table 7.
Some concern was expressed as to the possibility that with the change from the previously used grading A (round openings) to gradings B and D , the relations established for grading A could not be applied to the other gradings. However, it was found that the losses for grading B were so nearly the same as those for grading A that for all practical purposes the established data could be applied to test results for grading B.

In order to obtain definite information regarding the significance of the Los Angeles abrasion test in terms of service behavior, samples of crushed rock, gravel, and slag that had been used in surface-treatment of roads were obtained from a number of State highway depart-


SAMPLE NUMBER
Figure 7.-Comparison of Los Angeles and Deval Abrasion Test Results with Service Record.
ments. Tests of these materials were made in the Los Angeles abrasion machine using gradings A, or when possible using gradings B and D. In the majority of instances, at least three tests were made with each grading. The results of these tests are shown in table 8. In figure 7 a very close agreement is shown between the average results of the Los Angeles abrasion test and the service records of the materials. With the exception of one sample, all materials that were found to be of satisfactory quality in service show losses in the Los Angeles abrasion test of 40 percent or less. Materials of questionable suitability show losses between 40 and 50 percent, and, with one exception, materials that had been found to be unsuitable for use had losses of over 50 percent.

No relation was found between the service record and the loss in the Deval abrasion test. Of 10 samples of rock reported as questionable or unsatisfactory, 8 had percentages of wear of 6 or less and would be considered suitable for use under many present specifications. The one sample of gravel that was reported as questionable had a Deval loss of 12.4 percent and would also probably be accepted for use on the basis of present
standard methods of testing coarse aggregates. The great difference in the suitability of the Los Angeles and Deval tests in showing clearly the quality of coarse aggregate is well illustrated in figure 7. As an example, samples 40279 and 40281 had almost the same percentage of wear in the Deval test but in the Los Angeles test the latter material had over twice the loss of the former.

These comparisons between losses in the Los Angeles abrasion test and service records were made on materials from 44 different sources. It is believed that the remarkable concordance of the results justifies the tentative establishment of a loss in the Los Angeles abrasion test of 40 percent as an acceptable limit for material that will prove satisfactory for use in surfacetreatment work.
The tested samples of both gradings $B$ and $D$ had nearly equal percentages of wear. As shown in figure 8, in only five samples does the loss for one grading differ by more than 3 percent from that for the other. For 3 of these 5 samples, the loss for grading D is the greater, and it is believed that in the crushing operations the softer rock was reduced in size to a greater extent than

Table 8.-Comparison of Los Angeles and Deval abrasion test resulls with service behavior of materials

the harder rock. This would account for the greater loss of the finer grading. The other two samples, a biotite gneiss and an argillaceous limestone, contained an appreciable percentage of flat fragments. The excessive loss for grading B is attributed to these flat fragments that are found to a greater extent in the larger sizes of the sample. For all samples tested, the average difference between the losses for gradings B and D is only 1.9 percent. It is believed that either grading may be used in acceptance or control tests of coarse aggregates.

## CONCLUSIONS

The results of this investigation demonstrate that the Los Angeles abrasion machine is superior to the present standard Deval machine in the following respects:

1. Los Angeles abrasion tests can be made much more rapidly and are more accurate than Deval abrasion tests.
2. Both round and angular particles may be tested with very little difference in percentage of wear due to the degree of angularity.


Figure 8.-Relation Between Losses of Gradings B and D by Los Angeles Abrasion Test.
3. The Los Angeles abrasion test result is greatly affected by the shape of the particles. Thus the presence of flat or elongated fragments in a sample increases the loss in the Los Angeles test, while in the Deval test these possibly objectionable particles might have little effect on the percentage of wear.
4. The presence of soft or friable rock can be detected with the Los Angeles test but not with the Deval abrasion test.
5. A definite relation seems to exist between the loss in the Los Angeles abrasion test and the service record of materials used in surface treatment of roads. Based on the results available to date, materials having a loss in the Los Angeles abrasion test of 40 percent or less may be expected to furnish satisfactory results when used in surface treatments.
6. Differences in the volume of different test samples due to differences in specific gravity need not be considered due to the relatively large capacity of the Los Angeles abrasion machine.
7. Dust produced in the test does not affect the result as it does in the Deval test.
8. The Los Angeles test is made on material as prepared for use on the project, while the Deval test for rock requires the use of ledge rock that may not represent the material actually used. The two gradings, B and D, proposed for use in the Los Angeles abrasion test, furnish practically the same result, and specification tests may be made using the grading which can most readily be prepared from the material submitted for test.
9. The effect of personal equation in the preparation of the test sample is largely eliminated in the Los Angeles test method.

A disadvantage of the Los Angeles test is that no provision is made for testing ledge rock taken from undeveloped quarries. However, as shown in figure 3, a fairly definite relation exists between samples of crushed and hand-broken rock, and tests could be made on the ledge rock provided the result is corrected to agree with those for the crushed material.

# A ROLLER-TESTING MACHINE FOR MEASURING THE STABILITY OF BITUMINOUS MIXTURES 

BY THE DIVISION OF TESTS, U. S. BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS

Reported by E. L. TARWATER, Assistant Highway Engineer

DURING recent years numerous laboratory studies have been made of hot asphaltic paving mixtures of both the fine- and coarse-graded types. These studies have been directed primarily towards the development of laboratory tests for predetermining the actual road behavior of various combinations of mineral aggregates and asphaltic materials. The studies have been carried on by various organizations, using different kinds of apparatus and methods of testing. As a result there are now in use several types of tests that appear to be of value in the study and design of bituminous mixtures. All of these tests are designed to measure the probable stability or resistance to displacement under traffic, and a majority of them involve the measurement of resistance to shearing stresses. Two of the better-known tests are the Hubbard-Field and the Skidmore tests. ${ }^{1}$

In the Hubbard-Field test a compressed specimen 2 inches in diameter and 1 inch deep is forced through a $13 / 4$-inch circular opening. The load in pounds required to do this is designated as the stability of the specimen. Specimens are normally tested at a temperature of $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This test is used in the study and design of mixtures of the sheet asphalt type. The HubbardField testing machine is illustrated in figure 1.

The Skidmore test is used for both the fine- and coarse-graded types of mixtures, and the stability is designated as the load in pounds required to shear off the free section of a cylindrical test specimen, part of which is held in a frame or mold. This test is made by applying the load in successive increments. Specimens are tested at a temperature of $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Mixtures containing both fine and coarse aggregate are tested in this manner, the sizes of the test specimens and testing apparatus being increased for the coarse-aggregate mixtures.

Another form of shear test is the extrusion test developed by the Bureau. ${ }^{2}$ In this test specimens $2 \frac{1}{4}$ inches by 8 inches by 6 inches deep are formed with a power tamping device and, after being brought to a temperature of $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., are placed in a testing mold. A uniformly distributed load is applied to the top of the specimen, causing the mixture to extrude through openings in the bottom and ends of the mold. Stability is designated as the maximum load in pounds supported by the specimen.

The results of this test are influenced by slight variations in the composition of mixtures, and the test was thought to be well adapted to the study of resistance to displacement. However, in testing mixtures containing appreciable amounts of aggregate larger than $\frac{1}{2}$ inch, erratic results were obtained and were attributed to the arching action of the coarser particles. It seemed

[^4]impossible to eliminate this difficulty without increasing the size of the specimen to unwieldy proportions. It was realized also that the test bore little relation to the action of traffic, and it was thought desirable to develop a method of testing that would more closely simulate actual conditions of use.

## ROLLER-STABILITY MACHINE DESCRIBED

With this objective, the bureau designed and constructed a machine in which specimens 8 inches by 4 inches by $2 \frac{1}{4}$ inches deep were subjected to a rolling load causing longitudinal deformation. ${ }^{3}$ This roller machine was later rebuilt to eliminate certain objectionable features and as rebuilt was used in the work covered by this report. The machine in its present form is designed to subject the test specimen to the compressive action of smooth metal rollers which pass over it slowly and without impact. The rollers move in one direction under controlled conditions of speed, load, and temperature.

Figure 2 shows a general view of the testing machine. It consists of a rigid base, $A$, carrying the driving motor on one end and a countershaft on the other. In the center of the base there is a pair of vertical guides, $B$. Eleven hollow steel rollers, $C, 4$ inches in diameter and 3 inches long, are arranged between and at equal intervals along the peripheries of two steel disk side plates, $D$. These plates are rigidly fastened to a short horizontal shaft that rotates in bearings mounted on a frame, $E$. These parts constitute the roller assembly and this entire unit is free to move vertically between the guides, $B$. The roller assembly is driven by suitable gearing and may be lifted at will by means of a power-driven elevating mechanism at the top of the guide frame.

The total weight of the roller assembly is 4.50 pounds, all of which is normally imposed on the test specimen. It is possible, however, to reduce the pressure on the specimen by means of a suspended counterweight attached to the top of the yoke ( $E$, fig. 2). This attachment was not on the machine when the photograph was taken. The roller assembly moves at a speed of 2.1 revolutions per minute during tests.

Directly underneath the roller assembly is a rectangular steel tank or water bath, $F$, in which the test specimen is mounted. When the roller assembly is rotated there is a periodic variation of its effective radius (the distance between the surface of the test specimen and the center of the disks, $D$ ). When one of the rollers is directly below the axis of rotation of the disks this effective radius is a maximum ( 9.4 inches), and when the midpoint between two of the rollers is directly below this axis the effective radius is a minimum (9.1 inches). In the first position, one roller rests in

[^5]

Figure 1.-Hubbard-Field Stability Testing Machine.
the center of the specimen and carries all of the weight, while in the second position two rollers rest on the specimen and each carries half of the imposed weight. If the tank containing the specimen were fixed in elevation, this variation in the effective radius of the roller assembly would cause the entire mass of the assembly to be raised and lowered through a distance of about 0.3 inch 11 times per revolution. In the first machine built the tank and specimen were in a fixed position, and this motion took place and produced an undesirable impact on the test specimen.

In the rebuilt machine the impact has been eliminated by mounting the specimen bath on four hardenedsteel cams ( $G$, fig. 2), shaped so that the vertical motion imparted to the specimen by the cams exactly compensates for the changes in effective radius of the roller assembly. The cams are synchronized with the motion of the rollers through suitable gears. The effectiveness of the arrangement in preventing impact is evidenced by the absence of vertical motion of the roller assembly.

The specimen is confined in an adjustable testing mold that has one end and the top surface open as illustrated in figure 3. Upward deformation at the sides of the specimen is prevented by a section of angle iron ( $K$, fig. 4), that is clamped over the mold's edges and extends $\frac{1}{2}$ inch over the top of the sample at either side, leaving a 3 -inch open surface over which the rollers pass. Rotation of the rollers is induced as they pass over the top surface of the specimen, tending to deform it longitudinally through the open end of the mold.


Figure 2.-Roller Stability Testing Machine.
Deformation is measured with an Ames dial ( $H$, fio. 4), and a counter, $J$, records the number of roller passages over the specimen. Figure 4 shows the specimen and rollers in testing positions.

LONGITUDINAL DEFORMATION A MEASURE OF STABILITY
The resistance of a test specimen to longitudinal deformation is an indication of its stability. In this study stability was defined as the number of roller passages required to produce a deformation of 0.3 inch. This limit of deformation was adopted after a preliminary investigation showed that for movements in excess of 0.3 inch the relation between the number of roller passages and the amount of deformation became erratic. Figure 5 shows the variations in test results for comparable test specimens. The curves represent test results with three different mixtures from each of which two specimens identical in composition and density were molded. This figure shows that test results on the comparable sheet asphalt specimens, $A$ and $B$, and $C$ and $D$, were identical up to 0.3 inch deformation, and that considerable variation occurred beyond this point. For the bituminous concrete specimens, $E$ and $F$, very close agreement in test results was obtained up to 0.3 inch deformation, while beyond this point an even greater variation occurred than for the sheet-asphalt specimens.

The temperature of the test specimen was held at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during the test. The selection of this temperature was based upon a study made by the Bureau ${ }^{4}$ some years ago in which $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. was the highest temperature found within a road surface under actual field

[^6]

Figure 3.-Mold for Holding Specimens During Test in the Roller Stableity Marifine.


Figure 4.-A Specimen in Place Ready for Testing.
conditions. This temperature was recorded a number of times, indicating that it was not unusual, and it has generally been used in stability test work by other investigators. The stability values given herein consequently represent the minimum that the mixtures may be expected to possess under normal service conditions.

The power tamping device, formerly used in forming speciniens for the extrusion test and for the first roller machine, was discarded in favor of a molding machine in which the specimens are compacted by a rolling load. This machine, illustrated in figure 6, and described in Public Roads, vol. 10, no. 2, April 1929, more nearly simulates actual compaction on the road and produces specimens sufficiently uniform in density and of any workable density desired. This machine was used in forming specimens for the roller stability tests, and also


Figure 5.-Relation of Number of Roller Passages to Longitudinal Deformation.


Figure 6.-The Upper Picture Is a General View of the Molding Machine. The Lower Picture Shows a SheetAsphalt Specimen in the Molding Machine.
in forming specimens from which cores for the HubbardField test were taken.

The adequacy of any laboratory test for determining the relative stability of paving mixtures is dependent upon its ability to distinguish between mixtures of variable compositions, whether laboratory prepared specimens or sections taken from pavements that have shown different service behaviors. Hubbard and Field have demonstrated that their stability test is quite sensitive to variations in consistency and quantity of asphalt cement, kind and quantity of filler, and character and grading of the sand in sheet asphalt pavements. They have also shown, ${ }^{5}$ by tests on cores taken from pavements in use, that the stability values obtained in the laboratory are a measure of the resistance of the surfaces to displacement under traffic. The test as designed by them, or as slightly modified to utilize available laboratory equipment, is widely used as a method of measuring stability.

[^7]
## TESTS MADE ON SHEET ASPHALT AND ASPHALTIC CONCRETE mixtures

In order to determine the value of the roller stability machine, sheet asphalt mixtures of variable composition were tested for stability in both the roller-stability and the Hubbard-Field machines. The relative stabilities of bituminous-concrete mixtures of variable compositions, both prepared in the laboratory and taken from surfaces under traffic, were also determined with the roller-stability apparatus.

In this investigation the same kinds of materials were used throughout, that is, one asphalt cement, one limestone filler, and one type and grading of sand and coarse aggregate. The coarse aggregate in the bituminous concrete mixtures was a relatively soft limestone and was used for the purpose of determining whether crushing of the aggregate would occur either in molding or in testing specimens. Careful examination of the specimens showed that little or no crushing occurred. The Potomac River sand used was angular to subangular, consisting essentially of quartz, shale, and sandstone, and containing some grains of chert, schist, feldspar, mica, and clay.

The characteristics of the various materials used were as follows:

ASFHALT CEMENT

Flash point, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ 285
Penetration, $100 \mathrm{~g}, 5$ sec., $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ 50
Softening point, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Ductility, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., centimeters
$110+$
Loss on heating, $50 \mathrm{~g}, 5$ hours, $163^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., percent
Penetration of residue after loss by heating
Total bitumen, soluble in $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$, percent
.05
Organic matter insoluble in $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$, percent
99.8

Inorganic matter insoluble in $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$, percent
Total bitumen insoluble in $86^{\circ}$ B. naphtha, percent
28. 5

Specific gravity

## LIMESTONE DUST

Percentage retained on no. 200 sieve.
Percentage of voids (Bureau vibrator method)

## CRUSHED LIMESTONE

Passing $3 / 4$-inch sieve, retained on $1 / 2$-inch sieve, percent
Passing $1 / 2$-inch sieve, retained on no. 4 sieve, percent
Passing no. 4 sieve, retained on no. 8 sieve, percent
52. 5
22. 5

Specife gravity
25.0

Percentage of wear
310
Absorption, percent

## SAND

Passing no. 10 sieve, retained on no. 20 sieve, percent
7. 6

Passing no. 20 sieve, retained on no. 30 sieve, percent.-
Passing no. 30 sieve, retained on no. 40 sieve, percent...
Passing no. 40 sieve, retained on no. 50 sieve, percent --
Passing no. 50 sieve, retained on no. 80 sieve, percent .-.
Passing no. 80 sieve, retained on no. 100 sieve, percent-
Passing no. 100 sieve, retained on no. 200 sieve, percent_
Passing no. 200 sieve, percent.
Specific gravity
7. 2
11. 2
17. 0
25. 6
8. 8


## PREPARATION OF SPECIMENS AND METHODS OF TESTING

The proportions of the mixtures used are expressed as percentages by weight of the total and are shown in table 1. In the preparation of the test specimens the aggregates were proportioned by weight and then heated to about $184^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The hot aggregates were then placed in a mixing pan that was indirectly heated by an oil bath. The asphalt cement, previously heated to about $168^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., was added and the mass mixed with trowels until all particles were uniformly coated. The amount

Table 1.-Composition of the mixtures used in the stability determinations

SHEET ASPHALT MHXTURES

| Composition of bituminous mixtures |  |  |  | Composition of mineral aggregates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bitumen | Dust | Sand | Stone | Dust | Sand | Stone |
| Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
|  |  | 90 |  | 0. 0 | 100.0 |  |
| 10 | 5 | 85 |  | 5. 6 | 94.4 |  |
| 10 | 10 | 80 |  | 11.1 | 88.9 |  |
| 10 | 15 | 75 |  | 16.7 | 83.3 |  |
| 10 | 20 | 70 |  | 22.2 | 77.8 |  |
| 10 | 25 | 65 |  | 27.8 | 72.2 |  |
| 8 | 15 | 77 |  | 16.3 | 83.7 |  |
| 10 | 15 | 75 |  | 16.7 | 83.3 |  |
| 12 | 15 | 73 |  | 17.1 | 82.9 |  |

BITUMINOUS-CONCRETE MIXTURES

| 8 | 4 | 78 | 10 | 4.3 | 84.8 | 10.9 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9 | 4 | 77 | 10 | 4.4 | 84.6 | 11.0 |
| 10 | 4 | 76 | 10 | 4.4 | 84.5 | 11.1 |
| 11 | 4 | 75 | 10 | 4.5 | 84.3 | 11.2 |
| 6 | 4 | 70 | 20 | 4.2 | 74.5 | 21.3 |
| 7 | 4 | 69 | 20 | 4.3 | 74.2 | 21.5 |
| 8 | 4 | 68 | 20 | 4.4 | 73.9 | 21.7 |
| 9 | 4 | 67 | 20 | 4.4 | 73.6 | 22.0 |
| 5 | 4 | 61 | 30 | 4.2 | 64.2 | 31.6 |
| 6 | 4 | 60 | 30 | 4.3 | 63.8 | 31.9 |
| 7 | 4 | 59 | 30 | 4.3 | 63.4 | 32.3 |
| 8 | 4 | 58 | 30 | 4.4 | 63.0 | 32.6 |
| 9 | 4 | 57 | 30 | 4.4 | 62.6 | 33.0 |
| 5 | 4 | 51 | 40 | 4.2 | 53.7 | 42.1 |
| 6 | 4 | 50 | 40 | 4.2 | 53.2 | 42.6 |
| 7 | 4 | 49 | 40 | 4.3 | 52.7 | 43.0 |
| 8 | 4 | 48 | 40 | 4.3 | 52.2 | 43.5 |
| 5 | 4 | 41 | 50 | 4.2 | 43.2 | 52.6 |
| 6 | 4 | 40 | 50 | 4.2 | 42.6 | 53.2 |
| 7 | 4 | 39 | 50 | 4.3 | 41.9 | 53.8 |

of the mixture needed to make a specimen $21 / 4$ inches thick and of the desired density was then placed in the molding machine form and rolled.
The required amount of rolling varied with the composition of the mixture, the load used, and the amount of compaction desired. After rolling the specimen was removed, allowed to cool to room temperature, and its density and voids were determined.
The specimens to be tested in the roller machine were placed in collapsible forms that supported the sides and prevented warping or other deformation. They were then transferred to a constant-temperature water bath at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. and left until they were at a uniform temperature throughout, as determined with a thermometer embedded in a similar specimen prepared for temperature control. The time required to reach this temperature was about 3 hours. The specimens were then transferred to the testing mold and placed in the bath of the roller testing machine, the temperature of which was also maintained at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The revolving disk carrying the rollers was lowered until its full weight was carried by the specimen. A record was kept of the number of roller passages over the specimen and of the corresponding longitudinal deformations.

## RESULTS OBTAINED WITH HUBBARD-FIELD AND ROLLER MACHINES COMPARED

In order to have a uniform basis for comparing the results obtained with the two testing machines, it was felt that the test specimens not only should have similar composition and physical characteristics but also

SPECIMEN $-2 \frac{1}{4} \times 4 \times 8$ INCHES
DENSITY $=2.257$
VOIDS $=2.21 \%$

HEIGHT OF CORED SPECIMENS - $2 \frac{1}{4}$ INCHES

| DENSITY $=2.222$ | 2.204 | 2.218 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VOIDS $=3.72 \%$ | $4.51 \%$ | $3.90 \%$ |
| TOP INCH OF CORE | USED FOR | HUBBARD-FIELD TEST |
| DENSITY $=2.229$ | 2.196 | 2.235 |
| VOIDS $=3.42 \%$ | $4.85 \%$ | $3.16 \%$ |

Figure 7.-Positions and Densities of Specimens Taken From Sheet-Asphalt Sample.
should be molded in the same manner. For these reasons the specimens used in the Hubbard-Field tests were obtained from the 4 - by 8 - by $2 \frac{1}{4}$-inch blocks molded in the same manner as those tested in the roller machine. Cores of the exact test size were obtained by forcing a sharp-edged steel pipe through the specimen. The force required for cutting was obtained with a hydraulic jack. The blocks were warmed slightly to facilitate penetration with the minimum of distortion. The top 1 inch of the core was used as the specimen and the average of the test results from the three Hubbard-Field specimens taken from the molded block was reported as a single test result.

Figure 7 shows the relative positions of the cores, $A, B$, and $C$, taken from the 8 - by 4 - by $2 \frac{1 / 4}{4}$-inch blocks and also shows the variation in densities and percentages of voids between the Hubbard-Field specimens and the block from which they were cored. This variation is believed to be due to particle disarrangement that occurs along the cut surfaces of the core, since previous work with the molding machine has shown that the 8 - by 4 - by $2 \frac{1}{4}$-inch blocks are quite uniform in density throughout. ${ }^{6}$

Two series of mixtures of the sheet-asphalt type were used in the comparison of the two machines. In one series the percentage of dust was held constant and the

[^8]percentages of sand and asphalt cement were varied. In the other series the percentage of asphalt cement was held constant and the percentages of dust and sand were varied. The percentage composition by weight of the mixtures is given in table 1 and the test results are shown in figures 8 and 9 .

Figure 8 shows an increase in stability with a decrease in the percentage of yoids for all three bitumen contents, the change in stability being least for the mixtures containing 12 percent of bitumen. In this mixture, a change in percentage of voids has a greater effect upon the Hubbard-Field stability than upon the roller stability. With the mixture containing 10 percent of bitumen, however, the reverse appears to be true, while for the mixture containing 8 percent of bitumen the two curves have about the same slope.
Figure 9 shows the effect of percentage of voids on the stability of mixtures containing various percentages of dust. Here, as in figure 8, the general trend of the results is the same for both methods of test. For mixtures containing 15 percent or less of dust the stability increases with a reduction in percentage of voids, while with mixtures containing 20 and 25 percent of dust the stability increases as the percentage of voids increases.
These curves show a marked similarity in the general trend of results obtained by the two methods of test. However, there is no definite mathematical relation between the stabilities determined by the two methods.

## ROLLER STABILITY TEST RESULTS FOR ASPHALTIC CONCRETE

 MIXTURESAsphaltic-concrete mixtures of the compositions given in table 1 also were tested in the roller machine in the same manner as the sheet-asphalt mixtures. As shown in table 1 the percentage of dust was constant for all asphaltic-concrete mixtures, the principal variables being the amounts of stone and sand used. For each of the five percentages of stone, the asphalt-cement content was varied sufficiently to produce a series of mixtures having a range in plasticity. The test results showing the relation between roller stability and percentage of voids for the different mixtures are plotted in figures 10 and 11, and the effect of the percentage of asphait cement for varying percentages of voids is shown in figure 12.
As was found for the sheet-asphalt mixtures, an increase in stability occurs as the voids percentages are decreased for a given asphalt-cement content; an increase in stability also occurs for a decrease in asphalt-cement content when the voids percentage is kept constant. These data indicate that the roller machine distinguishes between the factors affecting the stability of asphaltic-concrete mixtures, as well as for sheet-asphalt mixtures.

## ACTUAL ROAD DISPLACEMENTS COMPARED WITH ROLLER- <br> STABILITY VALUES

Few data are available for use in correlating actual field behavior with roller stability; however, some tests have been made upon samples from pavements of known behavior. Specimens from the asphaltic-concrete sections of circular track described in Public Roads, vol. 14, no. 11, January 1934, were tested for stability in the roller machine. The analyses of the sections tested are given in table 2, and the results of the test are shown graphically in figure 13. This curve


Frgure 8.-Relation Between Stability and Percentage of Voids in Sheet-Asphalt Specimens Containing 15 Percent of Limestone Dust and Various Percentages of Asphalt Cement and Sand.


Figure 9.--Relation Between Stability and Percentage of Voids in Sheet-Asphalt Specimens Containing 10 Percent of Asphalt Cement and Various Percentages of Limestone Dust and Sand.


Figure 10.-Relation Between Roller Stability and Percentage of Voids in Asphaltic-Concrete Specimens Containing Four Percent of Limestone Dust and Various Percentages of Stone, Sand, and Asphalt Cement.
shows longitudinal displacements of the bituminous concretes in inches plotted against roller-stability values. This longitudinal displacement was the total movement of 25 screws spaced 6 inches apart in a radial line on a circular test pavement and was derived by taking half of the total movement of 50 screws in two lines. The sections with the least displacement had the highest roller-stability values.


Figure 11.-Relation Between Roller Stability and Percentage of Voids in Asphaltic-Concrete Specimens Containing Four Percent of Limestone Dust and Various Percentages of Stone, Sand, and Asphalt Cement.

## ROLLER STABILITY METHOD PROVES PRACTICABLE

A study of the test data presented shows that, while no constant relation exists between stabilities as measured by the Hubbard-Field and roller-stability machines, both methods show the effects of various percentages of ingredients and voids, factors that influence the stability of sheet asphalt mixtures. Both methods show that variations in a given factor influence stability in the same way. In addition, the roller machine results show the effect of various percentages of ingredients and voids upon the stability of bituminous concrete mixtures, the results being comparable to those obtained on the sheet asphalt mixtures and are in agreement with commonly accepted theories. Although a considerable number of tests on pavements of known behavior will have to be made before roller stability results can be used as a measure of expected service behavior, it appears that the roller machine is a satisfactory device for determining the relative stabilities of both fine- and coarse-graded asphaltic mixtures.

Additional advantages of the roller machine are that specimens of varying depth can be tested and that field specimens can be prepared without apparently disturbing the material within the specimen. This is


Figure 12.-Effect of Variations in Percentage of Asphalt Cement on Roller Stability of Asphaltic-Concrete Specimens Contarning Four Percent of Limestone Dust and Various Percentages of Stone, Sand, and Asphalt Cement.


Figure 13.-Comparison of Field Movement and Roller Stability of Asphaltic-Concrete Sections from Circular Track.

Table 2.-Laboratory analyses, field movement, and roller stability of sections of pavement from the experimental circular track

|  | Section no. - |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 |
| Bitumen- | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ 4.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ 5.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Percent } \\ 7.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ 7.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & 7.3 \end{aligned}$ |
| Passing 114 -inch screen, retained on 1 inch screen | 0.0 | 4.7 | 3.6 | 4.3 | 2.7 |
| Passing 1-inch screen, retained on 3 -inch screen............................................ | 14.6 | 12.7 | 9.6 | 10.0 | 1.8 |
| Passing $3 / 4$-inch screen, retained on $1 / 2$ inch screen. | 17.0 | 16.1 | 11.7 | 13.9 | 6.1 |
| Passing 12 -inch screen, retained on 1/4- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passing 14-inch sereen 1, retained on no. | 8.6 | 10.4 | 10.9 | 10.9 | 9.1 |
| 10 sieve ${ }^{2}$ - 10 --...............- | 3.0 | 4.8 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 7.0 |
| Passing no. 10 sieve, retained on no. 20 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 4.8 |
| Passing no. 20 sieve, retained on no. 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sieve $\begin{aligned} & \text { sassing no. } 30 \text { sieve, retained on no. } 40\end{aligned}$ | 4.3 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 5.0 |
|  | 3.4 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| Passing no. 40 sieve, retalned on no. 50 sieve. | 8.2 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 6.9 | 6.5 |
| Passing no. 50 sieve, retained on no. 80 sieve | 12.0 | 9.2 | 10.5 | 7 |  |
| Passing no. 80 sieve, retained no. 100 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6.8 | 5.2 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 4.8 |
|  | 8.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Passing no. 200 sieve | 5.5 | 5.9 | 6.8 | 6.0 | 6.7 |
| Tota | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Field movement, inches | 0.8 | 0.5 | 5.1 | 21.1 | 5 |
| Maximum movement of single screw, inches |  |  |  | 2.9 | . 3 |
|  | 355 | 297 | 24 | 88 | 99 |

1 Screens have circular openings.
${ }^{2}$ Sieves have square openings.
done by cutting with a carborundum saw that apparently does not displace the material. The effect of slight displacement is lessened since the area of the test specimen is larger than the area subjected to load during the test.

By controlling the weight on the specimen during test, test values can be obtained for the more plastic types of mixture for which a comparison between test and service performances may be desired. It should also be possible to compare test and service performances of the cold-laid or liquefier type of surface.

Since the method of fabricating test specimens in the laboratory simulates the methods of compaction used in actual construction, it is believed that the use of the molding machine for fabrication and the roller machine for testing should furnish satisfactory laboratory evaluation of probable service performance for the various types of bituminous mixtures.
AS OF AUGUST 31,1935

|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ligis } \\ & \text { mimiz } \end{aligned}$ |  | ๕． |  |  |  | 承舞 ごべが |  |  | 8\% <br> 等哭 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fỡ } \\ & \text { mion } \end{aligned}$ |  | ¢ | \％ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { MNJ } \\ \text { No } \\ \text { No } \\ \text { non } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 808 \\ 008 \\ \text { fin } \end{gathered}$ | \％ัㅜㅜ <br> －ํㅜํ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nin } \\ & \text { min } \\ & \text { min } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non } \\ & \text { Non } \\ & \text { nin } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 器 |
|  | $\frac{\ddot{U}}{\stackrel{y y}{z}}$ | 凹 ¢ | $\because$ | N゙M． | $\because$－ | の－ | － | $\stackrel{\sim 1}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \mathrm{om} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{~N}= \end{aligned}$ | ヘٌ | $\stackrel{\text { T }}{\sim}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N M} \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{~m}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\text { ¢ }}$ | mio | ヘ เૂ่ ํㅜ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\xrightarrow[\text { nñ }]{\text { an }}$ | $\pm$ | － |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M } \\ & = \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ofy } \\ & 0.7 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 옹 } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \infty 0 \\ \stackrel{\circ}{0} & 8 \\ \hline-1 & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | igo <br> ベํ． | $\begin{aligned} & 808 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{2}{*} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | 0 <br> $\cdots$ <br> $\cdots$ <br> $\sim$ |
|  |  |  |  | む ${ }_{\text {む }}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { N／}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ま } \\ & \text { § } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \text { in } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { 志 }}{\stackrel{\sim}{\oplus}}$ | ©o <br> ตัผ |  | $\cdots$ |  | 咢虂 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\alpha}{\sigma} \\ \dot{\sim} \end{gathered}$ | \％ |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { oinn } \\ \text { sin } \\ \hline 10 \mid \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\text { Hin }}$ | べッ |  | 我管管 | $\underset{\sim}{m-j} \underset{\sim}{n}$ |  | B.Mm | ¢ | ตัต ํ． |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Man } \\ & \text { iñ } \end{aligned}$ |  | yruy | $\bigcirc$ | 우오 | ～ | N |
|  |  |  | 8 융 N．忩总志 м |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oon } \\ & \text { goze } \\ & \text { song in } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | โิ⿵ <br> 喜啹 <br> －～ |  | 풍웅충㙉贸 |  | 䛾き <br> 미웅․ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | － |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 800 \mathrm{Con} \\ & \text { Bin } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 言䲱禺 웅 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 猟 } \\ & \text { ĩ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 寻芯 } \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{6} \end{aligned}$ | Now | $\begin{aligned} & \text { giñ } \\ & \text { nin } \\ & \text { ing } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 事き } \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 事" } \\ & \text { jon } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $$ |  |
|  |  | 志気き <br> 悉 |  <br> ¢inio |  |  |  | 운ํํํ두声気笑 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { King } \\ & \text { on } \\ & \text { Sin } \end{aligned}$ |  <br> 楞领志 <br> nim |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { gin } \\ & \text { Nin } \\ & \text { Nim } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \hat{0} \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | ※ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 曽 } \\ & \text { 畨 } \\ & \frac{4}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 范 |  | 후우웅 |  |  | ANu뭉 | Bue in in | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rion } \\ & \text { misin } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Rư |  | 울킬 |  | $\stackrel{m}{\sim}$ | ＊ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { now } \\ & \text { Hind } \\ & \text { Hin } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 解表晃 <br> 2゙8゙き |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oxen } \\ & \text { on on } \\ & \text { ond } \\ & \text { mimin } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | No ペ～ion |  |  | シます。路思隶 －ins |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{N} \text { ne } \\ & \text { Nin } \\ & \text { minio } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \text { z } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Nㅜ운 ont ベベデ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Nㅜㅇ․ <br> No |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{0}{c} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \\ \underset{\sim}{*} \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 志萝瓦 <br> Minn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\infty}{c} \\ & \underset{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | \％ |
|  |  |  | มูํㅜํ等领 firs |  | 뿡ㅉㅇ象 |  |  |  |  |  | 気铦 Min |  | 獂す <br> ถั గif <br> 7 nio | 웅쯍 \％ nim |  |  |  |  | \％ |
|  | $\stackrel{\xi}{6}_{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | W |


CLASS 2．－PROJECTS ON EXTENSIONS OF THE FEDERAL－AID HIGHWAY SYSTEM INTO AND THROUGH MUNICIPALITIES
AS OF AUGUST 31， 1935

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fizy } \\ & \text { nivin } \\ & \text { nin } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\sim} \\ & \tilde{\sim} \\ & \tilde{\sim} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{N}} \mathbf{N}_{0}^{\circ} \\ \text { into } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nit } \\ & \text { now } \\ & \text { nim } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEO } \\ & \text { sin } \end{aligned}$ | 教 8 8 $\sim$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\approx}} \underset{\sim}{\approx}$ |  |  <br> どぎら |  | 帚挔 | 峝 N <br> ๙กํ ก | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ing in } \\ & \text { 事 } \end{aligned}$ | $\approx \underset{\sim}{\sim}$ |  | İ <br> ผ่ ผ่ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 영 } \\ & \text { on } \\ & \stackrel{y}{心} \end{aligned}$ |  | 『జ min |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Z } \\ \hline \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{m}$ | $\underset{m}{m}$ | ～～～ |  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\sim}$ | － | $\stackrel{9}{-}$ | 욱 | ジの | $\stackrel{\text { m }}{\square}$ | ＂0\％ | ¢ | $\xrightarrow{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{m}{2}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{0} \\ & \underset{0}{7} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { no } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \text { Nin } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim} \underset{\sim}{\sim}$ |  |  |  | \％ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 炭 } \\ & \text { 吕 } \\ & \text { a } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \because 00 \\ = \\ \# \\ \# \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \text { o } \\ & \text { on } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \\ & \substack{80 \\ 0 ⿴ 囗 ⿰ 丿 ㇄ \\ \hline} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}$ | $$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ®in } \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\rightleftarrows}$ | $\stackrel{\dddot{\sim}}{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 合 } \\ & \text { wion } \end{aligned}$ | \％ |
|  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { N® }}{\sim}$ | F－ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { د̈ } 06 \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  | 号 | ตั～ | $\dot{m}$ |  | ב̈ | $\dot{\infty} \dot{x}=1$ |  | -畐 | 우ํ | Ninn | 앙 |
|  |  | 꾸ㅇㅜㅜ <br>  |  |  |  | 옥ำ <br>  |  | 袁品志 －i |  |  |  |  |  | 畐秀 <br> กั ึ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | きN二 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{9} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \text { n } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NN N } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | 공명 <br> 푸술 | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 志志 } \\ & \text { ~ } \\ & \text { an } \end{aligned}$ |  | 亮認 풍 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 壳 } \\ \underset{\sim}{\sim} \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\omega}{n}$ タૂヘัส |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fing } \\ \text { nion } \\ \text { non } \\ \text { Nin } \\ \text { Nin } \end{gathered}$ | ジぎN ざぁั欠 |  |  |  | 쓴NNㅇ 둥 |  |  |  |  | 声合藏内ू゙も |  |  |  |
| $8$ |  |  |  | Non iex | $\min _{\substack{0 \\ ~ \\ 0}}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nom } \\ & \text { cion } \end{aligned}$ | いロー ソo․ㅜ | $\dot{\sim} \ddagger \dot{\square}$ | － | mur |  |  |  | $\dot{\sim} \dot{\sim}$ | － |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ōच } \\ & \text { ōon } \\ & \text { ono } \end{aligned}$ | 영여N <br> ～ั家 | Nox |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & y_{0}^{0} 8 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 880 \\ & 00_{0}^{0} \\ & \text { jin } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 공․ － $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  | 気等券 <br> 会吉志 <br> －in |  |  | \％ <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 8 <br> 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 尌专隠 N Nㅜ웅 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | O |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{N}=0$ |  | 8等き <br> 言笑 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \\ & 08 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Эีํㅇ 운ํํ | 똥ํ 20 $\div$ | － |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | № ${ }^{\circ}$ ํㅇํ우 <br> $\underset{\sim}{-}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 웅융 8羂 －i－ |  |  |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { 思 }}{\underset{\leftrightarrow}{6}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 等 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 気慮 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{⿱_{8}^{\circ}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \％ | Bob |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Five | Bixize | $8$ |  | iof |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{8}$ | \％ |
|  | $\frac{8}{4}$ | － | ® | $\stackrel{\sim}{\circ}$ | 4 | \％ | ， 3 | 4 | 式 | ¢ | $\pm$ | \％ู้ | $\because$ | －0．0． | － | $\stackrel{\sim}{i}$ | \％ | $\bigcirc$ | － |
|  |  | $\stackrel{y}{\stackrel{y}{\circ}}$ | 苯 |  |  | $\stackrel{C}{6}_{\substack{0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0}}^{0}$ | $\underset{\sim}{z=}$ |  |  |  | 莫 |  |  |  | $08$ | $\stackrel{\tilde{W}}{\hat{3}}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { 会 }}{ }$ |  |
|  |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { on }}$ |  |  |  |  | $\because$ |  |  |  |  | ๕． |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { §on } \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | \％ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 喜 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  | ＝ | 寺 |  | － |  |  | 年》 |  | ¢ |  | デธ \％\％ |  | \％ | － |  |  | \％ | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 礐 } \\ & \text {-iviz } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bisque } \\ & \text { cive } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 玉N M } \\ & \text { Min } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{y}{\tilde{\circ}}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 28 } \\ & \text { zive } \\ & \text { six } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  ฐัต่ต่ำ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 韍 | 曾 | －9\％ | －ua | －\％ix |  | － | －¢ ¢ |  | 䟚管 |  | \％ |  | \％\％ | N | 离云离 |  |  | 30 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ridi |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { nogen } \\ \text { nex } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 袁 } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B9itio | Baxie |  |  |
|  | 薄 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ơ |  | 盟空 |  | Se |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1$ |  | － |
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## SEPARATE REPRINT FROM THE YEARBOOK

No. 1036Y . . Road Work on Farm Outlets Needs Skill and Right Equipment.

## TRANSPORTATION SURVEY REPORTS

Report of a Survey of Transportation on the State Highway System of Ohio (1927).
Report of a Survey of Transportation on the State Highways of Vermont (1927).
Report of a Survey of Transportation on the State Highways of New Hampshire (1927).
Report of a Plan of Highway Improvement in the Regional Area of Cleveland, Ohio (1928).
Report of a Survey of Transportation on the State Highways of Pennsylvania (1928).
Report of a Survey of Traffic on the Federal-Aid Highway Systems of Eleven Western States (1930).

A complete list of the publications of the Bureau of Public Roads, classified according to subject and including the more important articles in PUBLIC Roads, may be obtained upon request addressed to the U. S. Bureau of Public Roads, Willard Building, Washington, D. C.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Method D 2-33, American Society for Testing Materials Book of Standards, Part II, 1933.
    Part II, 1933.
    2 Method D 3-18, American Society for Testing Materials Book of Standards, Part II, 1933.
    Part II, 1933. Method D 289-28T, American Society for Testing Materials Book of
    3 Tentative Standards, 1934.
    Tentative Standards, 1934. Sethod T-3, Standard Specifications for Highway Materials and Methods of Sampling and Testing, American Association of State Highway Officials, 1935.

[^1]:    ${ }^{5}$ Selection of Rock and Gravel for Highway Construction, by C. L. McKesson, California Highways, vol. 3, no. 4, April 1926.
    6 From an unpublished report of California Division of Highways, Sacramento, Calif., dated June 13, 1930.

[^2]:    ${ }^{7}$ A Study of the Rattler Test for Paving Brick, by M. W. Blair and Edward Orton,
    Jr., Proceedings, American Society for Testing Materials, Vol. 11, 1911.

[^3]:    ${ }^{8}$ A simple and inexpensive machine for this test is described in a summary of research activities by State highway departments in Rock Products, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 51-57, Jan. 17, 1931. A more elaborate apparatus is deseribed in A Laboratory ow, Proceedings of A merican Society for Testing Materials, vol. 34, Part II, 1934.

[^4]:    I Circular no. 34 of the Asphalt Association.
    2 Emmons and Anderton, A Stability Test for Bituminous Paving Mixtures. A. S. T. M. Proc., vol. 25, part 2, p. 346.

[^5]:    ${ }^{3}$ Researches on Bituminous Paving Mixtures, by W. J. Emmons. Ptiblic Roads,
    vol. 7, no. 10, December 1926.

[^6]:    - Temperature as a Factor in the Stability of Asphaltic Pavements, by W. J. Emmons and B. A. Anderton. Public Roads, vol. 7, no. 2, A pril 1926.

[^7]:    ${ }^{5}$ Correlation of the Stability Test with the Behavior of Pavements inder Traffic. Proc. Fifth Annual Asphalt Paving Conference.

[^8]:    ${ }^{6}$ A Machine for Molding Laboratory Specimens of Bituminous Paving Mixtures, by J. T. Pauls, Public Roads, vol. 10, no. 2, A pril 1929.

